

No. 82-1295

FILED

AUG 8 1983

ALEXANDER L. STEVENS  
CLERK

**IN THE  
Supreme Court of the United States  
OCTOBER TERM, 1982**

**ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, et al.,**  
*Appellants,*

v.

**HENRY T. McMILLAN, et al.,**  
*Appellees.*

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES COURT OF  
APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

**JOINT APPENDIX  
VOL. III — Pages 603-918**

**CHARLES S. RHYNE**

*Counsel of Record*

**J. LEE RANKIN**

**THOMAS D. SILVERSTEIN**

*Rhyne & Rankin*

1000 Connecticut Ave., N.W.

Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 466-5420

**THOMAS R. SANTURRI**

*Escambia County Attorney*

28 West Government Street

Pensacola, Florida 32501

(904) 436-5450

*Attorneys for Appellants*

**EDWARD STILL**

*Counsel of Record*

Reeves and Still

Suite 400

Commerce Center

2027 1st Avenue North

Birmingham, Alabama 35203

**JAMES U. BLACKSHER**

**LARRY T. MENEFFEE**

*Blacksheer, Menefee & Stein,  
P.A.*

4051 Van Antwerp Bldg.

P. O. Box 1051

Mobile, Alabama

**JACK GREENBERG**

**NAPOLEON B. WILLIAMS**

*Legal Defense Fund*

10 Columbus Circle

New York, New York 10019

**KENT SPRIGGS**

*Spriggs & Henderson*

117 South Martin Luther

King, Jr. Bldg.

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

*Attorneys for Appellees*

**Appeal Docketed February 2, 1983  
Probable Jurisdiction Noted April 18, 1983**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME I

	<u>Page</u>
Docket Entries .....	1
District Court .....	1
Court of Appeals .....	30
Complaint .....	45
Answer and Affirmative Defenses — Escambia County .....	52
Consolidation Order .....	59
Arnow, C. J. Letter to Counsel of Record .....	61
Pretrial Stipulation .....	64
Pretrial Order .....	77
Notice of Proposed County Charter .....	82
Excerpts of Trial Transcript .....	146
Testimony of Dr. Jerrell H. Shofner .....	146
Testimony of Dr. Glenn David Curry .....	229
Testimony of Charlie L. Taite .....	255
Testimony of Otha Leverette .....	271
Testimony of Dr. Donald Spence .....	280
Testimony of Billy Tennant .....	310

## VOLUME II

Testimony of Julian Banfell .....	325
Testimony of Orellia Benjamin Marshall .....	334
Testimony of F. L. Henderson .....	338
Testimony of Elmer Jenkins .....	341
Testimony of Nathaniel Dedmond .....	348
Testimony of James L. Brewer .....	357
Testimony of Cleveland McWilliams .....	361
Testimony of Earl J. Crosswright .....	363



Testimony of William H. Marshall .....	374
Testimony of Dr. Charles L. Cottrell .....	398
Testimony of James J. Reeves .....	436
Testimony of Hollice T. Williams .....	438
Testimony of Governor Reubin Askew .....	452
Testimony of Marvin G. Beck .....	470
Testimony of Kenneth J. Kelson .....	495
Testimony of Charles Deese, Jr. ....	507
Testimony of Jack Keeney .....	532
Testimony of A. J. Boland .....	549
Testimony of Laurence Green .....	560
Testimony of Dr. Manning J. Dauer .....	578
Colloquy Between the Court and Counsel .....	598

### VOLUME III

Plaintiffs' Exhibits .....	603
Exhibit 6 Demographic Tables — Pensacola Florida .....	603
Exhibit 8 Voter Registration, City of Pensacola .....	731
Exhibit 14 Excerpts — Computer Printouts Analyzing Voting Patterns for Selected Elections .....	733
Exhibit 16 Statistical Analysis of Racial Element in Escambia County, Pensacola City Elections ....	771
Exhibit 17 Neighborhood Analysis, Pensacola SMSA .....	799

### VOLUME IV

Exhibit 21 United Way of Escambia County, Inc. — Community Planning Division Composite Socio-Economic Index for the 40 Census Tracts .....	919
Exhibit 23 Excerpt — Statistical Profile of Pensacola and the SMSA .....	1006
Exhibit 25 Escambia County and Pensacola SMSA — Population Trends; Racial Composition; Population by Tract; Age Distribution .....	1016

Exhibit 32	Selected Deeds Conveying Property Located in Escambia County .....	1036
Exhibit 33	Votes Cast for all Candidates in Selected Precincts — September 1976 Primary .....	1047
Exhibit 55	Materials Relating to the City of Pensacola: Adoption of At-large Election System in 1959 ..	1052
Exhibit 66	County Boards and Committees .....	1106
Exhibit 70	Excerpt — 1976-77 Annual Budget of Escambia .....	1108
Exhibit 71	Summary Analysis (County Recreation) .....	1111
Exhibit 73	Transcript of Proceedings of Escambia County Board of County Commission at August 31, 1977 Public Hearing .....	1131
Exhibit 80	1973-77 Escambia County, City of Pensacola EEO-4 Summary Job Classification and Salary Analysis .....	1142
Exhibit 92	Letter Appearing in the <i>Pensacola News Jour- nal</i> , August 23, 1959 .....	1152
Exhibit 95	Editorial Appearing in the <i>Pensacola Journal</i> , August 13, 1959 .....	1153

## VOLUME V

Exhibit 98	Proposal of Charter Commission Appointed in 1975 .....	1155
Exhibit 99	Recommendations by Minority of Charter Commission Appointed in 1975 .....	1225
Exhibit 100	Proposal of Charter Commission Appointed in 1977 .....	1228

District Court Order Denying Stay of December 3, 1979 Remedial Order .....	1261
Excerpts of Trial of Testimony of Dr. Glenn David Curry .....	1267
Excerpts of Trial Testimony of Dr. Manning F. Dauer .....	1284

### NOTE

The following opinions, decisions, judgments, and orders have been omitted in printing the Joint Appendix because they appear in the Appendices to the Jurisdictional Statement as follows:

	<u>Page</u>
Decision on Rehearing of the Fifth Circuit in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County, Florida</i> , 688 F.2d 960 (5th Cir. 1982) .....	A-1a
Decision of the Fifth Circuit in <i>McMillan v.</i> <i>Escambia County, Florida</i> , 638 F.2d 1239 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	B-30a
Decision of the Fifth Circuit in <i>McMillan v.</i> <i>Escambia County, Florida</i> , 638 F.2d 1249 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	B-52a
Memorandum Decision and Order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County,</i> <i>Florida</i> , PCA No. 77-0432 (N.D. Fla. Dec. 3, 1979) .....	B-54a
Memorandum Decision of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Florida in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County, Florida</i> PCA No. 77-0432 (N.D. Fla., Sept. 24, 1979) .....	B-66a
Memorandum Decision and Judgment of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Florida in <i>McMillan v. Escambia County,</i> <i>Florida</i> , PCA No. 77-0432 (N.D. Fla. July 10, 1978) .....	B-71a

(v)

Judgment in *McMillan v. Escambia County*,  
*Florida*, 688 F.2d 960 (5th Cir. 1982).....C-116a

# EXHIBIT 6

## Demographic Tables — Pensacola Florida

### PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS

Table P-1. General Characteristics of the Population: 1970

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

#### Census Tracts

#### RACE

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
All persons .....	243 075	205 334	59 507	145 827	37 741	260	3 820	4 659	5 537	2 203	5 116	3 232	5 601	3 372	7 029
White .....	198 506	163 014	39 509	123 475	35 492	152	1 941	3 971	191	1 421	217	1 487	4 278	3 235	7 020
Negro .....	42 341	40 362	19 709	20 653	1 979	107	1 959	583	5 344	778	4 896	1 717	1 295	132	1
Percent Negro .....	17.4	19.7	33.1	14.2	5.2	41.2	51.3	12.5	96.5	35.3	95.7	53.1	23.1	3.9	-

#### AGE BY SEX

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
Male, all ages .....	121 734	102 350	27 604	74 746	19 384	135	1 778	2 159	2 494	969	2 324	1 488	2 452	1 539	3 412
Under 5 years .....	11 114	9 355	2 397	6 958	1 759	5	131	179	280	60	196	167	170	90	238
3 and 4 years .....	4 307	3 636	982	2 654	671	2	51	66	121	27	92	59	65	32	105
5 to 9 years .....	12 874	10 824	2 935	7 889	2 050	2	157	193	357	75	250	196	188	95	355
5 years .....	2 488	2 112	521	1 591	376	-	25	29	67	13	43	35	36	22	63
6 years .....	2 461	2 076	562	1 514	385	1	27	26	63	11	55	37	35	13	72
10 to 14 years .....	13 611	11 389	3 242	8 147	2 222	4	194	188	392	106	324	194	209	130	441
14 years .....	2 650	2 214	631	1 583	436	3	42	31	73	19	76	28	43	37	86
15 to 19 years .....	12 602	10 753	2 769	7 984	1 849	16	163	183	283	106	257	150	219	161	359
15 years .....	2 553	2 155	652	1 503	398	4	33	35	71	25	58	40	41	38	92
16 years .....	2 417	2 061	621	1 440	356	3	43	49	62	21	55	36	47	25	88
17 years .....	2 290	1 956	551	1 405	334	3	35	29	69	27	45	24	48	33	74
18 years .....	2 488	2 141	504	1 637	347	5	32	37	42	17	56	31	45	29	53
19 years .....	2 854	2 440	461	1 999	414	1	70	33	39	16	43	19	38	36	52
20 to 24 years .....	16 056	13 212	2 207	11 005	2 844	16	162	217	167	68	137	92	272	150	289
20 years .....	3 452	2 822	399	2 428	625	4	37	28	41	15	38	15	49	29	35
21 years .....	3 158	2 595	403	2 192	563	5	35	43	35	16	31	26	47	29	26
25 to 34 years .....	15 582	13 004	3 039	9 965	2 578	15	167	262	216	104	198	125	224	124	345
35 to 44 years .....	12 973	10 892	3 040	7 852	2 081	21	158	235	232	94	230	137	208	134	472
45 to 54 years .....	12 256	10 477	3 372	7 105	1 779	20	198	270	238	134	259	131	319	236	494
55 to 59 years .....	4 654	3 945	1 364	2 581	709	7	94	119	96	61	137	79	142	125	154
60 to 64 years .....	3 600	3 103	1 101	2 002	497	10	81	96	88	65	121	65	158	106	102
65 to 74 years .....	4 309	3 628	1 377	2 251	681	13	165	133	98	56	144	102	203	114	115
75 years and over .....	2 103	1 768	761	1 007	335	6	88	84	47	40	71	50	140	74	48

## Census Tracts

	Escambia County				Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
	Total SMSA	Total	Pensacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
<b>Female, all ages</b>	121 341	102 984	31 903	71 081	18 357	125	2 042	2 500	3 043	1 234	2 792	1 744	2 149	1 833	3 617
Under 5 years	10 404	8 820	2 335	6 485	1 584	5	110	195	282	63	243	142	144	68	231
3 and 4 years	4 101	3 465	926	2 539	636	-	42	81	120	24	112	59	51	26	91
5 to 9 years	12 383	10 406	2 821	7 585	1 977	5	149	194	363	78	238	164	171	93	332
5 years	2 347	1 968	551	1 417	379	3	34	36	68	19	34	28	39	13	60
6 years	2 481	2 086	532	1 554	395	-	26	42	76	17	47	27	35	14	66
10 to 14 years	13 124	11 058	3 285	7 773	2 066	7	189	222	382	106	341	214	212	129	406
14 years	2 590	2 197	653	1 544	393	-	41	57	79	18	71	41	41	34	67
15 to 19 years	11 612	9 875	2 919	6 956	1 737	4	164	215	312	111	225	162	260	153	328
15 years	2 481	2 124	637	1 487	357	2	42	51	77	25	39	35	50	22	94
16 years	2 420	2 035	584	1 451	385	-	25	38	65	30	52	29	47	42	66
17 years	2 270	1 922	562	1 360	348	-	26	39	69	19	58	29	35	33	64
18 years	2 231	1 923	596	1 327	308	2	30	49	50	18	40	45	61	28	56
19 years	2 210	1 871	540	1 331	339	-	41	38	51	19	36	24	67	28	48
20 to 24 years	11 967	10 174	2 720	7 394	1 853	12	179	272	225	88	176	129	303	137	374
20 years	2 437	2 067	546	1 521	370	4	35	58	54	21	40	32	59	28	56
21 years	2 496	2 111	561	1 550	385	3	39	59	49	17	43	20	69	35	64
25 to 34 years	15 776	13 158	3 491	9 667	2 618	11	176	266	333	88	247	157	249	150	384
35 to 44 years	14 557	12 364	3 818	8 546	2 193	11	172	249	330	139	329	163	290	190	573
45 to 54 years	13 163	11 363	3 760	7 603	1 800	15	252	332	309	151	284	190	378	297	472
55 to 59 years	5 076	4 373	1 651	2 722	703	15	155	129	131	87	190	90	210	159	149
60 to 64 years	4 225	3 656	1 501	2 155	569	8	120	124	120	87	186	80	246	142	126
65 to 74 years	5 828	5 028	2 262	2 766	800	20	229	200	167	147	222	165	401	197	158
75 years and over	3 226	2 769	1 340	1 429	457	12	147	102	89	89	111	88	285	118	84
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD</b>															
<b>All persons</b>	243 075	205 134	59 507	145 827	37 741	260	3 820	4 659	5 537	2 203	5 116	3 232	5 601	3 372	7 029
In households	232 572	196 393	59 042	137 351	36 179	218	3 781	4 653	5 531	2 188	5 113	3 201	5 565	3 372	7 029
Head of household	71 233	60 387	19 308	41 079	10 846	106	1 463	1 594	1 551	792	1 649	1 025	2 207	1 301	2 200
Head of family	60 678	51 080	15 187	35 893	9 598	41	911	1 250	1 239	584	1 149	726	1 555	997	1 897
Primary individual	10 555	9 307	4 121	5 186	1 248	65	552	344	312	208	500	299	652	304	303
Wife of head	51 866	43 279	11 956	31 323	8 587	29	580	1 027	755	450	688	447	1 221	862	1 728
Other relative of head	106 466	90 016	26 701	63 315	16 450	62	1 603	1 920	3 144	890	2 617	1 641	2 043	1 158	3 014
Not related to head	3 007	2 711	1 077	1 634	296	21	135	112	81	56	159	88	94	51	87
In group quarters	10 503	8 941	465	8 476	1 562	42	39	6	5	15	3	31	36	-	-
Persons per household	3.26	3.25	3.06	3.34	3.34	2.06	2.58	2.92	3.57	2.76	3.10	3.12	2.52	2.59	3.20



## Census Tracts

Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
	Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
60 678	51 080	13 187	35 893	9 598	41	911	1 250	1 239	584	1 149	726	1 355	997	1 897
35 345	29 640	7 945	21 695	5 705	14	336	567	727	248	557	388	577	376	1 063
80 393	67 557	18 416	49 141	12 836	28	887	1 250	1 997	550	1 523	1 101	1 241	755	2 392
51 866	43 279	11 956	31 323	8 587	29	580	1 027	755	450	688	447	1 221	862	1 728
30 297	25 154	6 273	18 881	5 143	9	191	493	434	196	328	225	457	331	964
68 258	56 760	14 234	42 526	11 498	22	489	1 101	1 204	441	891	615	993	686	2 189
77 6	76 6	69 0	79 5	83 1	55 0	43 1	78 0	48 8	69 4	46 9	48 4	72 9	86 0	88 2
1 350	1 167	450	717	183	2	48	43	61	19	66	30	54	19	23
534	458	125	333	76	1	11	6	24	5	23	7	8	3	6
1 194	1 026	270	756	168	2	26	8	54	9	53	22	13	4	9
7 462	6 634	2 781	3 853	828	10	283	180	423	115	395	249	280	116	146
4 514	4 028	1 547	2 481	486	4	134	68	269	47	206	156	112	42	93
10 941	9 771	3 912	5 859	1 170	4	372	141	739	100	579	464	235	65	195
12 4	13 2	19 0	11 0	8 5	10 0	32 8	10 0	29 9	15 7	30 5	36 5	17 3	8 1	7 9
87 941	74 105	20 622	53 483	13 836	40	1 134	1 412	2 469	635	1 899	1 270	1 362	798	2 481
TYPE OF FAMILY AND NUMBER OF OWN CHILDREN														
86 785	72 996	19 661	53 335	13 789	127	1 338	1 630	1 538	747	1 630	959	1 428	1 255	2 464
26 674	22 460	5 360	17 100	4 214	55	430	395	536	213	538	291	480	323	619
55 963	46 936	12 937	33 999	9 027	48	717	1 092	893	489	991	537	1 291	884	1 766
1 120	993	452	541	127	7	93	23	67	13	108	49	27	4	8
1 730	1 454	603	851	276	10	69	52	62	17	115	51	70	24	21
2 418	2 146	761	1 385	272	14	122	91	47	28	86	80	87	24	48
88 020	74 897	24 115	50 782	13 123	108	1 635	1 946	2 095	1 005	2 041	1 265	2 667	1 577	2 715
17 323	15 049	5 210	9 839	2 284	21	377	390	545	220	451	266	329	319	575
56 913	47 724	13 737	33 987	9 189	39	754	1 311	1 055	512	998	613	1 355	912	1 814
2 115	1 939	758	1 161	276	2	114	53	187	31	104	107	63	19	21
9 530	8 276	3 621	4 655	1 254	16	156	277	314	209	429	271	176	268	242
4 244	3 848	1 547	2 301	396	2	48	148	181	64	63	15	103	78	34

CENSUS TRACTS

Exhibit 6

1-14-15

PENSACOLA, FLA., SMSA P-1



Table P-1. General Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
<b>RACE</b>															
All persons .....	6 911	2 479	5 854	831	1 579	116	329	135	234	208	395	1 391	3 703	5 978	1 842
White .....	6 896	2 453	5 291	45	134	5	328	134	232	208	231	1 387	3 637	5 241	3
Negro .....	2	11	542	785	1 445	111	1	-	-	-	164	-	52	702	1 859
Percent Negro .....	-	0.4	9.3	94.5	91.5	95.7	0.3	-	-	-	41.5	-	1.4	11.7	99.8
<b>AGE BY SEX</b>															
Male, all ages .....	3 344	1 144	2 767	343	741	45	139	59	121	105	179	689	1 809	2 904	843
Under 5 years .....	378	101	230	88	50	7	6	2	13	6	8	82	161	267	106
3 and 4 years .....	160	43	84	35	26	3	2	1	5	3	3	23	51	88	42
5 to 9 years .....	474	122	274	76	76	4	5	4	18	14	19	94	142	352	108
5 years .....	83	22	43	14	19	1	1	-	3	2	4	23	28	68	21
6 years .....	95	25	58	16	18	-	-	1	3	6	3	14	29	72	18
10 to 14 years .....	414	88	343	60	105	6	14	6	10	14	10	77	174	406	133
14 years .....	74	16	66	8	20	1	5	2	4	3	2	18	42	87	29
15 to 19 years .....	257	125	317	36	90	6	14	3	7	17	19	48	194	267	96
15 years .....	67	25	74	13	23	2	3	2	2	4	5	8	40	66	25
16 years .....	65	13	81	6	17	1	2	-	3	4	3	7	37	54	31
17 years .....	44	20	60	9	16	2	8	1	-	4	2	9	51	64	11
18 years .....	44	33	54	2	18	1	-	-	2	3	4	11	31	45	17
19 years .....	37	34	48	6	16	-	1	-	-	2	5	13	35	38	12
20 to 24 years .....	170	168	190	14	39	-	11	7	11	7	14	52	251	249	54
20 years .....	32	26	35	4	6	-	1	1	1	2	3	7	23	45	16
21 years .....	23	23	41	5	10	-	1	2	3	2	3	7	31	43	8
25 to 34 years .....	637	167	290	28	94	1	15	1	12	14	15	139	261	438	80
35 to 44 years .....	489	134	343	26	71	10	14	2	11	19	20	76	214	379	86
45 to 54 years .....	367	121	432	14	73	4	24	10	17	11	22	57	188	276	82
55 to 59 years .....	82	45	153	6	37	3	10	7	5	2	11	21	82	75	49
60 to 64 years .....	43	17	74	8	43	3	13	5	2	1	10	22	57	83	34
65 to 74 years .....	39	29	83	6	47	-	13	7	10	-	15	18	65	70	27
75 years and over .....	16	29	38	3	16	1	-	5	5	-	16	3	20	42	8

## ensus Tracts

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
<b>Females, all ages</b> .....	3 543	1 333	3 087	444	838	71	190	74	115	103	216	702	1 894	3 074	999
Under 5 years.....	387	100	221	55	54	4	10	4	10	7	16	74	124	266	106
3 and 4 years.....	153	40	79	21	17	-	3	1	5	1	8	31	42	98	39
5 to 9 years.....	452	91	295	68	81	11	9	3	8	16	11	85	129	331	116
5 years.....	94	16	59	18	17	-	2	2	3	6	-	19	16	49	24
6 years.....	73	18	54	16	15	2	-	-	1	3	3	17	22	62	23
10 to 14 years.....	413	116	322	74	97	13	9	3	11	19	14	60	170	365	139
14 years.....	72	23	57	15	23	1	2	3	3	5	1	9	36	73	37
15 to 19 years.....	276	193	327	48	89	9	21	7	10	5	13	50	196	277	126
15 years.....	59	23	75	13	20	2	3	3	1	1	3	7	36	50	25
16 years.....	68	17	65	13	17	2	1	3	1	3	4	15	39	59	26
17 years.....	60	24	68	7	18	-	8	1	3	1	2	7	38	56	32
18 years.....	52	65	63	7	18	4	6	-	2	-	4	11	48	71	24
19 years.....	37	64	56	8	16	1	3	-	3	-	-	10	35	41	19
20 to 24 years.....	249	197	229	44	65	2	21	7	7	4	18	74	312	336	56
20 years.....	30	39	47	15	18	-	1	4	4	1	3	15	53	57	11
21 years.....	40	43	55	6	9	2	4	3	-	1	5	17	67	53	15
25 to 34 years.....	726	162	335	61	86	3	17	1	15	24	28	145	238	460	95
35 to 44 years.....	515	165	482	47	97	15	16	7	10	18	17	74	262	429	108
45 to 54 years.....	315	114	431	38	111	3	30	15	16	7	26	64	191	297	122
55 to 59 years.....	72	37	137	11	46	4	17	4	5	3	11	21	78	89	40
60 to 64 years.....	47	36	112	7	30	3	13	7	7	-	11	22	81	67	36
65 to 74 years.....	63	47	137	7	57	2	18	12	13	-	23	19	68	97	42
75 years and over.....	30	75	59	6	25	2	9	6	3	-	28	14	45	60	13
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD</b>															
<b>All persons</b> .....	6 911	2 479	5 854	831	1 579	116	329	135	234	208	393	1 391	3 703	5 978	1 862
In households.....	6 911	2 225	5 837	831	1 566	113	329	135	236	208	398	1 384	3 682	5 960	1 862
Head of household.....	1 911	719	1 759	200	492	30	125	56	74	54	106	422	1 255	1 726	470
Head of family.....	1 810	627	1 568	191	360	24	99	40	67	52	81	378	1 042	1 526	397
Primary individual.....	101	92	191	9	132	6	26	16	7	2	25	44	213	200	73
Wife of head.....	1 686	576	1 358	86	224	18	86	28	61	46	56	329	925	1 367	297
Other relative of head.....	3 278	900	2 659	543	803	65	112	47	98	104	126	611	1 406	2 755	1 072
Not related to head.....	36	30	61	2	47	-	6	4	3	4	10	22	96	112	23
In group quarters.....	-	254	17	-	13	3	-	-	-	-	97	7	21	18	-
Persons per household.....	3.62	3.09	3.32	4.16	3.18	3.77	2.63	2.41	2.19	3.85	2.81	3.28	2.93	3.45	3.96

## ensus Tracts

## TYPE OF FAMILY AND NUMBER OF OWN CHILDREN

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
All families .....	1 810	627	1 568	191	340	24	99	40	67	52	81	378	1 042	1 526	397
With own children under 18 years .....	1 314	353	939	151	190	13	43	12	34	43	39	240	537	972	241
Number of children .....	2 791	718	1 969	430	478	46	74	25	72	89	67	482	1 064	2 170	717
Husband-wife families .....	1 686	576	1 358	86	224	18	84	28	61	44	54	329	925	1 367	297
With own children under 18 years .....	1 221	321	804	62	114	10	37	7	31	38	23	210	471	877	174
Number of children .....	2 591	649	1 673	166	282	28	63	13	64	74	35	425	935	1 963	531
Percent of total under 18 years .....	89.9	87.7	79.4	34.4	49.1	51.9	80.8	40.6	80.0	79.6	36.1	81.0	81.9	84.0	61.9
Families with other male head .....	11	5	26	7	25	2	4	3	1	1	4	9	17	23	6
With own children under 18 years .....	5	2	11	4	8	-	1	-	-	-	3	6	5	9	2
Number of children .....	18	3	18	10	21	-	1	-	-	-	10	11	9	13	7
Families with female head .....	113	46	184	98	111	4	9	9	5	5	21	40	100	136	94
With own children under 18 years .....	88	30	124	85	68	3	5	5	3	5	13	24	61	86	65
Number of children .....	182	66	278	254	175	18	10	12	8	15	22	46	120	194	179
Percent of total under 18 years .....	6.3	8.9	13.2	52.7	30.5	33.3	12.8	37.5	10.0	16.1	22.7	8.8	10.5	8.3	20.9
Persons under 18 years .....	2 881	740	2 108	482	574	54	78	32	80	93	97	525	1 141	2 336	858
MARITAL STATUS															
Male, 14 years old and over .....	2 174	851	1 986	149	330	29	119	49	84	74	144	454	1 374	1 966	545
Single .....	440	222	521	49	163	8	24	11	17	25	30	96	349	468	180
Married .....	1 706	591	1 397	95	290	19	88	32	63	48	91	340	970	1 421	326
Separated .....	2	3	13	3	31	-	-	-	1	-	6	-	17	17	13
Widowed .....	16	21	23	3	34	2	2	1	-	-	15	1	23	19	26
Divorced .....	12	17	45	2	43	-	5	5	4	1	8	17	32	58	13
Female, 14 years old and over .....	2 365	1 049	2 306	284	629	44	164	69	89	66	176	492	1 507	2 185	675
Single .....	421	286	485	82	155	13	38	14	13	10	22	74	293	438	192
Married .....	1 754	619	1 454	135	322	25	94	32	65	54	92	350	991	1 479	363
Separated .....	15	13	23	37	58	6	2	1	2	-	11	3	15	34	42
Widowed .....	113	117	229	27	112	2	23	12	8	-	48	43	156	176	85
Divorced .....	77	27	138	40	40	4	9	11	2	2	14	25	67	92	35

Table P-1. General Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County—Con.

## RACE

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All persons .....	3 424	4 738	4 491	2 902	3 471	6 484	6 684	6 861	1 570	4 816	1 005	2 547	3 968	8 413	5 375
White .....	614	2 871	3 982	2 879	2 304	6 388	5 871	6 524	1 372	4 389	1 004	2 515	3 033	8 296	5 523
Negro .....	2 800	1 830	483	-	1 137	49	326	274	138	194	1	15	905	60	9
Percent Negro .....	81.8	38.6	10.8	-	32.8	0.8	4.9	4.0	8.8	4.0	0.1	0.6	22.8	0.7	0.2

## AGE BY SEX

Male, all ages .....	1 658	2 288	2 152	1 394	1 639	3 148	3 164	3 217	1 570	3 650	538	1 242	1 939	4 888	2 694
Under 5 years .....	145	307	198	127	167	340	442	245	2	155	28	91	199	305	274
3 and 4 years .....	57	134	78	46	62	113	138	73	-	68	13	25	67	137	98
5 to 9 years .....	218	283	199	130	182	277	344	254	-	201	30	120	223	377	325
5 years .....	34	54	53	37	36	67	73	51	-	43	3	22	40	76	87
6 years .....	32	71	43	24	28	45	72	56	-	33	4	16	47	71	67
10 to 14 years .....	243	314	231	125	180	266	288	258	-	152	43	145	228	404	300
14 years .....	45	68	44	30	39	54	48	53	-	22	11	34	41	73	62
15 to 19 years .....	182	248	223	133	167	269	291	269	244	449	34	100	178	997	265
15 years .....	32	65	54	31	26	49	59	66	-	17	5	29	41	81	56
16 years .....	35	51	46	28	55	37	60	49	-	19	6	25	38	75	57
17 years .....	45	43	44	20	27	63	56	60	11	24	6	17	37	69	69
18 years .....	29	46	38	29	27	50	61	49	62	109	8	19	29	345	47
19 years .....	41	43	41	25	32	70	55	45	171	280	9	10	33	427	36
20 to 24 years .....	144	167	190	146	182	458	372	469	994	2 011	122	119	215	1 117	269
20 years .....	20	27	41	25	31	70	71	67	323	374	14	17	26	445	47
21 years .....	33	30	43	30	29	67	82	71	298	328	15	21	39	226	52
25 to 34 years .....	163	267	216	147	215	477	437	396	228	442	107	137	243	496	389
35 to 44 years .....	167	218	212	129	168	295	283	235	84	168	65	128	201	455	293
45 to 54 years .....	156	197	243	168	163	352	340	467	11	67	55	185	215	444	296
55 to 59 years .....	73	98	143	86	51	146	113	200	-	2	21	81	72	97	112
60 to 64 years .....	54	72	113	79	77	107	95	165	-	1	13	54	74	88	60
65 to 74 years .....	69	83	120	84	61	112	120	180	3	-	17	63	61	72	77
75 years and over .....	44	34	64	40	26	49	39	79	4	2	3	19	30	36	34



## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County - Con

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
<b>Female, all ages</b>	<b>1 766</b>	<b>2 450</b>	<b>2 339</b>	<b>1 508</b>	<b>1 832</b>	<b>3 336</b>	<b>3 520</b>	<b>3 644</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1 166</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>1 305</b>	<b>2 029</b>	<b>3 525</b>	<b>2 881</b>
Under 5 years	156	272	183	106	162	283	395	259	-	138	30	91	198	310	269
3 and 4 years	73	110	71	40	71	107	148	80	-	48	9	35	85	121	94
5 to 9 years	221	261	185	100	192	281	351	252	-	162	26	138	189	361	294
5 years	39	54	36	17	31	56	71	47	-	27	5	31	27	67	68
6 years	52	67	37	18	56	59	83	52	-	44	7	26	45	61	49
10 to 14 years	225	321	227	109	202	286	292	277	-	151	28	125	221	406	323
14 years	68	66	58	18	38	48	52	55	-	25	4	25	38	70	65
15 to 19 years	188	240	208	157	201	353	351	316	-	127	39	149	211	384	275
15 years	55	58	46	34	48	69	67	48	-	22	4	27	38	79	65
16 years	40	50	47	27	38	70	56	59	-	13	6	28	48	81	59
17 years	34	38	41	31	31	64	73	66	-	5	7	35	46	76	54
18 years	36	50	44	33	30	65	65	54	-	26	10	35	34	74	46
19 years	23	44	30	32	54	85	90	89	-	61	12	24	45	74	51
20 to 24 years	104	227	201	144	205	466	404	539	-	199	123	114	232	283	306
20 years	28	52	41	28	43	100	82	112	-	70	13	18	48	55	44
21 years	17	51	43	29	45	91	85	116	-	44	22	30	61	54	71
25 to 34 years	182	287	230	133	226	442	440	367	-	222	79	123	242	479	405
35 to 44 years	196	284	252	159	205	329	334	332	-	112	47	175	227	505	374
45 to 54 years	184	239	341	224	185	398	426	541	-	50	45	202	222	437	345
55 to 59 years	66	99	157	110	73	154	159	223	-	1	18	58	92	138	87
60 to 64 years	61	78	125	93	65	133	114	190	-	1	19	54	67	76	81
65 to 74 years	89	92	164	119	76	155	176	232	-	1	12	50	86	99	75
75 years and over	94	50	66	54	40	56	78	116	-	2	1	26	42	47	47
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD</b>															
<b>All persons</b>	<b>3 424</b>	<b>4 738</b>	<b>4 491</b>	<b>2 902</b>	<b>3 471</b>	<b>6 484</b>	<b>6 684</b>	<b>6 861</b>	<b>1 570</b>	<b>4 816</b>	<b>1 005</b>	<b>2 547</b>	<b>3 968</b>	<b>8 413</b>	<b>5 575</b>
In households	3 112	4 728	4 472	2 902	3 471	6 475	6 684	6 851	-	1 901	991	2 547	3 960	8 409	5 565
Head of household	821	1 332	1 507	1 048	1 075	2 238	2 145	2 506	-	444	379	830	1 228	1 990	1 653
Head of family	657	1 160	1 218	841	887	1 871	1 828	2 081	-	439	235	708	1 058	1 831	1 469
Primary individual	164	172	289	207	188	367	317	425	-	5	144	122	170	159	184
Wife of head	456	878	988	719	740	1 643	1 598	1 837	-	415	212	648	908	1 636	1 277
Other relative of head	1 783	2 445	1 913	1 100	1 628	2 502	2 870	2 424	-	1 035	275	1 032	1 780	3 149	2 582
Not related to head	52	73	64	35	28	92	71	84	-	7	125	37	44	34	53
In group quarters	312	10	19	-	-	9	-	10	1 570	2 915	14	-	8	1 604	10
Persons per household	3.79	3.55	2.97	2.77	3.23	2.89	3.12	2.73	-	4.28	2.61	3.07	3.22	3.42	3.37

## Census Tracts

## TYPE OF FAMILY AND NUMBER OF OWN CHILDREN

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All families .....	657	1 160	1 218	841	887	1 871	1 828	2 081	-	439	235	708	1 058	1 831	1 449
With own children under 18 years .....	409	722	598	378	498	979	1 100	932	-	375	108	362	592	1 166	942
Number of children .....	1 215	1 862	1 293	764	1 157	1 939	2 341	1 781	-	973	213	806	1 345	2 494	2 025
Husband-wife families .....	456	878	988	719	740	1 643	1 598	1 837	-	415	212	648	908	1 636	1 277
With own children under 18 years .....	284	524	485	316	406	850	947	799	-	351	94	323	503	1 040	817
Number of children .....	866	1 300	1 047	624	944	1 684	2 019	1 511	-	911	185	702	1 116	2 233	1 765
Percent of total under 18 years .....	59.8	63.0	69.8	71.9	72.1	80.8	81.3	79.8	-	86.0	84.5	80.6	74.1	85.1	82.3
Families with other male head .....	21	33	38	18	22	30	28	37	-	5	5	10	29	25	22
With own children under 18 years .....	10	13	21	9	9	11	11	19	-	5	1	3	17	9	9
Number of children .....	27	31	58	21	25	21	19	40	-	13	2	5	49	16	17
Families with female head .....	180	249	192	104	125	198	202	207	-	19	18	50	121	170	170
With own children under 18 years .....	115	185	92	53	83	118	142	114	-	19	13	36	72	117	116
Number of children .....	322	531	188	119	188	234	303	230	-	49	26	99	180	245	243
Percent of total under 18 years .....	22.2	25.7	12.5	13.7	14.4	11.2	12.2	12.2	-	4.6	11.9	11.4	12.0	9.3	11.3
Persons under 18 years .....	1 449	2 063	1 501	868	1 310	2 085	2 483	1 893	13	1 059	219	871	1 506	2 624	2 145

## MARITAL STATUS

Male, 14 years old and over .....	1 097	1 452	1 568	1 042	1 149	2 319	2 138	2 513	1 568	3 164	448	920	1 330	3 875	1 857
Single .....	384	431	382	223	308	497	413	480	947	2 316	184	200	305	1 998	453
Married .....	619	942	1 047	753	779	1 701	1 609	1 905	603	801	226	669	951	1 792	1 330
Separated .....	58	32	23	11	15	22	14	29	11	12	1	6	17	19	14
Widowed .....	59	31	53	17	20	42	32	64	-	5	1	14	28	31	30
Divorced .....	35	48	86	49	42	79	54	64	18	42	37	37	46	54	44
Female, 14 years old and over .....	1 232	1 662	1 802	1 211	1 314	2 534	2 534	2 911	-	740	387	976	1 459	2 518	2 060
Single .....	343	337	289	164	254	391	358	476	-	266	125	190	253	487	395
Married .....	593	1 044	1 112	776	831	1 726	1 714	1 974	-	467	226	690	990	1 758	1 380
Separated .....	81	101	62	19	35	19	43	40	-	3	11	27	30	30	34
Widowed .....	237	189	259	179	158	248	287	319	-	5	18	67	131	170	148
Divorced .....	59	92	142	92	71	169	175	142	-	2	18	29	85	103	137

Table P-1. General Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Balance of Escombia County - Con.										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
RACE															
All persons -----	6 753	4 884	7 340	7 841	4 489	9 913	9 466	3 967	2 879	3 814	4 201	2 194	3 399	1 185	4 649
White -----	6 600	4 796	3 890	7 762	3 901	8 614	9 019	2 472	2 401	3 244	2 712	2 185	3 363	1 083	4 371
Negro -----	99	54	3 421	30	554	1 108	419	1 491	458	537	1 484	3	29	17	142
Percent Negro -----	1.5	1.1	46.6	0.4	12.3	11.2	4.4	37.6	15.9	14.1	35.3	0.1	0.9	1.5	3.0
AGE BY SEX															
Male, all ages -----	3 281	2 405	3 685	3 921	2 214	5 503	4 773	2 002	1 481	1 875	1 981	1 110	1 719	553	3 852
Under 5 years -----	348	319	453	371	231	454	436	223	123	165	186	87	146	44	265
3 and 4 years -----	135	110	187	138	95	196	193	90	49	71	74	36	51	19	72
5 to 9 years -----	421	349	567	499	273	525	542	231	165	191	248	118	176	72	172
5 years -----	72	71	121	93	51	100	110	46	26	39	42	18	42	11	41
6 years -----	83	64	118	94	53	120	85	48	23	38	43	18	35	11	32
10 to 14 years -----	425	319	545	512	248	523	629	291	190	245	243	126	198	84	138
14 years -----	90	48	96	91	53	108	128	49	31	47	40	21	46	16	28
15 to 19 years -----	295	154	327	344	210	594	499	233	178	224	253	119	175	41	325
15 years -----	80	50	78	73	42	87	126	57	48	54	53	27	49	12	27
16 years -----	71	40	90	88	58	82	118	40	40	39	61	29	39	10	10
17 years -----	63	23	86	75	52	88	99	45	37	58	51	28	34	9	26
18 years -----	51	27	44	57	25	136	77	44	36	42	42	22	29	5	74
19 years -----	30	14	29	51	33	201	79	47	17	31	46	13	24	5	188
20 to 24 years -----	227	167	199	377	200	1 235	495	175	103	109	123	82	104	35	1 398
20 years -----	36	18	36	43	30	369	105	33	18	24	24	17	20	3	394
21 years -----	47	21	38	59	36	305	117	40	22	27	24	17	13	9	301
25 to 34 years -----	489	476	569	569	363	782	626	223	163	208	204	120	228	69	436
35 to 44 years -----	448	363	474	468	251	587	628	222	166	198	171	115	167	70	159
45 to 54 years -----	396	170	308	410	213	409	480	196	148	198	193	117	173	55	69
55 to 59 years -----	98	38	89	122	57	144	158	81	73	99	89	66	116	33	30
60 to 64 years -----	51	19	63	96	68	100	107	50	51	67	72	45	98	18	22
65 to 74 years -----	59	20	65	101	70	108	115	57	84	119	136	68	79	19	26
75 years and over -----	24	11	26	52	30	42	58	20	37	52	63	47	59	13	12



## ensus Tracts

	Balance of Escombio County - Con											Santa Rosa County			
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Female, all ages.....	3 472	2 481	3 453	3 920	2 273	4 410	4 693	1 963	1 398	1 939	2 220	1 084	1 480	532	1 617
Under 5 years.....	300	288	355	371	214	465	361	222	129	148	194	87	118	40	234
3 and 4 years.....	129	121	143	157	84	185	144	83	48	59	76	38	55	17	75
5 to 9 years.....	415	351	546	485	262	513	498	240	142	214	234	105	162	68	182
5 years.....	73	60	106	95	54	91	91	49	30	37	45	23	41	13	39
6 years.....	85	79	96	92	54	110	104	41	21	46	42	15	15	13	44
10 to 14 years.....	415	303	480	449	240	509	541	238	171	225	261	114	172	74	142
14 years.....	88	47	90	83	46	110	110	47	40	44	53	31	40	10	17
15 to 19 years.....	352	203	351	367	203	395	456	224	121	199	224	94	136	54	169
15 years.....	75	57	80	86	47	105	85	49	18	56	48	28	29	14	24
16 years.....	81	51	84	73	41	72	113	58	19	51	43	22	22	9	26
17 years.....	73	34	60	76	47	74	92	42	31	37	58	11	32	19	23
18 years.....	69	31	62	63	40	72	88	41	25	33	43	21	26	8	30
19 years.....	54	30	65	69	28	72	78	34	28	22	32	12	27	4	66
20 to 24 years.....	290	246	304	429	244	387	567	187	88	133	161	68	111	34	336
20 years.....	51	36	51	84	50	85	151	41	23	41	35	15	17	9	68
21 years.....	64	44	48	98	44	74	155	41	15	22	29	15	23	6	78
25 to 34 years.....	539	511	610	606	346	739	676	223	166	211	217	142	225	82	281
35 to 44 years.....	523	329	465	511	271	545	643	242	168	213	215	109	191	68	114
45 to 54 years.....	374	164	289	358	209	421	453	196	151	216	233	124	176	60	67
55 to 59 years.....	100	30	89	120	81	120	144	64	78	101	121	56	117	17	25
60 to 64 years.....	69	26	42	82	69	109	115	47	50	76	96	61	96	20	26
65 to 74 years.....	60	21	82	107	75	139	126	59	84	143	188	80	96	21	27
75 years and over.....	35	9	41	35	57	68	96	23	50	60	76	44	80	14	14
RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD															
All persons.....	6 753	4 886	7 340	7 841	4 489	9 913	9 466	3 967	2 879	3 814	4 201	2 194	3 399	1 105	4 649
in households.....	6 746	4 886	7 324	7 841	4 477	9 913	9 015	3 882	2 878	3 814	4 189	2 194	3 399	1 105	3 126
Head of household.....	1 908	1 293	1 853	2 262	1 327	2 480	2 545	1 005	845	1 130	1 254	670	1 064	299	923
Head of family.....	1 766	1 257	1 706	2 055	1 189	2 244	2 338	904	743	967	1 017	596	921	273	888
Primary individual.....	142	38	147	207	138	236	207	101	102	163	237	74	143	26	35
Wife of head.....	1 579	1 147	1 522	1 888	1 056	2 015	2 141	768	649	836	793	522	831	260	858
Other relative of head.....	3 194	2 412	3 885	3 619	2 044	4 059	4 255	2 074	1 372	1 826	2 087	990	1 484	543	1 334
Not related to head.....	65	32	64	75	50	91	74	35	12	22	55	12	20	3	11
In group quarters.....	7	-	16	-	12	1 268	451	85	1	-	12	-	-	-	1 543
Persons per household.....	3.54	3.77	3.95	3.47	3.37	3.49	3.54	3.86	3.41	3.38	3.34	3.27	3.19	3.70	3.39

## ensus Tracts

## TYPE OF FAMILY AND NUMBER OF OWN CHILDREN

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
All families .....	1 764	1 257	1 706	2 055	1 189	2 244	2 338	904	743	967	1 017	596	921	273	888
With own children under 18 years .....	1 196	981	1 190	1 314	725	1 468	1 532	583	420	535	561	317	493	166	569
Number of children .....	2 626	2 105	3 128	2 983	1 628	3 267	3 396	1 520	1 009	1 331	1 440	706	1 077	412	1 168
Percent of total under 18 years .....	83.8	88.5	81.3	86.4	82.8	84.1	85.8	70.9	81.7	80.3	66.4	83.0	84.3	88.8	89.3
Husband-wife families .....	1 579	1 147	1 522	1 888	1 056	2 015	2 141	768	649	836	793	522	831	260	858
With own children under 18 years .....	1 057	900	1 074	1 199	643	1 324	1 411	492	370	476	441	290	456	163	554
Number of children .....	2 319	1 933	2 782	2 729	1 454	2 942	3 124	1 231	909	1 191	1 116	649	992	404	1 133
Percent of total under 18 years .....	83.8	88.5	81.3	86.4	82.8	84.1	85.8	70.9	81.7	80.3	66.4	83.0	84.3	88.8	89.3
Families with other male head .....	26	24	34	43	26	35	42	29	25	23	26	10	21	5	11
With own children under 18 years .....	16	15	13	19	17	14	19	15	10	11	12	3	7	1	4
Number of children .....	37	35	34	48	29	24	42	43	20	22	28	6	14	1	8
Families with female head .....	161	86	150	124	107	194	155	107	69	108	196	64	69	8	19
With own children under 18 years .....	123	66	103	96	65	130	102	76	40	48	108	24	30	2	11
Number of children .....	270	137	312	206	145	301	230	246	80	118	296	51	71	7	27
Percent of total under 18 years .....	9.8	6.3	9.1	6.5	8.3	8.6	6.3	14.2	7.2	8.0	17.6	6.5	6.0	1.5	2.1
Persons under 18 years .....	2 767	2 184	3 424	3 158	1 755	3 497	3 640	1 736	1 113	1 483	1 680	782	1 177	455	1 269

## MARITAL STATUS

Male, 14 years old and over .....	2 177	1 446	2 216	2 630	1 515	4 109	3 294	1 304	1 034	1 321	1 344	800	1 245	369	2 505
Single .....	495	249	536	570	357	1 816	971	388	291	374	409	213	310	90	1 483
Married .....	1 625	1 179	1 590	1 944	1 106	2 168	2 220	848	687	874	853	542	882	268	967
Separated .....	15	11	28	17	15	26	17	26	17	12	20	5	9	2	17
Widowed .....	17	14	42	45	16	35	41	18	26	38	48	28	37	10	13
Divorced .....	40	24	48	71	36	90	62	52	30	35	34	17	16	1	42
Female, 14 years old and over .....	2 430	1 584	2 364	2 698	1 605	3 033	3 403	1 312	996	1 396	1 584	809	1 268	380	1 076
Single .....	486	266	500	473	269	570	807	306	173	296	346	136	196	75	144
Married .....	1 683	1 210	1 656	1 992	1 123	2 146	2 248	866	689	902	916	554	883	274	882
Separated .....	22	10	50	23	25	51	20	53	16	27	74	6	6	2	5
Widowed .....	137	43	158	141	145	211	237	98	118	173	271	110	163	28	39
Divorced .....	124	67	50	92	68	106	111	42	16	25	51	9	26	3	11

Table P-1. General Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts	Santa Rosa County—Con					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
<b>RACE</b>															
All persons .....	3 344	4 588	7 071	5 257	4 190	5 932	8 307	4 182	6 809	3 441	3 540	4 820	3 037	6 720	10 121
White .....	3 063	5 659	6 696	4 890	4 180	422	8 283	6 090	5 286	137	619	4 310	3 013	6 620	8 822
Negro .....	179	895	356	356	2	5 508	2	63	1 487	3 304	2 911	484	-	49	1 108
Percent Negro .....	5.5	13.6	5.0	6.8	-	92.9	-	1.0	21.8	96.0	82.2	10.0	-	0.7	10.9
<b>AGE BY SEX</b>															
Male, all ages .....	1 652	3 131	3 486	2 721	2 050	2 673	4 055	2 955	3 219	1 604	1 703	2 291	1 453	3 349	5 608
Under 5 years .....	204	307	330	218	155	288	460	262	335	156	152	204	129	353	460
3 and 4 years .....	87	131	127	87	61	124	183	94	123	68	60	80	47	118	199
5 to 9 years .....	209	407	387	282	227	376	568	264	428	184	222	204	134	295	539
5 years .....	34	66	72	51	35	71	106	50	82	40	35	54	37	70	102
6 years .....	41	78	78	52	40	66	99	54	88	36	32	43	25	48	126
10 to 14 years .....	205	381	437	359	294	402	491	262	466	238	249	245	131	276	537
14 years .....	39	73	88	70	55	75	92	58	95	49	46	49	32	58	111
15 to 19 years .....	138	270	316	247	216	302	305	319	303	186	188	237	136	276	611
15 years .....	31	76	68	64	44	76	75	65	79	48	34	57	33	51	91
16 years .....	27	63	75	53	50	65	72	50	60	48	36	48	28	40	86
17 years .....	31	50	50	57	49	71	53	71	73	27	47	52	21	63	92
18 years .....	27	35	68	43	44	46	55	64	47	35	30	38	29	52	139
19 years .....	22	46	57	29	29	44	50	69	44	28	41	42	25	70	203
20 to 24 years .....	176	339	364	231	115	181	222	419	263	93	144	201	153	469	1 242
20 years .....	24	45	61	45	16	44	39	49	49	22	20	42	26	71	371
21 years .....	44	52	67	42	18	38	30	54	46	18	33	44	32	70	307
25 to 34 years .....	235	471	463	320	236	231	776	428	466	174	164	231	148	489	796
35 to 44 years .....	203	345	398	316	306	252	565	348	405	157	177	226	131	306	606
45 to 54 years .....	148	296	338	290	293	260	424	309	290	155	160	267	178	369	420
55 to 59 years .....	43	89	131	114	87	107	103	127	81	86	76	153	93	151	146
60 to 64 years .....	32	76	87	75	44	98	65	74	91	77	57	126	84	109	101
65 to 74 years .....	39	104	148	135	63	113	57	94	76	74	69	133	91	122	108
75 years and over .....	20	46	82	42	14	63	19	49	45	24	45	64	45	54	42

## Census Tracts

	Santa Rosa County - Con					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
<b>Female, all ages</b>	<b>1 616</b>	<b>3 457</b>	<b>3 585</b>	<b>2 626</b>	<b>2 140</b>	<b>3 259</b>	<b>4 247</b>	<b>3 227</b>	<b>3 540</b>	<b>1 837</b>	<b>1 837</b>	<b>2 529</b>	<b>1 584</b>	<b>3 451</b>	<b>4 513</b>
Under 5 years	149	293	305	217	141	298	461	224	321	160	160	193	110	293	472
3 and 4 years	45	115	137	92	62	128	184	82	119	56	73	74	41	112	186
5 to 9 years	195	393	380	259	233	374	537	220	399	197	232	194	103	289	529
5 years	38	78	65	41	41	68	113	32	67	41	39	38	19	59	97
6 years	44	79	84	55	46	79	90	40	78	38	54	37	18	60	113
10 to 14 years	201	383	399	298	283	396	473	286	439	236	238	236	112	297	528
14 years	38	67	81	62	47	80	81	59	88	60	69	60	21	51	115
15 to 19 years	142	309	346	256	231	325	326	389	325	215	197	229	164	363	400
15 years	20	51	71	58	62	80	66	59	63	45	57	49	37	70	106
16 years	28	88	84	53	53	69	83	56	72	43	42	48	30	71	75
17 years	29	62	71	47	54	71	67	62	63	50	34	49	32	67	75
18 years	32	55	58	49	29	54	63	113	78	42	40	50	33	67	72
19 years	33	53	62	49	33	51	47	99	49	35	24	33	32	88	72
20 to 24 years	183	380	374	228	139	243	323	509	380	121	106	222	151	473	391
20 years	43	65	76	46	31	57	45	92	72	29	28	42	32	104	86
21 years	35	65	89	51	23	54	57	110	59	24	19	47	32	91	75
25 to 34 years	255	507	498	353	275	361	871	400	521	181	185	247	134	457	763
35 to 44 years	217	431	393	321	349	347	589	427	476	205	211	268	166	339	563
45 to 54 years	131	306	350	304	282	335	379	305	335	233	187	371	239	414	428
55 to 59 years	39	110	153	103	83	142	93	115	100	86	70	174	114	159	123
60 to 64 years	34	102	112	85	33	131	69	117	74	66	64	138	100	140	109
65 to 74 years	41	155	185	133	62	190	82	115	104	99	91	182	131	168	139
75 years and over	29	88	90	69	29	117	44	120	66	38	96	75	60	59	68
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD</b>															
<b>All persons</b>	<b>3 268</b>	<b>6 588</b>	<b>7 071</b>	<b>5 257</b>	<b>4 190</b>	<b>5 932</b>	<b>8 302</b>	<b>6 182</b>	<b>6 809</b>	<b>3 441</b>	<b>3 540</b>	<b>4 820</b>	<b>3 037</b>	<b>6 720</b>	<b>10 121</b>
In households	3 268	6 588	7 056	5 253	4 190	5 829	8 295	5 907	6 791	3 428	3 225	4 801	3 037	6 711	8 853
Head of household	930	2 027	2 164	1 577	1 192	1 657	2 333	1 974	1 926	962	851	1 632	1 104	2 312	2 534
Head of family	837	1 740	1 852	1 384	1 107	1 320	2 188	1 669	1 717	757	681	1 317	881	1 938	2 296
Primary individual	93	287	312	193	85	337	145	305	209	205	170	315	223	374	238
Wife of head	760	1 491	1 615	1 223	1 027	811	2 015	1 501	1 453	521	474	1 074	747	1 704	2 061
Other relative of head	1 545	2 993	3 193	2 423	1 945	3 270	3 889	2 306	3 298	1 875	1 848	2 025	1 147	2 600	4 163
Not related to head	33	77	84	30	26	91	58	126	114	70	52	70	39	95	95
In group quarters	-	-	15	4	-	103	7	275	18	13	315	19	-	9	1 268
Persons per household	3.51	3.25	3.26	3.33	3.52	3.52	3.56	2.99	3.53	3.56	3.79	2.94	2.75	2.90	3.49



## Census Tracts

## TYPE OF FAMILY AND NUMBER OF OWN CHILDREN

	Santa Rosa County - Con					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
All families .....	837	1 740	1 852	1 384	1 107	1 320	2 188	1 669	1 717	757	681	1 317	881	1 938	2 296
With own children under 18 years .....	537	1 049	1 083	771	720	766	1 554	890	1 123	431	422	641	390	1 010	1 511
Number of children .....	1 224	2 376	2 460	1 806	1 607	2 064	3 273	1 782	2 600	1 195	1 261	1 367	789	2 011	3 356
Husband-wife families .....	760	1 491	1 615	1 223	1 027	811	2 013	1 501	1 453	521	474	1 074	747	1 704	2 061
With own children under 18 years .....	491	900	944	680	665	457	1 431	792	939	288	294	522	323	881	1 362
Number of children .....	1 091	1 992	2 143	1 608	1 486	1 239	3 016	1 584	2 129	813	894	1 110	637	1 748	3 016
Percent of total under 18 years .....	82.1	78.0	90.6	81.8	90.3	48.3	88.5	84.2	75.6	56.8	59.5	70.3	70.8	80.7	84.0
Families with other male head .....	15	23	46	41	11	55	20	22	30	31	23	42	21	31	36
With own children under 18 years .....	6	10	25	16	4	27	11	7	13	10	10	22	9	11	14
Number of children .....	22	23	57	27	10	64	29	12	23	28	27	59	21	21	24
Families with female head .....	62	226	191	120	69	444	153	146	234	205	184	201	113	203	199
With own children under 18 years .....	40	139	114	75	51	282	112	91	171	133	118	97	58	121	135
Number of children .....	111	361	260	171	111	761	228	186	448	354	340	198	131	242	316
Percent of total under 18 years .....	8.4	14.1	9.8	8.7	6.7	29.7	6.7	9.9	15.9	24.7	22.6	12.5	14.6	11.2	8.8
Persons under 18 years .....	1 329	2 554	2 660	1 965	1 645	2 566	3 406	1 861	2 818	1 432	1 503	1 579	900	2 165	3 590

## MARITAL STATUS

Male, 14 years old and over .....															
Single .....	1 073	2 109	2 417	1 842	1 429	1 682	2 628	2 225	2 115	1 075	1 126	1 687	1 091	2 403	4 183
Married .....	243	478	582	466	349	566	556	571	517	343	392	406	234	514	1 641
Separated .....	785	1 550	1 699	1 290	1 044	984	2 046	1 561	1 516	616	638	1 135	785	1 764	2 216
Widowed .....	8	25	36	24	1	73	2	20	20	44	58	23	11	23	26
Divorced .....	14	43	62	56	13	77	17	44	22	60	61	55	16	42	35
Female, 14 years old and over .....															
Single .....	1 109	2 455	2 582	1 914	1 530	2 271	2 857	2 556	2 469	1 304	1 274	1 966	1 280	2 623	3 099
Married .....	174	435	463	328	333	567	495	579	520	347	356	327	178	404	580
Separated .....	816	1 637	1 753	1 327	1 063	1 147	2 104	1 610	1 614	685	616	1 206	806	1 791	2 200
Widowed .....	15	59	42	31	10	198	15	28	71	100	87	64	20	21	51
Divorced .....	78	279	276	201	80	362	156	273	203	197	239	282	191	256	211
	41	104	90	58	54	195	102	94	132	75	63	151	103	172	108

CENSUS TRACTS.

PENSACOLA, FLA., SMSA P-5



## Census Tracts

## SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
Enrolled persons, 3 to 34 years old .....	72 042	60 992	17 777	43 215	11 050	28	1 018	1 045	1 822	424	1 517	830	1 290	814	2 444
Nursery school .....	690	644	288	356	46	-	-	19	5	-	25	16	17	22	72
Public .....	180	164	69	95	16	-	-	19	5	-	9	16	7	-	13
Kindergarten .....	2 967	2 310	732	1 578	657	5	38	40	98	23	54	11	13	24	108
Public .....	1 147	687	176	511	460	-	13	5	39	8	23	6	8	-	15
Elementary .....	43 537	36 657	10 217	26 440	6 880	11	673	640	1 182	309	994	692	591	341	1 351
Public .....	38 161	31 603	8 066	23 537	6 558	7	523	443	1 117	222	947	670	433	112	914
High school .....	17 816	15 182	4 555	10 627	2 634	6	211	272	477	164	408	64	454	281	602
Public .....	16 195	13 669	3 757	9 912	2 526	6	185	201	471	171	401	54	342	152	412
College .....	7 032	6 199	1 985	4 214	833	6	96	74	60	108	36	47	215	148	313
Percent enrolled in school by age .....															
16 and 17 years .....	87.5	86.5	86.7	86.4	93.4	...	78.5	83.9	86.4	70.9	90.0	44.4	89.6	99.9	89.7
18 and 19 years .....	44.5	44.7	59.0	40.0	43.3	-	44.8	52.6	30.0	86.2	30.9	21.3	63.5	68.7	78.0
20 and 21 years .....	17.2	18.8	29.5	16.0	9.4	-	28.9	2.5	8.6	54.5	21.0	11.9	41.3	47.0	31.0
22 to 24 years .....	9.7	10.3	13.3	9.5	6.9	10.5	15.6	2.4	5.7	50.7	18.9	5.2	15.4	12.7	11.0
25 to 34 years .....	7.5	7.9	9.3	7.4	5.7	-	9.5	8.2	4.1	8.2	3.6	1.3	10.1	20.3	14.1
Percent 16 to 21 years not high school graduates and not enrolled in school .....	16.0	16.3	15.3	16.7	14.4		25.7	26.7	29.2	7.2	18.0	33.8	9.0	1.4	3.4

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Persons, 25 years old and over .....	117 176	99 411	31 937	67 474	17 765	158	2 234	2 624	2 497	1 361	2 722	1 605	3 448	2 194	3 674
No school years completed .....	1 930	1 714	603	1 111	216	5	118	43	85	20	118	59	34	16	19
Elementary: 1 to 4 years .....	5 900	5 065	1 875	3 190	835	-	163	165	319	54	433	228	120	17	40
5 to 7 years .....	13 662	11 645	3 408	8 237	2 017	40	417	411	416	69	546	331	277	95	153
8 years .....	11 077	9 492	2 883	6 610	1 584	18	308	414	289	96	209	235	475	112	154
High school: 1 to 3 years .....	24 845	21 473	5 870	15 603	3 372	119	446	546	591	245	667	416	817	267	505
4 years .....	37 339	31 306	9 371	21 935	6 033	49	541	763	568	413	597	255	953	792	1 129
College: 1 to 3 years .....	11 576	9 615	3 635	5 980	1 961	12	139	178	152	171	80	42	366	383	671
4 years or more .....	10 847	9 100	4 292	4 808	1 747	15	102	104	77	293	72	39	406	512	1 005
Median school years completed .....	12.0	12.0	12.1	11.8	12.1	11.5	9.7	10.5	9.7	12.5	9.2	8.8	12.0	12.7	12.9
Percent high school graduates .....	51.0	50.3	54.2	48.5	54.8	48.1	35.0	39.8	31.9	64.4	27.5	20.9	50.0	76.9	76.3



## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
CHILDREN EVER BORN															
Women 35 to 44 years old ever married	13 898	11 758	3 415	8 343	2 140	4	154	229	291	115	250	122	242	157	551
Children ever born	44 899	38 378	11 157	27 221	6 521	8	566	781	1 213	414	1 128	587	704	447	1 707
Per 1 000 women ever married	3 231	3 264	3 267	3 263	3 047	...	3 675	3 410	4 168	3 600	4 512	4 811	2 909	2 847	3 098
RESIDENCE IN 1965															
Persons 5 years old and over, 1970 <sup>1</sup>	221 614	187 204	54 859	132 345	34 410	258	3 614	4 304	4 990	2 128	4 612	2 907	5 232	3 216	6 540
Same house as in 1970	102 230	88 010	28 758	59 252	14 220	128	1 960	2 772	2 967	1 412	2 409	1 534	3 025	2 139	2 953
Different house															
in central city of this SMSA	24 724	23 390	10 765	12 625	1 334	13	872	670	1 274	385	1 307	850	919	334	937
in other part of this SMSA	28 629	21 532	2 734	18 798	7 097	14	237	133	42	67	251	105	250	118	476
Outside this SMSA	50 124	40 470	9 261	31 209	9 654	56	262	506	341	224	223	287	777	570	1 814
North and West	20 153	16 098	3 329	12 769	4 055	-	132	241	39	111	43	117	236	204	790
South	29 971	24 372	5 932	18 440	5 599	56	130	265	302	113	180	170	541	366	1 024
Abroad	4 058	3 494	487	3 007	564	-	6	44	38	-	10	3	58	-	134
MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION AND PLACE OF WORK															
All workers	91 433	76 981	21 821	55 160	14 452	82	1 355	1 797	1 765	825	1 659	1 034	2 117	1 459	2 681
Private motor Driver	66 238	56 633	14 826	41 807	9 605	32	639	1 164	740	608	673	486	1 564	1 152	2 292
Passenger	14 237	11 576	4 023	7 553	2 661	4	305	393	645	109	463	179	400	188	234
Bus or streetcar	1 163	1 021	571	450	142	9	61	53	92	19	158	61	51	-	14
Two-way elevated train or railroad	48	48	21	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walked to work	4 956	4 134	1 234	2 900	822	21	238	68	235	41	239	217	23	-	29
Worked at home	1 386	1 091	281	810	295	16	38	35	-	34	4	-	14	37	28
Other	3 405	2 478	865	1 613	927	-	74	84	53	14	122	91	65	82	84
Inside SMSA	81 612	69 176	19 445	49 731	12 436	75	1 222	1 569	1 528	789	1 318	936	1 895	1 287	2 386
Pensacola city	29 950	28 300	12 064	16 236	1 650	75	798	897	971	522	841	697	1 373	799	1 392
Remainder of Escambia County	41 647	39 509	6 775	32 734	2 138	-	385	659	524	242	434	212	516	457	869
Santa Rosa County	10 015	1 367	676	761	8 648	-	39	13	33	25	43	27	6	31	125
Outside SMSA	3 680	2 852	545	2 307	828	-	36	34	78	18	27	16	42	40	107
Place of work not reported	6 141	4 953	1 831	3 122	1 188	7	97	194	159	18	314	82	180	132	188

See text for definition <sup>1</sup>Includes "Moved, 1965 residence not reported"



## Census Tracts

## SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
Enrolled persons, 3 to 34 years old .....	2 453	894	1 877	284	462	31	64	64	107	99	73	412	1 092	1 934	741
Nursery school .....	70	18	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	7	-
Public .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-
Kindergarten .....	174	39	90	-	-	-	-	-	8	7	-	30	25	54	23
Public .....	15	12	24	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	7	23
Elementary .....	1 360	301	1 063	230	308	12	30	30	72	27	45	281	503	1 290	447
Public .....	1 224	262	636	195	275	12	-	12	41	21	33	268	415	1 027	421
High school .....	524	267	490	49	111	19	36	30	18	52	21	41	354	405	261
Public .....	506	239	394	49	82	19	6	30	-	37	21	27	321	363	254
College .....	325	269	210	7	43	-	-	6	9	13	7	60	194	178	10
Percent enrolled in school by age .....															
16 and 17 years .....	89.9	83.3	94.9	19.4	61.0	-	...	...	...	...	...	76.9	83.0	81.0	99.9
18 and 19 years .....	84.8	94.1	42.9	40.0	57.1	...	-	-	...	...	-	31.5	74.1	36.8	67.6
20 and 21 years .....	47.9	54.4	33.1	-	37.0	-	-	-	-	-	...	16.3	57.6	24.7	-
22 to 24 years .....	18.9	23.0	7.3	-	22.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.3	5.5	15.1	-
25 to 34 years .....	9.6	9.3	16.1	7.5	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.0	9.2	8.3	-
Percent 16 to 21 years not high school graduates and not enrolled in school .....	7.2	2.0	8.6	44.7	39.6	...	-	51.5	-	-	53.4	22.9	7.1	14.5	17.0

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Persons, 25 years old and over .....	3 412	1 244	3 050	255	850	44	219	84	158	100	239	697	1 902	2 761	842
No school years completed .....	20	17	16	6	9	18	-	-	-	-	13	10	11	36	54
Elementary 1 to 4 years .....	25	20	35	31	201	7	-	4	13	-	46	39	36	85	136
5 to 7 years .....	72	40	229	58	181	6	10	19	38	-	43	81	190	330	151
8 years .....	86	136	202	20	69	4	34	18	4	-	4	51	197	273	144
High school: 1 to 3 years .....	351	218	482	59	161	9	24	16	31	-	65	160	372	592	161
4 years .....	1 304	394	1 154	64	176	-	86	27	51	55	42	231	659	897	172
College: 1 to 3 years .....	649	212	468	12	38	-	17	-	21	24	16	66	171	231	21
4 years or more .....	905	209	464	5	15	-	48	-	-	21	10	59	266	317	23
Median school years completed .....	12.9	12.5	12.5	9.6	8.5	3.3	12.5	9.2	11.3	12.9	9.6	12.0	12.2	12.1	8.6
Percent high school graduates .....	83.8	65.4	68.4	31.8	26.9	-	68.9	32.1	45.6	100.0	28.5	51.1	57.6	52.3	25.1

## CHILDREN EVER BORN

Women, 35 to 64 years old ever married .....	485	146	497	52	65	15	12	6	11	11	-	57	301	396	140
Children ever born .....	1 211	350	1 527	141	216	44	38	30	27	18	-	126	831	1 312	546
Per 1,000 women ever married .....	2 497	2 397	3 072	2 712	3 323	...	...	...	...	...	-	2 211	2 761	3 313	3 900

## Census Tracts

## RESIDENCE IN 1965

Persons, 5 years old and over, 1970<sup>1</sup>

	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
Same house as in 1970.....	6 126	2 367	5 338	628	1 475	92	343	178	272	219	366	1 230	3 477	5 299	1 796
Different house:	1 977	709	3 148	161	946	21	174	51	167	105	187	487	1 491	2 486	1 405
In central city of this SMSA.....	1 208	384	932	230	287	59	61	25	10	8	74	212	514	686	197
In other part of this SMSA.....	305	210	239	154	91	-	20	-	-	22	35	194	313	603	89
Outside this SMSA.....	2 141	813	816	50	67	6	64	90	70	84	47	280	987	1 019	96
North and West.....	814	300	215	12	3	-	7	7	28	30	8	124	313	519	32
South.....	1 327	513	601	38	64	6	57	83	42	54	39	156	674	700	64
Abroad.....	95	56	31	7	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	168	-

## MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION AND PLACE OF WORK

All workers.....	2 622	925	2 359	142	529	34	164	46	128	98	120	537	1 651	1 965	556
Private auto: Driver.....	2 133	747	1 920	42	285	19	127	29	76	98	73	463	1 287	1 508	314
Passenger.....	338	128	312	100	174	6	24	-	21	-	29	42	258	273	184
Bus or streetcar.....	20	-	6	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	16	16
Subway, elevated train, or railroad.....	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walked to work.....	28	25	21	-	12	9	13	-	15	-	13	21	34	92	1
Worked at home.....	27	-	41	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	7	11	22	-
Other.....	76	25	59	-	10	-	-	17	9	-	5	4	54	54	1
Inside SMSA.....	2 400	856	2 193	120	423	34	158	46	118	92	111	474	1 535	1 769	52
Pensacola city.....	1 298	479	1 335	70	237	24	133	30	63	30	49	288	827	683	23
Remainder of Escambia County.....	981	303	805	42	186	10	25	16	55	54	54	173	632	1 001	27
Santa Rosa County.....	121	74	53	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	13	76	85	1
Outside SMSA.....	76	21	41	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	5	32	33	73	-
Place of work not reported.....	146	48	125	22	97	-	6	-	10	6	4	31	83	123	2

<sup>1</sup>See text for definition. <sup>2</sup>Includes "Moved, 1965 residence not reported."

Table P-2. Social Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

## Census Tracts

## ACTIVITY, PARENTAGE, &amp; COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

	Balance of Escombe County—Con														
	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023 99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All persons .....	3 398	5 816	4 178	2 885	3 528	6 485	6 684	6 959	1 578	4 816	1 008	2 582	3 847	8 457	5 673
Live of native parentage .....	3 376	4 916	4 106	2 775	3 406	6 088	5 573	6 125	1 473	4 257	920	2 467	3 535	7 954	5 308
Live of foreign or mixed parentage .....	3	81	65	87	92	298	624	632	57	327	88	101	222	418	266
Foreign born .....	19	19	7	23	30	99	487	202	48	232	—	14	90	85	99
Foreign stock .....	22	180	72	118	122	397	1 111	834	185	559	88	115	312	563	865
United Kingdom .....	—	—	13	15	23	100	46	121	13	63	12	20	38	126	33
Ireland (Eire) .....	—	—	13	16	7	6	—	34	—	14	—	—	13	—	7
Sweden .....	—	—	—	—	—	12	16	29	—	—	—	—	—	17	11
Germany .....	—	58	10	13	22	27	57	59	13	40	9	36	26	27	66
France .....	—	—	—	—	—	6	14	7	—	15	7	6	—	20	—
Czechoslovakia .....	—	—	—	5	13	5	23	6	—	—	—	—	—	7	—
Austria .....	—	—	—	7	—	12	—	7	—	—	11	4	—	29	—
Hungary .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	12	—	—	—	9	—	6	—	8
U.S.R. .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	31	—	6	8	—	—	8	15
Italy .....	—	34	18	7	—	41	94	109	12	17	8	7	23	38	7
Yugoslavia .....	—	—	12	6	12	36	89	91	13	88	—	36	65	35	40
Mexico .....	—	—	—	10	—	—	6	7	7	12	—	—	7	5	6
Canada .....	—	—	—	—	—	7	—	48	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other America .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	7	—	28	—	—	—	71	—
Other and not reported .....	22	8	6	31	45	145	718	278	47	276	24	6	134	120	172
Persons of Spanish language <sup>1</sup> .....	—	54	25	116	42	24	76	106	35	153	55	64	158	133	79
Persons of Spanish surname <sup>1</sup> .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Persons of Spanish mother tongue .....	—	46	25	84	17	8	53	51	35	87	49	22	50	55	32
Persons of Puerto Rican birth or parentage .....	—	8	—	8	—	—	11	40	21	29	—	9	—	43	—



# ensus Tracts

## SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023 99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
Enrolled persons, 3 to 34 years old .....	1 897	1 636	973	681	1 110	1 572	1 740	1 642	52	932	276	724	1 084	2 384	1 750
Primary school .....	8	17	-	-	-	14	19	26	-	38	12	6	10	33	37
Public .....	8	7	-	-	-	9	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Separation .....	46	39	59	19	64	24	52	47	-	56	-	18	15	60	84
Public .....	28	24	10	6	6	13	37	8	-	-	-	12	8	-	20
Elementary .....	695	1 137	592	390	704	901	1 096	933	-	496	139	402	760	1 311	972
Public .....	692	1 097	521	371	630	775	755	698	-	380	139	337	638	1 112	900
High school .....	331	404	271	183	271	464	413	414	13	157	53	250	252	638	455
Public .....	324	397	263	178	244	428	321	317	13	116	45	237	252	519	442
Age .....	17	39	51	89	71	169	160	242	39	185	72	48	49	342	202
Percent enrolled in school by age .....															
16 and 17 years .....	90.6	75.5	76.0	93.7	98.0	82.7	82.4	88.8	...	75.6	...	99.9	82.3	99.9	90.7
18 and 19 years .....	37.5	50.3	36.8	43.8	38.9	43.7	44.8	57.0	5.0	5.6	...	65.4	22.3	24.2	70.4
20 and 21 years .....	11.1	-	10.9	16.5	11.4	13.8	12.4	12.5	2.1	2.4	21.7	9.1	7.2	12.4	21.0
22 to 24 years .....	-	6.1	6.2	7.7	11.1	15.6	8.1	9.6	3.7	6.2	14.4	9.2	7.9	6.0	13.2
25 to 34 years .....	1.8	4.4	2.7	11.2	5.8	5.4	3.2	8.9	3.0	9.9	15.9	3.9	3.1	11.7	6.0
Percent 16 to 21 years not high school graduates and not enrolled in school .....	22.9	29.1	31.2	23.5	26.4	15.9	20.8	11.1	22.8	14.0	-	11.2	28.9	9.0	9.0

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Persons, 25 years old and over .....	1 689	2 106	2 395	1 634	1 660	3 209	3 164	3 668	306	1 041	494	1 389	1 910	3 471	2 710
School years completed .....	117	52	44	6	65	9	46	31	-	-	-	-	39	25	18
Elementary: 1 to 4 years .....	247	130	287	86	125	131	69	65	-	4	-	33	104	36	48
5 to 7 years .....	333	464	591	267	341	283	288	288	10	33	10	146	247	192	197
8 years .....	130	297	352	295	191	356	254	221	32	17	16	137	234	199	210
High school: 1 to 3 years .....	424	603	620	463	375	892	711	709	59	170	79	312	496	791	733
4 years .....	287	461	385	404	403	1 093	1 240	1 151	116	324	141	413	567	1 370	1 144
Age: 1 to 3 years .....	90	74	80	86	101	213	388	641	41	165	86	190	151	478	234
4 years or more .....	61	25	36	27	59	232	168	562	48	328	162	158	72	380	126
Median school years completed .....	9.1	9.5	8.8	10.1	9.9	11.8	12.2	12.5	12.4	12.9	13.0	12.2	11.0	12.4	12.1
Percent high school graduates .....	25.9	26.6	20.9	31.6	33.9	47.9	56.8	64.2	67.0	78.5	78.7	54.8	41.4	64.2	55.5

## Balance of Escambia County - Con

## 1980 Tracts

## CHILDREN EVER BORN

Women, 35 to 44 years old ever married .....

Children ever born .....

Per 1,000 women ever married .....

## RESIDENCE IN 1965

Persons, 5 years old and over, 1970<sup>1</sup> .....

In the house as in 1970 .....

Not in the house .....

In central city of this SMSA .....

In other part of this SMSA .....

Outside this SMSA .....

North and West .....

South .....

Not reported .....

## MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION AND PLACE OF WORK

All workers .....

Private auto: Driver .....

Passenger .....

On streetcar .....

On trolley, elevated train, or railroad .....

Went to work .....

Went to home .....

Not reported .....

Outside SMSA .....

Panama City .....

Remainder of Escambia County .....

Outside SMSA .....

Place of work not reported .....

Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
234	296	229	131	178	306	337	293	-	82	54	162	265	491	332
1 058	1 092	723	374	684	850	1 018	886	-	355	110	470	872	1 497	1 129
4 521	3 689	3 157	2 855	3 843	2 778	3 021	3 024	-	4 329	1 964	2 901	3 291	3 049	3 401
3 898	4 365	3 849	2 654	3 233	5 863	5 849	6 376	1 578	4 539	941	2 357	3 531	7 839	5 128
1 901	2 107	2 137	1 292	1 810	2 456	2 802	2 743	94	84	263	1 032	1 725	2 729	2 499
473	628	317	474	513	582	410	403	14	79	131	217	246	796	585
362	987	809	371	221	587	740	653	7	8	39	334	534	1 031	518
254	232	396	432	582	1 852	1 497	2 166	978	3 532	452	617	746	2 690	1 151
48	21	164	188	288	523	623	1 158	648	2 188	124	236	193	1 572	447
206	211	232	244	294	1 329	874	1 008	330	1 344	328	381	553	1 118	704
7	25	38	7	5	69	217	159	20	433	-	95	44	245	234
992	1 536	1 509	1 112	1 199	2 494	2 348	2 799	1 578	3 380	449	789	1 201	4 111	3 139
550	1 028	1 048	859	968	1 895	1 875	2 339	1 009	2 936	356	699	815	3 110	1 793
258	331	285	177	159	356	324	296	174	203	35	32	288	608	200
22	14	17	12	5	37	13	-	-	165	-	-	-	5	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135	34	78	11	37	96	24	44	121	57	28	27	30	311	36
6	32	37	6	-	31	30	65	-	8	21	31	28	21	21
21	97	44	47	30	79	82	55	274	11	29	-	40	56	89
877	1 338	1 398	1 042	1 041	2 315	2 201	2 502	1 473	3 144	435	642	1 054	3 835	1 949
417	597	552	410	443	928	751	807	-	10	212	373	377	777	684
460	703	813	624	573	1 350	1 429	1 638	1 473	3 134	223	269	661	3 014	1 242
-	38	33	8	25	37	21	57	-	-	-	-	16	44	23
5	55	53	31	43	62	74	64	86	-	27	84	35	81	76
110	143	58	39	115	117	73	233	19	236	7	63	112	195	114

<sup>1</sup>See text for definition<sup>2</sup>Includes "Moved, 1965 residence not reported."



Table P-2. Social Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text] For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Balance of Escambia County - Con										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
NATIVITY, PARENTAGE, & COUNTRY OF ORIGIN															
All persons .....	6 559	4 859	7 385	7 822	4 284	10 097	9 129	4 304	2 879	3 680	4 335	2 137	3 456	1 163	4 351
Native of native parentage .....	6 047	4 621	7 217	7 472	4 121	9 631	8 901	4 201	2 766	3 634	4 301	2 137	3 456	1 148	3 995
Native of foreign or mixed parentage .....	389	196	133	260	119	307	157	96	83	40	29	-	-	8	203
Foreign born .....	123	42	35	90	44	159	71	7	30	6	5	-	-	7	153
Foreign stock .....	512	238	168	350	163	466	228	103	113	46	34	-	-	15	356
United Kingdom .....	79	42	12	17	16	13	37	13	15	-	-	-	-	-	14
Ireland (Eire) .....	14	7	27	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
Sweden .....	8	7	6	36	-	43	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Germany .....	32	82	11	79	18	47	35	6	-	40	-	-	-	8	6
Poland .....	21	7	-	-	5	16	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
Czechoslovakia .....	7	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
Austria .....	20	-	7	21	-	12	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	-	15
Hungary .....	-	-	-	7	21	13	-	-	34	-	7	-	-	-	-
U S S R .....	-	-	5	-	4	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy .....	54	7	27	24	-	6	14	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Canada .....	95	13	18	15	30	82	39	36	4	-	15	-	-	-	65
Mexico .....	14	-	8	58	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
Cuba .....	-	7	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other America .....	-	-	-	13	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
All other and not reported .....	168	66	47	80	40	212	77	24	39	-	5	-	-	7	233
Persons of Spanish language .....	41	64	52	248	73	186	53	7	-	79	-	-	-	-	105
Other persons of Spanish surname .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Persons of Spanish mother tongue .....	32	36	38	137	47	119	15	7	-	15	-	-	-	-	80
Persons of Puerto Rican birth or parentage .....	32	-	-	26	-	23	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8

## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County - Con.

## Santa Rosa County

## SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Enrolled persons, 3 to 34 years old	2 279	1 679	2 826	2 457	1 295	2 944	3 212	1 404	785	1 058	1 345	517	925	358	621
Nursery school	18	21	-	28	7	23	-	-	-	16	-	-	8	-	5
Public	-	8	-	13	-	15	-	-	-	9	-	-	8	-	-
Kindergarten	113	91	99	124	41	166	126	49	18	23	13	28	79	39	28
Public	32	6	13	56	27	34	74	20	18	23	6	19	63	34	12
Elementary	1 313	1 111	1 875	1 589	801	1 810	1 680	960	554	712	941	311	585	230	421
Public	1 109	1 048	1 749	1 478	687	1 613	1 519	929	554	712	930	311	585	223	403
High school	601	248	666	531	325	606	803	331	196	295	374	171	211	71	134
Public	587	224	655	525	298	598	766	331	196	276	374	171	211	71	126
College	234	208	186	185	121	341	603	66	17	12	17	7	42	18	33
Percent enrolled in school by age															
16 and 17 years	92.7	92.8	83.6	86.2	98.5	87.7	90.3	84.9	91.9	69.7	67.1	88.1	99.9	99.9	62.0
18 and 19 years	65.5	66.0	69.1	36.8	57.4	30.1	56.5	43.2	20.4	70.3	46.0	39.6	67.9	...	11.3
20 and 21 years	34.8	26.1	32.0	10.2	28.5	9.5	63.9	5.0	12.4	13.5	7.4	-	-	...	1.7
22 to 24 years	7.1	5.0	12.0	6.7	13.7	14.1	21.4	6.8	10.3	2.2	-	-	6.5	-	2.3
25 to 34 years	11.3	13.8	6.5	7.5	3.9	7.6	11.7	7.1	1.9	-	4.5	2.4	2.6	-	2.1
Percent 16 to 21 years not high school graduates and not enrolled in school	13.8	11.2	11.6	20.6	15.0	14.4	11.6	28.3	17.9	13.5	33.8	26.2	11.9	7.2	14.1

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Persons, 25 years old and over	3 180	2 169	3 173	3 641	2 098	4 321	4 324	1 789	1 447	1 927	2 098	1 247	1 843	594	1 265
No school years completed	15	18	88	42	18	86	52	75	39	34	68	36	39	23	4
Elementary 1 to 4 years	67	17	189	125	106	206	187	143	84	104	255	61	133	31	42
5 to 7 years	151	88	366	440	283	429	521	204	397	383	490	307	294	99	88
8 years	225	110	207	469	216	398	402	186	220	326	241	174	245	52	130
High school 1 to 3 years	664	401	762	821	485	902	1 123	381	291	495	491	263	386	113	266
4 years	1 367	1 073	1 117	1 298	766	1 478	1 444	591	345	498	458	330	632	226	387
College 1 to 3 years	446	317	329	279	144	407	289	126	38	47	35	43	68	28	125
4 years or more	245	145	115	167	80	415	306	83	33	40	60	33	46	22	223
Median school years completed	12.3	12.4	11.9	11.7	11.6	12.1	11.7	11.3	8.9	9.7	9.0	9.5	10.6	11.4	12.3
Percent high school graduates	64.7	70.8	49.2	47.9	47.2	53.2	47.2	44.7	28.7	30.4	26.4	32.6	40.5	46.5	58.1

## CHILDREN EVER BORN

Women, 35 to 44 years old ever married	568	367	414	532	264	529	575	261	142	180	225	128	148	49	89
Children ever born	1 805	968	1 377	1 725	882	1 643	1 854	1 117	485	677	755	347	427	195	246
Per 1,000 women ever married	3 178	2 638	3 326	3 242	3 341	3 106	3 224	4 280	3 415	3 761	3 356	2 711	2 885	3 980	2 764

## Census Tracts

## RESIDENCE IN 1965

Persons, 5 years old and over, 1970<sup>1</sup>

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Same house as in 1970.....	5 938	4 760	6 591	7 077	3 842	9 156	8 392	3 779	2 604	3 358	3 960	1 944	3 217	1 044	3 917
Different house.....	2 548	1 538	3 338	3 026	1 895	3 328	3 490	2 156	1 743	1 964	2 501	1 183	1 798	437	480
In central city of this SMSA.....	527	395	1 002	813	263	764	1 052	243	46	118	51	65	85	54	5
In other part of this SMSA.....	846	519	696	1 478	663	1 308	1 697	955	386	795	1 020	525	912	440	214
Outside this SMSA.....	1 591	1 493	1 104	1 459	680	2 596	1 308	289	112	324	247	102	361	82	2 716
North and West.....	495	490	304	575	202	1 160	142	104	48	-	32	6	130	20	1 772
South.....	1 096	1 003	800	884	478	1 436	1 166	185	64	324	215	96	231	62	944
Abroad.....	266	109	222	158	67	301	68	25	-	15	-	6	-	-	285

## MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION AND PLACE OF WORK

All workers.....	2 495	1 742	2 290	2 712	1 457	4 326	3 091	1 241	969	1 212	1 144	695	1 258	298	2 554
Private auto.....	2 168	1 427	1 770	2 324	1 154	2 691	2 400	957	498	826	667	386	724	178	1 492
Driver.....	229	237	378	236	204	420	425	211	230	172	299	163	223	107	657
Passenger.....	6	-	6	7	7	-	-	-	49	27	19	25	57	-	-
Bus or streetcar.....	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subway, elevated train, or railroad.....	34	15	7	44	72	1 081	139	47	32	106	77	20	51	6	279
Walked to work.....	18	17	16	19	-	76	95	13	110	54	15	35	71	-	48
Worked at home.....	40	46	113	82	20	31	32	13	50	27	69	66	132	7	78
Other.....	2 380	1 569	2 096	2 517	1 345	3 984	2 866	1 131	547	867	765	572	1 009	286	1 981
Inside SMSA.....	924	589	1 020	960	400	1 231	789	434	115	250	101	26	45	40	47
Pensacola city.....	1 435	973	1 047	1 557	921	2 723	1 983	684	432	603	637	124	245	124	45
Remainder of Escambia County.....	21	7	29	-	24	30	94	13	-	14	27	422	719	122	1 889
Santa Rosa County.....	81	26	51	70	39	85	77	42	305	266	346	116	147	-	6
Outside SMSA.....	34	147	143	125	73	257	148	68	117	79	35	7	102	12	567
Place of work not reported.....															

<sup>1</sup>See text for definition.<sup>2</sup>Includes "Moved, 1965 residence not reported."

Table P-2. Social Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

## NATIVITY, PARENTAGE, &amp; COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

	Santa Rosa County—Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
All persons .....	3 841	6 613	7 013	5 257	4 190	5 910	8 271	6 384	6 893	3 841	3 490	4 844	3 071	6 799	10 231
Native of native parentage .....	3 453	6 359	6 877	5 078	3 902	5 853	7 783	5 990	6 177	3 548	3 468	4 409	2 961	6 397	9 845
Native of foreign or mixed parentage .....	89	172	109	162	265	42	351	222	324	13	3	106	87	303	307
Foreign born .....	19	82	27	17	23	15	137	74	92	-	19	29	23	99	159
Foreign stock .....	108	254	134	179	288	57	488	294	416	13	22	135	110	407	444
United Kingdom .....	43	-	23	23	59	-	55	38	64	-	-	13	15	100	13
Ireland (Eire) .....	8	16	13	-	-	-	7	9	24	-	-	13	16	6	-
Sweden .....	5	8	14	5	-	6	19	-	5	-	-	-	-	12	43
Germany .....	19	89	43	39	34	-	112	38	64	-	-	17	13	27	47
Iceland .....	4	7	7	7	40	-	42	14	-	-	-	-	-	6	16
Czechoslovakia .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	5	5	-
Austria .....	-	7	-	9	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	12	12
Hungary .....	-	-	7	-	-	-	6	13	-	-	-	7	-	-	13
U.S.S.R. ....	-	-	-	-	8	7	5	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Italy .....	-	8	-	25	19	-	19	35	35	-	-	18	7	41	6
Canada .....	7	8	7	65	72	-	69	36	35	-	-	12	6	36	82
Mexico .....	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	8	10	-	-
Cuba .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	7	15
Other America .....	6	-	7	-	-	20	17	12	45	6	-	-	-	-	-
All other and not reported .....	16	111	15	-	56	7	137	66	139	7	22	38	31	150	212
Persons of Spanish language <sup>1</sup> .....	-	45	34	115	-	70	140	108	108	12	-	79	116	133	186
Other persons of Spanish surname <sup>1</sup> .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Persons of Spanish mother tongue .....	-	39	21	23	-	31	66	46	57	6	-	70	84	41	119
Persons of Puerto Rican birth or parentage .....	-	48	-	-	-	-	6	8	6	-	-	-	8	-	23

## Census Tracts

## SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

	Santa Rosa County - Con					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
Enrolled persons, 3 to 34 years old .....	1 181	2 145	2 149	1 407	1 527	1 895	2 845	1 984	2 220	1 203	1 128	1 839	747	1 679	3 845
Nursery school .....	-	18	-	7	8	5	70	34	7	-	8	-	-	14	23
Public .....	-	-	-	-	8	5	-	-	7	-	8	-	-	9	15
Kindergarten .....	46	187	84	88	78	98	204	64	54	23	46	59	19	32	173
Public .....	31	123	70	58	50	39	15	12	7	23	28	10	6	21	34
Elementary .....	756	1 336	1 299	1 014	928	1 227	1 641	804	1 520	755	707	622	420	973	1 837
Public .....	727	1 259	1 261	1 014	775	1 150	1 492	677	1 222	696	704	521	383	816	1 634
High school .....	271	442	568	396	370	498	565	621	454	372	350	307	213	482	658
Public .....	256	425	555	396	315	492	533	560	412	336	343	269	208	428	635
College .....	108	182	198	102	143	67	385	463	185	53	17	51	95	178	354
Percent enrolled in school by age .....															
16 and 17 years .....	99.9	96.4	90.0	68.5	99.9	82.6	88.8	83.1	73.8	88.0	90.6	80.4	93.4	84.6	88.7
18 and 19 years .....	39.8	57.8	41.5	56.0	80.9	27.1	73.4	85.3	37.4	64.6	40.7	35.0	43.8	46.0	32.1
20 and 21 years .....	17.9	14.2	13.2	10.6	38.5	11.4	41.4	56.0	21.5	20.5	11.1	10.9	14.0	13.8	10.4
22 to 24 years .....	13.3	10.0	11.2	11.0	5.0	5.6	16.6	11.7	14.1	14.4	-	5.7	10.5	15.5	14.7
25 to 34 years .....	12.8	7.5	6.9	3.7	6.5	3.8	10.0	9.3	8.3	1.5	1.8	2.5	11.2	5.1	7.4
Percent 16 to 21 years not high school graduates and not enrolled in school .....	13.5	9.1	18.5	19.6	7.2	30.9	9.6	4.8	18.4	25.2	23.5	29.4	26.2	15.6	14.0

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

	1 575	3 844	3 348	2 657	2 172	2 734	4 109	3 148	3 816	1 712	1 733	2 614	1 718	3 367	4 421
Persons, 25 years old and over .....															
Pre-school years completed .....	11	17	61	25	-	98	30	28	42	63	135	44	6	9	86
Elementary, 1 to 4 years .....	86	129	225	105	23	365	64	56	116	337	254	287	90	144	206
5 to 7 years .....	127	234	477	344	47	459	153	230	388	332	339	601	286	321	429
8 years .....	115	207	360	231	70	293	137	333	293	213	134	386	313	360	398
High school, 1 to 3 years .....	264	544	800	566	170	656	511	590	651	322	433	644	479	923	902
4 years .....	649	1 169	991	893	756	610	1 535	1 053	961	348	287	471	431	1 144	1 533
College, 1 to 3 years .....	186	386	316	278	531	168	715	383	243	59	90	97	86	234	431
4 years or more .....	137	358	138	215	575	87	964	475	322	38	61	84	27	232	436
Pre-school years completed .....	12.3	12.3	11.1	12.1	13.1	9.7	12.8	12.3	12.0	8.6	9.0	9.0	10.0	11.8	12.1
Percent high school graduates .....	61.7	62.8	42.9	52.2	85.7	31.6	78.2	60.7	50.6	26.0	25.3	24.9	31.7	47.8	54.3



## Census Tracts

## CHILDREN EVER BORN

Women, 35 to 44 years old ever married .....	217	385	387	340	397	291	542	447	448	205	249	241	137	317	540
Children ever born .....	712	1 222	1 273	1 024	1 075	1 213	1 337	1 181	1 453	762	1 102	761	404	877	1 661
Per 1,000 women ever married .....	3 281	3 174	3 289	3 012	2 708	4 168	2 467	2 642	3 243	3 717	4 426	3 158	2 949	2 767	3 076

## RESIDENCE IN 1965

Persons, 5 years old and over, 1970 <sup>1</sup> .....	3 185	5 983	6 417	4 809	3 894	5 356	7 354	5 844	5 927	3 271	3 190	4 212	2 832	6 135	9 375
Same house as in 1970 .....	1 084	2 637	2 998	2 200	1 403	3 154	2 459	2 200	2 647	2 351	1 922	2 311	1 343	2 623	3 433
Different house .....															
In central city of this SMSA .....	109	124	119	299	474	1 348	1 420	898	916	484	532	378	499	592	772
In other part of this SMSA .....	795	1 126	1 686	938	461	77	499	523	757	180	362	829	371	587	1 330
Outside this SMSA .....	1 014	1 756	1 334	1 033	1 256	388	2 421	1 800	1 069	163	260	460	522	1 922	2 680
North and West .....	393	580	432	272	450	47	938	613	331	35	48	171	195	551	1 190
South .....	621	1 176	902	761	806	341	1 483	1 187	738	128	212	289	327	1 371	1 490
By road .....	85	101	41	9	37	38	95	66	175	5	7	38	7	69	301

## MODEANS OF TRANSPORTATION AND PLACE OF WORK

All workers .....	1 304	2 475	2 428	1 821	1 619	1 885	3 159	2 576	2 107	1 079	1 026	1 673	1 158	2 622	4 434
Private auto: Driver .....	950	1 809	1 428	1 275	1 363	813	2 596	2 034	1 550	599	569	1 175	888	1 971	2 789
Passenger .....	242	368	499	311	91	674	380	386	373	358	264	309	177	377	420
Taxi or streetcar .....	5	14	5	36	-	92	20	7	16	43	22	17	12	37	-
Bike, way, elevated train, or railroad .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	27
Walked to work .....	26	191	162	59	28	248	49	59	92	29	144	91	11	111	1 081
Worked at home .....	8	40	43	50	-	-	34	11	22	-	6	37	6	38	76
Other .....	73	53	291	90	137	58	80	79	54	29	21	44	64	88	31
Outside SMSA .....	1 214	2 284	2 207	1 433	1 450	1 639	2 874	2 391	1 889	952	911	1 556	1 088	2 433	4 076
Pensacola city .....	92	193	307	248	652	1 020	1 586	1 306	753	475	441	685	440	991	1 261
Remainder of Escambia County .....	104	187	494	265	550	578	1 154	935	1 043	459	470	838	640	1 405	2 777
Santa Rosa County .....	1 018	1 904	1 436	920	248	41	134	150	93	18	-	33	8	37	38
Inside SMSA .....	35	96	110	263	55	83	108	54	73	9	5	53	31	62	85
Place of work not reported .....	55	95	111	125	114	163	177	131	145	118	110	64	39	127	263

<sup>1</sup>See text for definition.<sup>2</sup>Includes "Moved, 1965 residence not reported."

Table P-3. Labor Force Characteristics of the Population: 1970

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

## EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Census Tracts	Total SAASA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
EMPLOYMENT STATUS															
Male, 16 years old and over	81 492	68 664	18 453	50 211	12 828	130	1 237	1 543	1 426	699	1 484	902	1 869	1 164	2 388
Labor force	64 992	54 487	13 536	40 951	10 505	57	769	1 172	939	478	955	625	1 264	883	1 852
Percent of total	79.8	79.4	73.4	81.6	81.9	43.8	62.2	76.0	65.8	68.4	64.4	69.3	67.6	75.9	80.2
Civilian labor force	48 456	41 039	12 446	28 593	7 417	57	721	1 048	927	467	943	606	1 202	841	1 591
Employed	46 648	39 422	11 933	27 489	7 226	57	690	1 005	844	457	888	547	1 139	805	1 571
Unemployed	1 808	1 617	513	1 104	191	-	31	43	83	10	55	59	63	36	20
Percent of civilian labor force	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.9	2.6	-	4.3	4.1	9.0	2.1	5.8	9.7	5.2	4.3	1.3
Not in labor force	16 500	14 177	4 917	9 260	2 323	73	468	371	487	221	529	277	605	281	456
Inmate of institution	332	332	70	262	-	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enrolled in school	5 540	4 802	1 624	3 178	738	5	51	66	126	72	154	29	171	84	240
Other under 65 years	5 666	4 844	1 574	3 270	822	-	216	130	251	72	236	113	142	48	98
Other 65 years and over	4 962	4 199	1 649	2 550	763	23	201	175	110	77	139	135	292	149	118
Male, 16 to 21 years old	16 868	14 261	2 998	11 263	2 607	5	167	191	326	94	257	162	317	153	343
Not enrolled in school	9 311	7 811	971	6 840	1 500	-	93	116	163	28	88	114	79	42	46
Not high school graduates	2 688	2 316	469	1 847	372	-	56	56	128	8	49	70	12	-	14
Unemployed or not in labor force	848	772	214	558	76	-	34	3	63	8	17	46	6	-	-
Female, 16 years old and over	83 039	70 593	22 894	47 699	12 446	98	1 580	1 866	1 988	959	1 893	1 199	2 565	1 540	2 533
Labor force	31 298	26 864	9 536	17 328	4 434	35	729	778	1 016	364	863	526	1 016	664	876
Percent of total	37.7	38.1	41.7	36.3	35.6	35.7	46.1	41.7	51.1	38.0	45.6	43.9	39.6	43.1	34.6
Civilian labor force	30 848	26 522	9 516	17 006	4 326	35	729	778	1 016	364	857	526	1 016	664	872
Employed	28 671	24 607	8 877	15 730	4 064	24	657	715	898	345	792	474	963	635	854
Unemployed	2 177	1 915	639	1 276	262	11	72	63	118	19	65	52	53	29	18
Percent of civilian labor force	7.1	7.2	6.7	7.5	6.1	31.4	9.9	8.1	11.6	5.2	7.6	9.9	5.2	4.4	2.1
Not in labor force	51 741	43 729	13 358	30 371	8 012	63	851	1 088	972	595	1 030	673	1 549	876	1 657
Married women, husband present	51 705	43 214	11 958	31 256	8 491	25	621	1 079	746	411	670	429	1 212	837	1 730
In labor force	18 156	15 233	4 705	10 528	2 923	12	299	425	371	154	303	178	488	322	583
With own children under 6 years	15 126	12 468	2 985	9 483	2 658	11	89	268	253	89	123	126	199	142	384
In labor force	3 945	3 283	956	2 327	662	3	48	116	144	40	68	34	75	41	87

## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
OCCUPATION															
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	75 319	64 029	20 810	43 219	11 290	81	1 347	1 720	1 742	802	1 680	1 021	2 102	1 440	2 425
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	11 341	9 487	3 986	5 501	1 854	20	138	162	90	193	69	81	422	477	787
Health workers .....	1 680	1 473	668	805	207	-	18	43	17	32	10	32	45	75	130
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools .....	2 908	2 439	1 033	1 406	469	13	52	36	54	63	35	6	149	128	148
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	6 014	4 971	1 856	3 115	1 043	11	91	105	37	127	26	12	175	218	344
Salaried .....	4 745	3 976	1 548	2 428	769	11	88	73	10	96	26	7	145	180	285
Self-employed in retail trade .....	671	503	168	335	168	-	3	16	10	11	-	5	19	17	47
Sales workers .....	5 937	5 285	1 814	3 471	652	4	100	188	12	67	46	79	215	169	305
Retail trade .....	3 682	3 347	1 027	2 320	335	4	93	122	5	29	11	69	123	69	144
Clerical and kindred workers .....	12 807	11 083	3 482	7 601	1 724	4	195	396	143	107	89	74	428	266	459
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers .....	12 694	10 891	2 448	8 443	1 803	14	144	339	201	103	198	115	226	115	207
Construction craftsmen .....	3 889	3 246	699	2 547	643	-	80	117	103	41	61	33	86	-	25
Mechanics and repairmen .....	3 803	3 247	570	2 677	556	3	36	70	35	11	28	24	45	29	44
Operatives, except transport .....	8 566	6 908	1 357	5 551	1 658	5	151	111	187	40	222	78	142	-	63
Transport equipment operatives .....	2 851	2 437	749	1 688	414	5	84	44	118	24	85	93	70	11	59
Laborers, except farm .....	3 910	3 322	1 167	2 155	588	11	88	106	157	12	187	169	121	32	62
Farm workers .....	954	549	130	419	405	-	6	-	68	-	6	-	24	20	-
Service workers' .....	7 861	6 949	2 602	4 347	912	-	207	228	389	81	448	202	234	127	128
Cleaning and food service workers .....	4 263	3 848	1 418	2 430	415	-	134	116	263	51	336	131	117	26	16
Protective service workers .....	871	741	304	437	130	-	20	40	-	-	-	18	16	37	65
Personal and health service workers .....	2 098	1 821	634	1 187	277	-	43	47	106	30	49	22	63	33	40
Private household workers .....	2 384	2 147	1 219	928	237	7	143	41	340	48	304	118	45	5	11
Female employed, 16 years old and over .....	28 671	24 607	8 877	15 730	4 064	24	657	715	898	345	792	474	963	635	854
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	5 026	4 306	1 844	2 462	720	8	87	86	68	108	52	57	244	208	283
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools .....	2 290	1 958	851	1 107	332	8	42	30	51	54	29	6	107	112	127
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	1 078	894	337	557	184	-	25	22	11	15	16	5	38	58	46
Sales workers .....	2 398	2 173	704	1 469	225	4	59	81	9	16	21	40	104	56	100
Clerical and kindred workers .....	9 288	7 976	2 518	5 458	1 312	-	139	311	75	77	60	46	306	223	321
Secretaries, stenographers, and typists .....	3 456	2 975	1 010	1 965	481	-	63	118	27	18	21	18	104	116	118
Operatives, including transport .....	2 983	2 311	570	1 741	672	5	92	34	97	38	50	50	60	-	35
Other blue-collar workers .....	712	605	209	396	107	-	-	29	32	-	22	20	22	26	15
Farm workers .....	114	84	35	49	30	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	19	10	-
Service workers, except private household .....	4 743	4 163	1 454	2 709	580	-	116	111	270	48	261	138	125	49	43
Private household workers .....	2 329	2 095	1 206	889	234	7	139	41	336	43	304	118	45	5	11

## Census Tracts

## INDUSTRY

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	75 319	64 029	20 810	43 219	11 290	81	1 347	1 720	1 742	802	1 680	1 021	2 102	1 440	2 425
Construction .....	6 395	5 294	1 440	3 854	1 101	11	130	157	160	42	174	77	169	27	118
Manufacturing .....	13 626	10 937	2 417	8 520	2 689	5	81	198	113	69	166	121	183	145	393
Durable goods .....	3 119	2 774	689	2 085	345	5	27	90	38	15	67	66	49	55	74
Transportation .....	2 505	2 232	707	1 525	273	-	68	58	70	11	36	111	35	29	73
Communications, utilities, and sanitary services .....	2 448	2 130	684	1 446	318	-	45	57	34	62	18	29	93	39	55
Wholesale trade .....	2 477	2 226	759	1 467	251	-	28	39	33	38	28	32	148	64	82
Retail trade .....	13 397	11 688	3 568	8 120	1 709	23	255	401	258	98	205	217	374	226	426
Finance, insurance, and real estate .....	2 900	2 493	1 030	1 463	407	-	50	56	28	37	51	10	148	122	187
Business and repair services .....	2 148	1 942	594	1 348	206	-	31	52	35	18	50	34	33	45	99
Personal services .....	5 279	4 695	2 241	2 454	584	18	258	105	470	114	456	218	83	68	63
Health services .....	3 788	3 297	1 415	1 882	491	-	72	66	121	67	114	62	130	145	155
Educational services .....	6 602	5 517	2 234	3 283	1 085	13	127	100	141	118	126	19	267	213	346
Other professional and related services .....	2 515	2 173	947	1 226	342	7	53	76	11	35	83	40	123	91	138
Public administration .....	9 054	7 830	2 277	5 553	1 224	4	106	299	158	70	157	36	284	166	260
Other industries .....	2 185	1 575	497	1 078	610	-	43	54	110	23	16	15	32	60	30
CLASS OF WORKER															
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	75 319	64 029	20 810	43 219	11 290	81	1 347	1 720	1 742	802	1 680	1 021	2 102	1 440	2 425
Private wage and salary workers .....	51 527	44 144	14 154	29 990	7 383	66	988	1 128	1 271	495	1 209	845	1 374	801	1 547
Government workers .....	18 411	15 474	5 219	10 255	2 937	9	268	475	365	204	421	114	603	427	620
Local government workers .....	6 758	5 558	2 439	3 119	1 200	5	151	225	167	96	215	42	269	212	276
Self-employed workers .....	5 002	4 101	1 381	2 720	901	6	86	117	102	103	50	62	125	207	239
Unpaid family workers .....	379	310	56	254	69	-	5	-	4	-	-	-	-	5	19

<sup>1</sup>Includes allocated cases, not shown separately



Table P-3. Labor Force Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

## Census Tracts

## EMPLOYMENT STATUS

	Pensacola — Con										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
<b>Male, 16 years old and over</b> .....	2 080	820	1 839	96	501	29	108	45	88	85	128	391	1 345	1 735	511
Labor force .....	1 829	581	1 401	70	376	29	82	28	82	64	85	350	1 138	1 382	335
Percent of total .....	87.9	70.9	76.2	72.9	75.0	100.0	75.9	62.2	93.2	75.3	66.4	89.5	84.6	78.7	65.6
Civilian labor force .....	1 622	456	1 277	67	358	29	76	22	72	64	73	315	964	1 189	331
Employed .....	1 603	440	1 254	58	312	29	76	22	72	64	69	315	942	1 146	293
Unemployed .....	19	16	23	9	46	—	—	—	—	—	4	—	22	43	38
Percent of civilian labor force .....	1.2	3.5	1.8	13.4	12.8	—	—	—	—	—	5.5	—	2.3	3.6	11.5
Not in labor force .....	251	239	438	26	125	—	26	17	6	21	43	41	207	373	176
Inmate of institution .....	—	14	11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	—	—	—	—
Enrolled in school .....	168	147	247	6	14	—	11	6	6	21	4	15	78	157	29
Other under 65 years .....	65	30	93	9	61	—	5	5	—	—	20	18	79	100	118
Other 65 years and over .....	18	48	87	11	50	—	10	6	—	—	10	8	50	116	29
<b>Male, 16 to 21 years old</b> .....	318	199	316	25	60	6	11	12	12	26	40	30	233	252	119
Not enrolled in school .....	61	30	48	14	43	—	—	6	—	—	31	21	39	63	59
Not high school graduates .....	19	9	10	—	38	—	—	—	—	—	15	13	24	15	30
Unemployed or not in labor force .....	7	—	10	—	20	—	—	—	—	—	15	—	21	6	24
<b>Female, 16 years old and over</b> .....	2 227	1 048	2 135	279	562	27	157	73	89	76	172	504	1 423	2 006	627
Labor force .....	825	356	939	135	240	17	63	27	40	27	38	213	548	814	276
Percent of total .....	37.0	34.0	44.0	48.4	42.7	63.0	40.1	37.0	44.9	35.5	22.1	42.3	38.5	40.6	44.0
Civilian labor force .....	825	356	934	135	235	17	63	27	40	27	38	213	548	814	276
Employed .....	804	346	904	89	214	6	63	27	40	27	32	203	526	713	235
Unemployed .....	21	10	30	46	21	11	—	—	—	—	6	10	22	101	41
Percent of civilian labor force .....	2.5	2.8	3.2	34.1	8.9	—	—	—	—	—	15.8	4.7	4.0	12.4	14.9
Not in labor force .....	1 402	692	1 196	144	322	10	94	46	49	49	134	291	875	1 192	351
<b>Married women, husband present</b> .....	1 701	543	1 366	85	244	15	82	30	70	62	40	328	918	1 388	305
In labor force .....	617	194	519	47	103	5	33	4	21	27	10	128	312	509	139
With own children under 6 years .....	662	121	377	28	62	—	5	6	24	16	—	117	222	437	96
In labor force .....	135	30	93	15	16	—	—	—	11	—	—	54	65	153	54



## Census Tracts

## OCCUPATION

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	2 487	784	2 158	147	526	35	139	49	112	91	101	518	1 448	1 859	1 859
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	767	207	431	10	44	-	39	-	19	30	6	108	311	310	310
Health workers .....	98	41	94	-	6	-	16	-	-	11	-	17	52	41	41
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools .....	137	65	109	-	10	-	23	-	-	5	-	22	54	132	132
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	300	59	297	-	11	-	25	5	13	-	5	29	108	126	126
Salaries .....	291	59	242	-	-	-	25	5	5	-	-	17	83	126	126
Self-employed in retail trade .....	4	-	23	-	5	-	-	-	8	-	5	6	10	-	-
Sales workers .....	212	115	228	-	5	-	31	-	16	22	15	44	182	179	179
Retail trade .....	103	68	145	-	5	-	21	-	16	-	15	16	112	96	96
Clerical and kindred workers .....	465	211	542	9	45	-	12	16	5	16	19	68	250	338	338
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers .....	310	85	285	9	43	-	10	12	27	5	5	140	224	324	324
Construction craftsmen .....	55	17	60	4	5	-	-	-	12	-	-	52	70	78	78
Mechanics and repairmen .....	115	25	77	5	19	-	-	-	4	-	-	46	77	84	84
Operatives, except transport .....	127	31	91	22	72	-	5	-	10	-	13	44	129	249	249
Transport equipment operatives .....	35	24	38	-	34	13	-	-	4	8	8	-	28	72	72
Laborers, except farm .....	45	-	71	9	71	16	-	-	5	5	16	18	46	59	59
Farm workers .....	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	4	4
Service workers .....	135	48	125	60	145	-	11	16	13	5	14	63	175	170	170
Cleaning and food service workers .....	47	9	34	44	82	-	-	6	6	-	14	28	69	83	83
Protective service workers .....	52	14	22	5	5	-	-	5	-	5	-	12	24	36	36
Personal and health service workers .....	36	25	62	11	44	-	11	5	7	-	-	23	82	38	38
Private household workers .....	11	-	50	28	56	6	6	-	-	-	-	4	-	28	28
Female employed, 16 years old and over .....	804	346	904	89	314	6	63	27	40	27	32	203	526	713	713
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	259	116	177	5	33	-	33	-	4	16	-	64	129	154	154
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools .....	110	56	87	-	10	-	17	-	-	5	-	22	54	100	100
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	33	19	36	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	5	5	13	16	16
Sales workers .....	57	41	105	-	-	-	6	-	5	-	-	16	65	77	77
Clerical and kindred workers .....	363	133	404	-	21	-	7	16	5	11	9	52	179	254	254
Secretaries, stenographers, and typists .....	194	44	146	-	-	-	7	-	5	11	-	26	72	98	98
Operatives, including transport .....	34	13	23	14	25	-	-	-	-	-	4	9	28	85	85
Other blue-collar workers .....	4	5	23	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	8	8
Farm workers .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4
Service workers, except private household .....	43	19	86	42	68	-	11	11	13	-	14	53	97	92	92
Private household workers .....	11	-	50	28	56	6	6	-	-	-	-	4	-	23	23

## Census Tracts

## INDUSTRY

Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	2 407	784	2 158	147	526	35	129	49	112	91	101	518	1 448	1 859	528
Construction .....	90	33	187	5	45	-	-	-	5	10	19	71	104	175	37
Manufacturing .....	425	127	279	4	67	-	5	11	6	19	6	85	215	482	84
Durable goods .....	94	15	49	-	35	-	-	5	-	5	-	17	71	96	20
Transportation .....	70	34	87	-	14	6	-	-	-	5	5	16	39	36	11
Communications, utilities, and sanitary services .....	95	25	113	4	10	-	5	-	-	-	-	10	90	35	18
Wholesale trade .....	64	28	130	5	35	-	5	-	-	-	9	25	39	51	11
Retail trade .....	394	139	387	17	43	7	37	22	39	-	42	79	314	293	81
Finance, insurance, and real estate .....	149	61	101	-	5	-	5	-	-	18	-	16	70	56	3
Business and repair services .....	102	21	46	-	14	-	5	-	9	-	-	24	49	51	5
Personal services .....	63	21	95	56	109	10	17	-	12	5	9	23	106	83	140
Health services .....	149	77	144	20	56	-	27	5	-	5	-	31	78	60	25
Educational services .....	356	85	231	9	38	6	23	-	5	11	-	60	132	230	49
Other professional and related services .....	111	36	95	5	24	-	5	-	14	-	6	4	71	49	5
Public administration .....	291	93	245	22	35	-	5	11	22	13	-	58	125	233	52
Other industries .....	48	6	18	-	31	6	-	-	-	5	5	16	36	25	5

## CLASS OF WORKER

Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	2 407	784	2 158	147	526	35	129	49	112	91	101	518	1 448	1 859	528
Private wage and salary workers .....	1 640	564	1 462	96	358	17	114	38	73	68	76	344	1 057	1 386	358
Government workers .....	700	190	525	51	148	18	21	11	26	23	5	129	314	423	153
Local government workers .....	285	82	253	33	92	6	16	-	4	10	-	62	129	130	74
Self-employed workers .....	58	32	157	-	20	-	4	-	13	-	20	45	97	46	17
Unpaid family workers .....	9	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-

<sup>1</sup>Includes allocated cases, not shown separately.

Table P-3. Labor Force Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

Census Tracts	Balance of Escomba County—Con														
	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023 99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>															
Male, 16 years old and over .....	1 022	1 339	1 429	968	1 113	2 212	2 045	2 401	1 572	3 197	437	862	1 227	3 794	1 783
Labor force .....	643	1 012	1 006	709	843	1 812	1 662	1 946	1 572	3 168	373	617	960	3 326	1 486
Percent of total .....	62.9	75.6	70.4	73.2	75.7	81.9	81.3	81.0	100.0	99.1	87.4	71.6	78.2	89.3	83.3
Civilian labor force .....	625	991	982	676	726	1 366	1 104	1 413	—	40	295	471	770	1 349	1 177
Employed .....	586	933	939	676	690	1 298	1 079	1 389	—	40	285	458	730	1 309	1 122
Unemployed .....	39	58	43	—	36	68	25	24	—	—	10	13	40	40	55
Percent of civilian labor force .....	6.2	5.9	4.4	—	5.0	5.0	2.3	1.7	—	—	3.4	2.8	5.2	3.0	4.7
Not in labor force .....	379	327	423	259	270	400	383	455	—	29	54	245	267	398	297
Inmate of institution .....	123	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Enrolled in school .....	68	70	90	55	90	87	191	169	—	25	12	52	60	170	115
Other under 65 years .....	115	172	181	104	103	175	73	142	—	4	31	110	107	139	91
Other 65 years and over .....	73	84	152	100	77	138	119	144	—	—	11	83	100	89	91
Male, 16 to 21 years old .....	183	323	218	126	190	296	411	385	914	1 237	59	101	198	1 564	336
Not enrolled in school .....	89	127	75	67	86	155	200	163	888	1 176	30	39	133	1 278	123
Not high school graduates .....	59	75	57	37	32	32	80	28	210	196	—	21	72	146	27
Unemployed or not in labor force .....	36	40	27	15	14	13	19	9	—	—	—	21	14	12	6
Female, 16 years old and over .....	1 119	1 537	1 690	1 164	1 248	2 385	2 396	2 783	—	679	373	961	1 356	2 381	1 914
Labor force .....	461	589	648	429	501	873	818	1 026	—	240	176	266	346	836	754
Percent of total .....	41.2	38.3	38.3	36.9	40.1	36.6	34.1	36.9	—	35.3	47.2	27.7	25.5	35.1	39.4
Civilian labor force .....	461	584	648	429	484	873	813	1 001	—	43	176	249	346	817	748
Employed .....	438	536	580	392	422	777	754	924	—	37	166	229	300	775	713
Unemployed .....	23	48	68	37	62	96	59	77	—	6	10	20	46	42	35
Percent of civilian labor force .....	5.0	8.2	10.5	8.6	12.8	11.0	7.3	7.7	—	14.0	5.7	8.0	13.3	5.1	4.7
Not in labor force .....	658	948	1 042	735	747	1 512	1 578	1 757	—	439	197	695	1 010	1 545	1 160
Married women, husband present .....	450	878	923	681	743	1 658	1 538	1 861	—	398	206	644	907	1 656	1 296
In labor force .....	259	283	291	247	283	537	462	536	—	43	76	145	223	540	443
With own children under 6 years .....	140	293	196	146	233	461	595	412	—	220	40	143	217	473	448
In labor force .....	85	72	34	40	79	79	158	47	—	9	5	14	43	86	88

## Balance of Escambia County - Con

## Census Tracts

## OCCUPATION

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
Total employed 16 years old and over	1 024	1 469	1 519	1 048	1 112	2 075	1 833	2 313	-	77	451	687	1 030	2 084	1 835
Professional, technical and kindred workers	55	38	109	67	84	225	217	617	-	6	236	91	97	395	195
Health workers	6	-	6	15	37	43	75	111	-	-	17	8	11	50	35
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools	22	23	11	10	31	68	31	146	-	6	57	15	26	157	42
Managers and administrators, except farm	27	81	79	61	55	170	136	253	-	10	91	74	54	193	224
Salaried	23	70	66	61	29	122	106	192	-	-	69	55	38	152	155
Self-employed in retail trade	4	-	13	-	16	31	15	28	-	10	5	19	5	18	32
Sales workers	6	76	158	151	100	181	170	250	-	-	35	71	45	197	112
Retail trade	6	59	133	114	63	111	116	149	-	-	29	48	33	121	56
Clerical and kindred workers	67	168	184	196	109	451	460	471	-	39	30	135	160	488	441
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	130	352	260	265	189	441	368	295	-	-	9	194	286	457	344
Construction craftsmen	67	152	134	92	72	107	87	43	-	-	-	62	123	113	79
Mechanics and repairmen	23	117	47	93	57	149	160	134	-	-	7	52	124	178	115
Operatives, except transport	147	256	229	134	198	237	117	101	-	-	-	44	92	110	223
Transport equipment operatives	37	65	119	57	50	66	86	45	-	-	-	18	9	54	76
Laborers, except farm	167	139	89	31	81	106	55	76	-	5	6	17	113	50	48
Farm workers	-	-	-	-	11	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	10	6	16
Service workers	230	219	272	96	195	193	205	194	-	17	37	43	139	119	141
Cleaning and food service workers	173	174	139	67	118	84	104	80	-	10	13	15	110	60	80
Protective service workers	6	7	6	-	22	17	24	31	-	-	5	11	8	27	17
Personal and health service workers	42	31	105	26	46	80	67	51	-	3	14	11	11	32	40
Private household workers	158	75	20	10	40	5	9	11	-	-	-	-	25	15	15
Female employed, 16 years old and over	438	536	580	392	422	777	754	924	-	37	166	229	300	775	713
Professional, technical and kindred workers	28	25	33	30	54	133	123	280	-	6	87	16	47	193	68
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools	17	19	5	10	23	63	25	130	-	6	52	5	26	117	21
Managers and administrators, except farm	9	5	29	13	10	47	24	45	-	-	22	26	-	42	50
Sales workers	6	38	69	76	54	86	76	86	-	-	9	32	27	53	55
Clerical and kindred workers	31	133	122	147	76	308	295	358	-	25	24	103	98	373	349
Secretaries, stenographers, and typists	-	26	31	63	31	95	78	142	-	-	9	53	30	172	132
Operatives, including transport	56	92	73	31	57	71	68	29	-	-	-	26	31	33	68
Other blue-collar workers	11	26	17	10	18	23	8	37	-	-	-	5	7	17	29
Farm workers	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household	144	142	217	75	113	104	146	83	-	6	24	21	65	49	79
Private household workers	153	75	20	10	40	5	9	6	-	-	-	-	25	15	15



## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County - Con.

## INDUSTRY

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	1 024	1 469	1 519	1 068	1 112	2 075	1 833	2 313	-	77	451	687	1 030	2 084	1 835
Construction .....	117	299	146	112	152	144	102	118	-	-	8	55	149	112	122
Manufacturing .....	119	261	202	111	194	288	169	176	-	4	61	82	91	198	205
Durable goods .....	32	79	23	19	48	128	52	73	-	4	34	49	61	58	64
Transportation .....	43	51	104	47	41	135	60	85	-	-	12	34	29	68	68
Communications, utilities, and sanitary services .....	20	53	43	42	19	77	76	72	-	4	-	24	55	32	69
Wholesale trade .....	32	55	81	72	31	73	73	44	-	5	27	11	28	107	71
Retail trade .....	143	262	454	334	199	436	405	440	-	29	79	105	175	414	384
Finance, insurance, and real estate .....	10	50	62	23	35	69	93	171	-	-	20	14	29	82	101
Business and repair services .....	39	66	38	24	45	77	90	135	-	-	31	17	36	53	76
Personal services .....	265	120	100	62	82	99	52	55	-	-	16	13	59	80	77
Health services .....	54	34	88	50	88	117	120	159	-	-	17	26	20	86	72
Educational services .....	91	82	41	37	99	127	102	247	-	17	101	35	55	242	69
Other professional and related services .....	-	29	12	29	17	58	57	114	-	3	39	33	45	72	93
Public administration .....	62	92	125	111	86	307	396	477	-	15	40	189	207	518	389
Other industries .....	29	15	23	14	24	63	38	20	-	-	-	49	52	20	39

## CLASS OF WORKER

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	1 024	1 469	1 519	1 068	1 112	2 075	1 833	2 313	-	77	451	687	1 030	2 084	1 835
Private wage and salary workers .....	820	1 177	1 223	782	820	1 367	1 121	1 271	-	31	272	305	627	1 123	1 201
Government workers .....	159	215	220	175	209	519	605	815	-	36	141	263	329	773	527
Local government workers .....	82	87	86	58	96	138	108	216	-	16	46	61	57	195	87
Self-employed workers .....	45	72	70	111	83	181	102	209	-	10	38	109	57	148	107
Unpaid family workers .....	-	5	6	-	-	8	5	18	-	-	-	10	17	40	-

\*Includes allocated cases, not shown separately



Table P-3. Labor Force Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

## EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Census Tracts	Balance of Escambia County—Con.										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
EMPLOYMENT STATUS															
Male, 16 years old and over .....	1 936	1 344	2 858	2 412	1 402	3 934	2 950	1 274	955	1 388	1 367	737	1 191	347	2 421
Labor force .....	1 611	1 225	1 615	2 020	1 107	3 364	2 189	948	725	946	776	516	849	258	2 336
Percent of total .....	83.2	89.8	78.5	83.7	79.0	85.5	74.2	74.4	75.9	78.3	61.2	70.0	71.3	74.4	96.5
Civilian labor force .....	1 314	984	1 384	1 658	1 010	1 921	2 115	924	709	946	771	506	844	258	226
Employed .....	1 297	946	1 325	1 608	990	1 856	2 037	862	705	866	738	481	844	252	236
Unemployed .....	17	38	59	50	60	65	78	62	4	80	33	25	-	6	-
Percent of civilian labor force .....	1.3	3.9	4.3	3.0	5.9	3.4	3.7	6.7	0.6	8.5	4.3	4.9	-	2.3	-
Not in labor force .....	325	139	443	392	295	570	761	326	230	262	491	221	342	89	85
Inmate of institution .....	-	-	-	-	-	10	12	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enrolled in school .....	176	56	216	94	118	247	357	102	74	71	130	56	79	30	23
Other under 65 years .....	81	64	158	148	103	189	226	85	87	56	190	70	144	34	13
Other 65 years and over .....	68	19	69	150	74	124	166	31	69	135	171	95	119	25	49
Male, 16 to 21 years old .....	312	121	342	322	233	1 232	574	325	183	228	274	136	180	35	927
Not enrolled in school .....	88	36	91	148	74	902	178	176	79	97	129	68	70	5	862
Not high school graduates .....	37	22	43	73	19	180	51	112	18	23	100	39	31	5	122
Unemployed or not in labor force .....	9	8	15	25	10	24	10	79	11	11	64	10	13	-	-
Female, 16 years old and over .....	2 227	1 503	2 190	2 551	1 473	2 882	3 157	1 293	924	1 282	1 499	785	1 155	345	1 889
Labor force .....	946	612	915	825	610	1 006	1 011	436	277	426	412	223	356	68	302
Percent of total .....	42.5	40.7	41.8	32.3	41.4	34.9	32.0	33.7	30.0	33.2	27.5	28.4	30.8	19.7	29.9
Civilian labor force .....	942	612	915	812	605	1 002	1 006	436	277	426	412	223	356	68	201
Employed .....	920	589	838	736	530	963	963	403	247	380	409	218	356	68	185
Unemployed .....	22	23	77	76	75	39	43	33	30	46	3	5	-	-	16
Percent of civilian labor force .....	2.3	3.8	8.4	9.4	12.4	3.9	4.3	7.6	10.8	10.8	0.7	2.2	-	-	8.0
Not in labor force .....	1 281	891	1 275	1 726	863	1 876	2 146	857	647	856	1 087	562	799	277	707
Married women, husband present .....	1 549	1 202	1 500	1 854	1 019	2 057	2 147	820	666	852	772	568	843	274	787
In labor force .....	608	487	621	513	405	699	736	279	215	285	214	159	266	63	190
With own children under 6 years .....	462	522	536	578	348	689	990	282	158	231	198	174	255	76	350
In labor force .....	107	123	150	99	100	170	138	104	39	62	50	49	67	18	27

## Census Tracts

## OCCUPATION

Census Tracts	Balance of Escambia County - Con.										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
OCCUPATION															
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	2 217	1 535	2 163	2 344	1 480	2 819	3 000	1 265	952	1 244	1 147	699	1 200	320	421
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	344	252	222	187	139	346	436	124	57	108	89	64	99	24	58
Health workers .....	39	29	17	34	13	50	54	13	-	8	19	6	6	-	4
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools .....	93	55	86	16	19	72	71	47	14	33	32	29	24	5	31
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	176	165	62	224	114	249	132	49	45	32	84	23	92	14	6
Salaried .....	126	165	48	194	83	188	94	40	27	18	73	4	43	-	-
Self-employed in retail trade .....	16	-	4	9	11	30	16	-	12	14	6	13	30	4	6
Sales workers .....	228	163	161	205	81	240	175	70	20	82	56	12	27	27	16
Retail trade .....	147	104	103	149	69	170	112	53	16	68	38	12	27	12	11
Clerical and kindred workers .....	570	409	307	484	281	499	562	131	64	116	69	56	127	20	87
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers .....	424	247	356	603	316	676	643	246	223	244	152	125	164	78	59
Construction craftsmen .....	105	56	102	152	117	188	212	56	68	84	42	51	28	24	25
Mechanics and repairmen .....	157	92	131	200	86	147	181	55	59	68	24	36	115	31	10
Operatives, except transport .....	194	175	297	259	241	309	548	276	237	345	300	169	267	70	54
Transport equipment operatives .....	67	25	133	109	72	129	145	69	43	36	45	55	63	10	18
Laborers, except farm .....	31	15	185	77	63	95	144	100	61	93	105	92	74	17	14
Farm workers .....	5	-	-	14	15	6	30	-	139	101	37	63	199	25	75
Service workers .....	178	61	321	167	131	257	179	161	38	72	122	35	78	35	30
Cleaning and food service workers .....	87	15	208	88	70	106	77	102	14	50	64	16	24	10	15
Protective service workers .....	32	21	18	16	9	23	31	7	4	5	18	4	6	5	6
Personal and health service workers .....	54	25	75	42	46	80	58	44	6	17	24	10	37	15	5
Private household workers .....	-	19	118	15	27	63	5	45	22	17	88	5	10	-	4
Female employed, 16 years old and over .....	920	589	838	733	530	963	963	403	247	380	409	218	356	68	185
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	174	87	111	62	48	119	156	72	16	55	62	35	27	5	40
Teachers, elementary and secondary schools .....	81	38	59	11	11	50	51	33	10	28	29	29	12	5	25
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	31	21	14	25	16	19	25	10	3	16	16	-	21	4	-
Sales workers .....	122	62	56	69	17	111	79	43	6	27	38	-	18	-	6
Clerical and kindred workers .....	374	331	222	334	228	367	402	81	44	71	50	45	109	10	82
Secretaries, stenographers, and typists .....	141	105	96	73	101	152	156	24	34	18	-	21	30	5	39
Operatives, including transport .....	85	43	93	84	84	105	162	36	86	133	54	66	100	24	30
Other blue-collar workers .....	15	-	24	22	9	12	31	-	32	6	14	28	14	-	4
Farm workers .....	-	-	-	3	8	-	10	-	19	-	-	18	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household .....	119	26	208	122	91	167	94	116	19	55	98	21	57	25	19
Private household workers .....	-	19	110	15	27	63	5	45	22	17	77	5	10	-	4

## Census Tracts

## INDUSTRY

Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	2 217	1 535	2 163	2 344	1 480	2 819	3 000	1 265	952	1 246	1 147	699	1 200	320	421
Construction .....	121	90	182	257	138	291	301	114	99	155	64	135	80	23	39
Manufacturing .....	334	335	451	349	439	634	1 031	541	419	518	434	179	332	121	79
Durable goods .....	47	66	115	93	75	171	177	81	85	86	161	16	13	10	10
Transportation .....	38	40	43	135	56	108	92	48	31	29	21	23	22	5	14
Communications, utilities, and sanitary services .....	124	48	116	109	41	117	76	27	13	9	27	12	37	6	21
Wholesale trade .....	97	51	84	59	53	131	71	25	23	13	15	6	17	16	4
Retail trade .....	573	285	303	519	250	553	404	178	74	152	161	65	177	21	37
Finance, insurance, and real estate .....	91	102	56	98	16	51	89	17	13	4	22	-	33	4	18
Business and repair services .....	50	93	60	50	28	83	61	31	6	14	16	10	28	-	-
Personal services .....	60	75	226	85	65	142	96	77	30	38	119	11	32	20	24
Health services .....	60	78	119	106	59	96	124	43	6	20	46	16	51	5	9
Educational services .....	180	104	177	87	141	238	254	88	52	65	81	66	69	21	53
Other professional and related services .....	62	33	54	66	35	87	74	6	-	65	8	5	27	5	9
Public administration .....	406	187	249	381	124	244	253	54	33	63	77	79	47	43	29
Other industries .....	21	14	43	43	35	44	74	16	153	101	56	92	248	30	85

## CLASS OF WORKER

Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	2 217	1 535	2 163	2 344	1 480	2 819	3 000	1 265	952	1 246	1 147	699	1 200	320	421
Private wage and salary workers .....	1 388	1 146	1 559	1 652	1 082	2 052	2 210	1 021	650	949	920	378	755	211	204
Government workers .....	679	357	504	579	301	553	585	174	142	185	186	247	221	74	133
Local government workers .....	158	126	171	109	122	207	161	92	50	103	92	81	118	20	72
Self-employed workers .....	145	27	92	83	89	200	191	59	128	108	31	69	213	35	68
Unpaid family workers .....	5	5	8	30	8	14	14	11	32	4	10	5	11	-	16

Includes disabled cases not shown separately

# Census Tracts

## INDUSTRY

	Santa Rosa County - Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	1 090	2 052	2 258	1 702	1 548	1 843	2 925	2 254	2 006	1 054	1 059	1 658	1 117	2 187	2 910
Construction .....	107	141	228	250	98	179	161	137	180	82	117	146	112	149	301
Manufacturing .....	310	530	654	270	214	119	510	342	486	153	119	207	122	294	653
Durable goods .....	22	43	98	75	58	38	111	86	96	55	32	23	24	128	176
Transportation .....	5	28	54	93	29	75	86	73	36	25	49	104	47	135	113
Communications, utilities, and sanitary services .....	57	34	64	54	33	34	105	115	39	28	20	48	42	77	117
Wholesale trade .....	9	66	31	36	66	42	89	67	56	46	32	86	72	73	131
Retail trade .....	177	314	378	271	269	300	473	453	310	124	150	491	356	475	553
Finance, insurance, and real estate .....	34	58	44	62	154	28	165	131	56	8	10	67	23	69	69
Business and repair services .....	28	30	40	40	30	35	126	70	51	19	39	43	24	86	83
Personal services .....	16	163	143	136	39	479	86	127	139	249	275	117	62	111	147
Health services .....	80	124	57	62	87	121	180	155	80	81	54	115	55	117	101
Educational services .....	85	288	156	175	172	141	416	217	239	87	97	64	37	132	249
Other professional and related services .....	10	38	91	61	96	17	115	107	54	29	-	17	29	72	87
Public administration .....	137	217	244	179	249	158	349	218	255	87	62	130	122	329	257
Other industries .....	35	21	74	13	12	115	64	42	25	36	35	23	14	68	49

## CLASS OF WORKER

Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	1 090	2 052	2 258	1 702	1 548	1 843	2 925	2 254	2 006	1 054	1 059	1 658	1 117	2 187	2 910
Private wage and salary workers .....	749	1 353	1 616	1 109	1 008	1 347	1 984	1 621	1 482	716	637	1 037	677	1 440	2 120
Government workers .....	283	599	500	438	442	370	879	504	474	301	177	241	156	545	772
Local government workers .....	132	321	155	167	134	167	347	211	163	166	88	102	58	140	217
Self-employed workers .....	58	100	110	155	93	122	103	129	46	37	45	74	111	194	200
Unpaid family workers .....	-	-	32	-	5	4	9	-	4	-	-	6	-	8	14

\*Includes allocated cases, not shown separately.



Table P-4. Income Characteristics of the Population: 1970

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

## Census Tracts

## INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES AND UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
All families -----	66 638	51 817	13 189	35 988	9 641	38	965	1 238	1 275	862	1 141	721	1 842	975	1 894
As then \$1,000 -----	2 201	1 812	574	1 238	389	4	58	71	111	10	112	29	43	9	38
.000 to \$1,999 -----	2 830	2 302	850	1 452	528	-	111	50	191	21	122	92	108	-	35
.000 to \$2,999 -----	3 324	2 819	916	1 903	905	5	99	57	148	18	133	139	98	17	47
.000 to \$3,999 -----	3 824	3 148	980	2 168	676	3	131	99	132	22	175	93	106	35	39
.000 to \$4,999 -----	4 026	3 334	985	2 349	692	-	103	82	146	23	115	64	102	49	84
.000 to \$5,999 -----	4 605	3 867	1 001	2 866	738	5	130	145	129	39	89	52	113	50	62
.000 to \$6,999 -----	4 941	4 164	962	3 202	777	-	40	94	149	17	82	44	157	76	92
.000 to \$7,999 -----	4 688	3 939	955	3 004	729	-	52	95	56	39	69	76	113	61	109
.000 to \$8,999 -----	4 635	3 859	1 040	2 819	776	-	25	83	85	35	78	53	129	87	105
.000 to \$9,999 -----	4 431	3 805	871	2 934	626	-	62	82	29	42	68	24	106	47	99
0,000 to \$11,999 -----	6 988	5 924	1 581	4 343	1 064	6	63	155	39	86	47	22	144	90	171
2,000 to \$14,999 -----	6 783	5 787	1 858	3 929	996	-	58	136	25	80	33	26	129	115	295
5,000 to \$24,999 -----	6 006	5 051	1 957	3 094	955	5	28	84	17	74	18	7	170	245	524
5,000 to \$49,999 -----	1 104	954	472	482	150	-	5	5	18	46	-	-	20	79	178
0,000 or more -----	272	232	107	125	40	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	4	15	16
Median income -----	\$7 977	\$8 027	\$8 319	\$7 924	\$7 707	\$5 400	\$4 811	\$7 221	\$4 380	\$10 349	\$4 248	\$4 117	\$7 389	\$11 256	\$12 671
Mean income -----	\$9 074	\$9 159	\$9 933	\$8 834	\$8 623	\$6 884	\$5 788	\$7 690	\$5 241	\$12 764	\$4 986	\$4 997	\$8 440	\$13 969	\$14 105
Families and unrelated individuals -----	83 689	70 885	20 483	50 402	12 804	128	1 570	1 695	1 686	835	1 782	1 200	2 296	1 332	2 299
Median income -----	\$6 201	\$6 219	\$6 384	\$6 169	\$6 103	\$3 563	\$3 297	\$5 940	\$3 552	\$7 765	\$3 230	\$3 213	\$5 759	\$8 750	\$10 878
Mean income -----	\$7 442	\$7 483	\$8 224	\$7 182	\$7 214	\$5 563	\$4 474	\$6 672	\$4 478	\$9 879	\$3 929	\$4 055	\$6 885	\$11 589	\$12 630
Unrelated individuals -----	23 031	19 868	5 374	14 494	3 163	100	605	457	411	273	641	479	754	357	405
Median income -----	\$2 049	\$2 075	\$2 116	\$2 065	\$1 950	\$3 308	\$1 547	\$2 939	\$1 509	\$2 792	\$1 405	\$1 661	\$2 493	\$3 694	\$3 890
Mean income -----	\$3 144	\$3 180	\$3 419	\$3 091	\$2 919	\$5 193	\$2 378	\$3 914	\$2 109	\$3 939	\$2 047	\$2 637	\$3 705	\$5 087	\$5 731



## Census Tracts

## PERCENT OF INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
All families .....	60 658	\$1 817	15 109	\$5 908	9 641	28	965	1 238	1 275	562	1 141	721	1 542	975	1 894
Mean wage or salary income .....	\$3 273	44 891	13 074	\$1 817	8 382	28	748	1 042	1 081	440	986	598	1 263	818	1 644
Mean wage or salary income .....	\$8 397	\$8 427	\$8 819	\$8 266	\$8 233	\$4 495	\$5 458	\$7 704	\$4 973	\$10 830	\$4 847	\$4 718	\$8 084	\$11 016	\$12 164
Mean nonfarm self-employment income .....	5 396	4 604	1 520	3 084	792	6	74	120	102	104	21	66	124	216	286
Mean nonfarm self-employment income .....	\$6 428	\$6 505	\$8 395	\$5 573	\$5 979	...	\$5 055	\$2 041	\$5 732	\$7 682	...	\$2 836	\$4 449	\$10 500	\$13 814
Mean farm self-employment income .....	1 105	642	122	520	463	-	4	-	-	5	4	-	-	28	27
Mean farm self-employment income .....	\$2 708	\$2 190	\$786	\$2 519	\$3 426	-	...	-	-	...	...	-	-	\$923	-\$1 048
Mean Social Security income .....	9 594	8 145	2 953	5 192	1 449	-	299	231	217	180	330	181	413	274	257
Mean Social Security income .....	\$1 478	\$1 490	\$1 527	\$1 469	\$1 408	-	\$1 423	\$1 489	\$1 200	\$1 682	\$1 272	\$1 574	\$1 611	\$1 930	\$1 447
Mean public assistance or public welfare income .....	4 119	3 556	1 293	2 263	563	-	198	62	260	59	272	132	76	-	38
Mean public assistance or public welfare income .....	\$807	\$790	\$787	\$792	\$911	-	\$926	\$637	\$697	\$836	\$795	\$699	\$900	-	\$641
Mean other income .....	19 638	16 950	5 727	11 223	2 688	-	308	417	224	282	180	113	673	566	1 005
Mean other income .....	\$2 441	\$2 518	\$2 862	\$2 342	\$1 954	-	\$1 685	\$2 073	\$1 251	\$4 448	\$1 020	\$1 919	\$2 258	\$3 156	\$2 387

## RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL

Percent of families with incomes															
less than .50 of poverty level .....	6.1	6.2	7.4	5.7	5.8	14.3	13.1	9.0	19.8	4.3	15.3	13.7	5.3	0.9	2.6
.50 to .74 .....	4.2	4.1	4.4	3.9	5.3	-	7.6	4.0	9.9	2.1	11.6	11.7	3.8	-	1.0
.75 to .99 .....	5.1	5.0	5.3	4.9	5.3	17.9	10.5	3.5	11.4	2.5	12.0	16.6	6.3	1.0	0.8
1.00 to 1.24 .....	5.9	5.8	5.3	6.0	6.5	10.7	9.5	5.6	11.8	2.3	12.1	8.5	5.0	1.2	2.1
1.25 to 1.49 .....	6.3	6.2	5.4	6.6	6.9	-	10.8	4.0	10.1	3.9	8.7	6.4	6.8	3.8	3.5
1.50 to 1.99 .....	13.3	13.2	11.3	14.0	13.4	17.9	12.4	15.5	17.2	11.9	13.8	14.7	12.4	5.8	8.8
2.00 to 2.99 .....	24.1	23.8	20.7	25.1	25.4	17.9	16.1	23.9	10.3	20.1	15.0	18.7	21.5	22.8	18.6
3.00 or more .....	35.0	35.6	40.2	33.7	31.4	21.4	20.1	34.5	9.5	52.8	11.5	9.7	38.9	64.4	62.7

## FAMILIES BELOW POVERTY LEVEL

All families .....	9 374	7 794	2 576	5 220	1 578	9	300	304	524	50	444	303	238	19	83
Percent of all families .....	15.5	15.3	17.0	14.5	16.4	32.1	31.1	16.5	41.1	8.9	38.9	42.0	15.4	1.9	4.4
Mean family income .....	\$1 994	\$2 015	\$1 980	\$2 032	\$1 888	...	\$2 078	\$1 818	\$1 962	\$1 612	\$2 125	\$2 337	\$1 878	...	\$989
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 635	\$1 658	\$1 733	\$1 621	\$1 523	...	\$1 586	\$1 993	\$1 964	\$1 566	\$1 702	\$1 603	\$1 260	...	\$1 898
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	24.8	25.7	28.9	24.1	20.5	-	39.3	21.1	34.4	26.0	36.7	31.0	11.3	-	14.5
Mean size of family .....	4.01	4.07	4.14	4.04	3.72	...	4.17	4.30	4.34	3.54	4.31	4.62	3.32	...	2.84
With related children under 18 years .....	6 500	5 486	1 870	3 616	1 014	4	180	166	427	21	350	249	105	14	45
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	2.99	3.02	3.04	3.02	2.81	...	3.52	2.77	2.99	...	3.07	3.33	2.75	...	2.11
With related children under 6 years .....	3 563	3 020	1 020	2 000	543	4	108	101	183	10	168	157	82	-	31
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.66	1.66	1.59	1.69	1.64	...	1.49	1.44	1.45	...	1.48	1.88	1.44	-	1.16
Families with female head .....	3 568	3 128	1 320	1 808	440	-	149	65	331	31	257	128	89	-	41

## Census Tracts

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pensacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
With related children under 18 years .....	2 997	2 616	1 101	1 515	381	-	108	60	303	21	209	112	46	-	33
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	2.82	2.80	2.83	2.77	2.98	-	3.07	2.05	2.89	...	2.81	3.01	2.15	-	1.94
With related children under 6 years .....	1 352	1 209	548	661	143	-	51	27	123	10	96	53	42	-	26
Percent in labor force .....	43.5	43.6	53.5	35.4	42.7	-	17.6	77.8	69.9	...	51.0	50.9	31.0	-	-
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.55	1.54	1.50	1.58	1.66	-	1.27	1.00	1.50	...	1.51	1.77	1.29	-	1.00
By heads .....	9 374	7 796	2 576	5 220	1 578	9	300	204	524	50	444	303	238	19	83
Percent 65 years and over .....	18.1	17.8	20.1	16.7	19.8	-	26.7	23.0	19.1	48.0	15.3	17.5	32.8	...	15.7
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	3 900	3 233	903	2 330	667	9	96	102	140	-	167	136	91	10	22
Percent in labor force .....	70.9	71.2	75.5	69.5	69.7	...	84.4	85.3	67.9	-	70.7	83.1	62.6	...	...
Unrelated individuals .....	6 011	5 247	2 339	2 908	764	28	369	175	250	96	398	270	286	87	107
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	43.9	43.4	44.5	42.5	47.9	28.0	61.0	38.3	60.8	35.2	62.1	56.4	37.9	24.4	26.4
Mean income .....	\$802	\$802	\$844	\$769	\$799	\$1 114	\$930	\$847	\$820	\$762	\$804	\$726	\$888	\$971	\$636
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 010	\$1 013	\$975	\$1 043	\$995	\$667	\$902	\$957	\$1 036	\$1 029	\$1 026	\$1 071	\$899	\$860	\$1 179
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	20.7	19.7	19.4	19.9	28.1	-	21.4	22.9	24.4	6.3	21.6	23.3	7.3	19.5	19.6
Percent 65 years and over .....	45.7	45.4	47.2	44.0	47.8	75.0	41.2	59.4	32.8	50.0	39.9	58.9	56.3	41.4	44.9
Persons .....	43 643	37 012	12 996	24 016	6 631	58	1 621	1 053	2 525	273	2 312	1 670	1 075	154	343
Percent of all persons .....	18.8	18.8	21.9	17.5	18.4	30.5	42.2	22.6	45.7	12.1	45.6	51.8	19.3	4.6	4.9
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	12.9	12.9	13.1	12.8	13.2	36.2	15.2	12.3	6.9	30.0	12.6	13.5	20.2	31.2	18.7
Percent 65 years and over .....	12.7	12.5	14.7	11.4	13.3	36.2	16.8	16.0	8.8	33.3	11.0	14.7	26.5	32.5	20.1
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	64.0	64.7	64.4	64.9	60.2	...	67.6	58.3	52.9	84.6	60.2	65.3	62.1	76.0	85.5
Related children under 18 years .....	19 290	16 671	5 666	11 005	2 619	10	615	447	1 227	86	1 150	775	276	33	92
Percent living with both parents .....	49.8	48.9	38.8	54.1	55.4	...	30.7	72.5	28.1	-	34.6	47.4	67.8	100.0	9.8
Households .....	12 197	10 627	4 052	6 575	1 570	18	532	323	662	109	738	445	425	82	169
Percent of all households .....	19.3	19.4	22.1	18.1	18.4	20.5	39.4	21.5	44.4	15.6	46.6	46.1	20.7	6.6	7.8
Owner occupied .....	6 584	5 706	1 964	3 742	878	-	198	170	281	64	354	128	258	70	115
Mean value of unit .....	\$9 300	\$9 500	\$10 300	\$9 100	\$8 100	-	\$7 100	\$6 800	\$9 400	\$11 300	\$7 000	\$7 600	\$13 800	\$15 800	\$17 400
Renter occupied .....	5 613	4 921	2 088	2 833	692	18	334	153	381	45	384	317	167	12	54
Mean gross rent .....	\$69	\$69	\$66	\$71	\$66	...	\$71	\$73	\$57	\$69	\$56	\$51	\$73	...	\$124
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	22.3	22.3	21.0	23.1	22.4	...	29.3	17.6	20.4	27.5	42.3	16.0	4.9	-	-

\*Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories and unrelated individuals under 14 years

CENSUS TRACTS

Table P-4. Income Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts	Pensacola—Con										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
<b>INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES AND UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS</b>															
All families .....	1 772	622	1 563	184	343	16	91	45	79	53	64	373	1 028	1 528	398
Less than \$1,000 .....	18	4	15	16	36	-	-	-	-	-	17	10	10	36	23
\$1,000 to \$1,999 .....	-	4	28	57	26	-	-	-	5	-	-	8	10	49	25
\$2,000 to \$2,999 .....	10	16	57	35	33	4	-	-	-	-	5	5	47	80	41
\$3,000 to \$3,999 .....	23	38	68	4	-	-	-	7	5	-	4	20	40	64	71
\$4,000 to \$4,999 .....	44	21	52	19	67	-	9	-	5	-	15	32	57	105	45
\$5,000 to \$5,999 .....	28	56	56	8	17	6	5	11	-	-	5	12	76	121	47
\$6,000 to \$6,999 .....	58	44	54	9	41	-	-	-	5	-	6	36	80	149	30
\$7,000 to \$7,999 .....	84	43	91	4	37	6	5	6	4	5	-	20	65	108	16
\$8,000 to \$8,999 .....	133	53	101	16	15	-	22	5	15	-	-	25	72	161	49
\$9,000 to \$9,999 .....	137	47	103	3	5	-	9	-	8	-	5	44	102	148	20
\$10,000 to \$11,999 .....	278	122	299	-	28	-	15	5	5	6	-	103	171	160	8
\$12,000 to \$14,999 .....	534	104	255	5	23	-	11	11	-	18	9	44	145	164	16
\$15,000 to \$24,999 .....	366	59	295	4	5	-	5	-	27	24	-	14	107	149	7
\$25,000 to \$49,999 .....	49	11	51	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	41	34	-
\$50,000 or more .....	10	-	38	4	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
Median income .....	\$12 410	\$9 681	\$11 047	\$2 543	\$5 559	...	\$9 500	\$7 750	\$9 063	\$14 583	\$4 467	\$9 420	\$9 559	\$8 323	\$4 867
Mean income .....	\$12 654	\$9 962	\$12 763	\$20 540	\$8 185	...	\$12 229	\$8 139	\$10 203	\$14 285	\$4 916	\$8 784	\$10 540	\$9 030	\$5 496
Families and unrelated individuals .....	1 884	926	1 766	190	514	29	137	76	79	59	205	440	1 370	1 826	515
Median income .....	\$12 206	\$6 971	\$10 429	\$2 629	\$4 286	\$4 643	\$8 518	\$5 000	\$9 063	\$14 083	\$1 654	\$8 400	\$8 307	\$7 362	\$3 816
Mean income .....	\$12 313	\$7 526	\$11 834	\$20 004	\$6 092	\$4 403	\$9 636	\$5 880	\$10 203	\$12 908	\$2 786	\$7 753	\$9 001	\$8 040	\$4 490
Unrelated individuals .....	112	304	203	6	171	13	46	31	-	6	139	67	342	298	117
Median income .....	\$6 067	\$1 469	\$3 544	...	\$1 284	...	\$3 000	\$2 357	-	...	\$1 244	\$2 405	\$3 255	\$1 892	\$957
Mean income .....	\$6 906	\$2 541	\$4 686	...	\$1 895	...	\$4 508	\$2 600	-	...	\$1 775	\$2 012	\$4 378	\$2 965	\$1 068

## Census Tracts

## TYPE OF INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES

	Pensacola - Con										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
All families .....	1 772	622	1 543	184	343	16	91	45	79	53	64	373	1 028	1 528	398
With wage or salary income .....	1 730	570	1 412	157	282	16	87	38	79	53	50	345	961	1 379	367
Mean wage or salary income .....	\$11 803	\$9 522	\$10 869	\$3 811	\$5 510	...	\$10 421	\$7 567	\$9 477	\$13 651	\$5 248	\$8 172	\$9 271	\$8 766	\$5 268
With nonfarm self-employment income .....	146	36	183	-	21	-	10	-	5	-	5	53	86	112	16
Mean nonfarm self-employment income .....	\$3 107	\$5 939	\$15 353	-	...	-	...	-	...	-	...	\$5 156	\$6 398	\$4 704	...
With farm self-employment income .....	14	16	19	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	5	-
Mean farm self-employment income .....	...	...	...	-	...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	-
With Social Security income .....	124	89	199	37	81	-	14	12	15	-	14	47	173	214	80
Mean Social Security income .....	\$1 768	\$2 126	\$1 502	\$826	\$1 164	-	...	...	...	-	...	\$1 372	\$1 553	\$1 529	\$1 290
With public assistance or public welfare income .....	11	13	26	79	55	-	-	7	5	-	-	10	43	55	56
Mean public assistance or public welfare income .....	...	...	\$588	\$1 070	\$717	-	-	...	...	-	-	...	\$835	\$878	\$465
With other income .....	857	213	689	12	69	-	40	34	27	18	18	85	364	484	42
Mean other income .....	\$1 554	\$1 603	\$2 026	...	\$14 374	-	\$3 621	\$1 544	\$402	...	...	\$1 362	\$2 943	\$1 633	\$1 052

RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

## Percent of families with incomes:

Less than 50 of poverty level .....	1.0	1.4	2.6	31.0	16.9	-	-	-	6.3	-	25.8	4.8	1.5	4.3	7.3
50 to 74 .....	-	0.6	2.8	17.9	8.2	-	-	-	...	-	6.1	...	1.5	3.9	18.8
75 to 99 .....	0.9	3.9	1.1	12.0	7.0	...	-	-	-	-	-	3.8	4.4	5.0	13.6
1 00 to 1 24 .....	1.7	3.2	2.1	8.7	9.0	-	-	13.3	6.3	-	22.7	7.2	1.8	3.1	10.1
1 25 to 1 49 .....	2.4	1.6	3.5	10.9	7.9	...	5.5	-	-	-	-	4.0	5.2	8.2	11.6
1 50 to 1 99 .....	4.6	11.9	12.3	1.6	11.4	-	15.4	28.9	17.7	-	-	9.9	10.5	14.7	17.3
2 00 to 2 99 .....	26.7	33.1	23.7	9.2	24.5	...	30.8	11.1	22.8	9.4	24.2	34.0	28.6	26.4	14.8
3 00 or more .....	62.6	44.2	52.1	8.7	15.2	-	48.4	46.7	46.8	90.6	21.2	36.2	46.7	34.2	6.5

INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

Families .....	34	37	100	112	110	4	-	-	5	-	21	32	75	202	158
Percent of all families .....	1.9	5.9	6.4	60.9	32.1	...	-	-	6.3	-	31.8	8.6	7.3	13.2	39.7
Mean family income .....	\$1 596	\$2 309	\$1 949	\$1 837	\$1 973	...	-	-	...	-	...	\$2 125	\$2 402	\$2 204	\$2 846
Mean income deficit .....	\$2 461	\$1 216	\$1 564	\$1 912	\$1 992	...	-	-	...	-	...	\$1 387	\$929	\$1 333	\$1 413
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	-	-	16.0	54.5	15.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.9	25.3	20.3	22.8
Mean size of family .....	4.62	3.78	3.77	4.15	4.38	...	-	-	...	-	...	3.81	3.49	3.83	5.11
With related children under 18 years .....	34	22	71	96	86	-	-	-	-	-	10	27	60	139	127
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	3.00	...	2.55	3.09	2.97	-	-	-	-	-	...	2.52	2.05	2.60	3.54
With related children under 6 years .....	19	13	44	71	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	31	78	63
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	...	...	1.59	1.59	2.34	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	1.39	1.36	1.57



## Census Tracts

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
Families with female head .....	23	17	58	88	43	-	-	-	-	-	6	15	17	60	2
With related children under 18 years .....	23	17	48	82	39	-	-	-	-	-	6	15	17	56	1
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	...	...	2.83	3.09	2.49	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	2.93	2
With related children under 6 years .....	13	13	28	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	9	29	1
Percent in labor force .....	...	...	89.3	77.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-	24.1	1
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	...	...	1.61	1.64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	1.17	1
Family heads .....	34	37	100	112	110	4	-	-	5	-	21	32	75	202	1
Percent 65 years and over .....	-	16.2	6.0	11.6	18.2	-	-	-	-	-	...	-	30.7	18.8	1
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	11	9	26	24	51	4	-	-	5	-	-	17	34	85	1
Percent in labor force .....	...	...	69.2	...	78.4	...	-	-	...	-	-	...	82.4	77.6	5
Unrelated individuals .....	8	67	52	-	110	-	17	13	-	6	95	25	102	128	1
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	7.1	36.8	25.6	-	64.3	-	37.0	41.9	-	...	68.3	37.3	29.8	43.0	8
Mean income .....	...	\$999	\$942	-	\$740	-	...	...	-	...	\$689	-\$392	\$791	\$775	5
Mean income deficit .....	...	\$808	\$864	-	\$1,126	-	...	...	-	...	\$1,148	\$1,603	\$1,048	\$1,051	\$1,148
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	...	9.0	19.2	-	35.5	-	-	-	-	-	16.8	24.0	12.7	12.5	1
Percent 65 years and over .....	...	56.7	67.3	-	30.0	-	...	...	-	-	36.8	24.0	32.4	33.6	1
Persons .....	165	207	429	465	592	8	17	13	10	6	161	147	364	902	1
Percent of all persons .....	2.4	9.0	7.3	56.8	37.9	11.6	5.0	8.2	3.3	2.7	49.8	10.3	9.9	15.4	4
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	2.4	21.7	9.8	3.0	11.5	-	-	-	-	-	20.5	2.7	24.5	15.7	1
Percent 65 years and over .....	4.8	21.3	13.5	4.1	13.7	-	-	-	-	-	32.9	4.1	16.8	14.1	1
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	...	72.7	65.5	...	56.8	-	-	-	-	-	62.3	-	72.1	46.5	6
Related children under 18 years .....	93	56	189	324	283	-	-	-	-	-	27	77	132	378	4
Percent living with both parents .....	24.7	24.2	31.2	22.8	58.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	53.2	74.2	35.4	4
Households .....	32	61	129	103	179	4	17	13	5	6	44	44	114	258	1
Percent of all households .....	1.7	8.9	7.7	56.9	39.3	13.8	13.6	17.1	6.8	10.2	52.4	11.6	10.4	16.5	4
Owner occupied .....	21	41	102	21	114	4	10	13	-	-	16	30	66	181	1
Mean value of unit .....	...	\$19,800	\$13,500	...	\$10,900	...	...	...	-	-	...	\$6,700	\$12,100	\$10,400	\$1,100
Renter occupied .....	11	20	27	82	65	-	7	-	5	6	28	14	48	69	1
Mean gross rent .....	...	...	\$129	\$56	\$52	-	-	-	...	...	\$81	...	\$110	\$56	1
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	-	-	4.7	-	30.2	...	-	-	-	-	40.9	47.7	3.5	24.0	1

\*Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 14 years.



Table P-4. Income Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escombis County—Con

INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES AND  
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All families .....	692	1 177	1 183	832	931	1 857	1 841	2 043	-	422	242	718	1 639	1 843	1 518
Less than \$1,000 .....	34	113	56	8	26	50	63	51	-	17	9	13	40	37	4
\$1,000 to \$1,999 .....	73	87	78	22	69	52	95	44	-	22	4	20	32	37	29
\$2,000 to \$2,999 .....	54	99	107	61	85	107	111	119	-	18	-	37	94	48	51
\$3,000 to \$3,999 .....	107	139	110	83	78	113	154	64	-	27	10	30	102	82	93
\$4,000 to \$4,999 .....	56	115	130	74	47	171	162	115	-	14	13	50	93	41	68
\$5,000 to \$5,999 .....	93	96	96	78	90	214	140	201	-	48	7	52	107	143	97
\$6,000 to \$6,999 .....	88	59	100	60	124	177	209	205	-	39	-	56	95	162	125
\$7,000 to \$7,999 .....	60	90	121	104	66	172	159	116	-	28	31	53	123	128	191
\$8,000 to \$8,999 .....	40	71	22	55	66	126	122	142	-	13	16	51	55	111	207
\$9,000 to \$9,999 .....	24	61	101	101	46	165	155	161	-	13	7	57	45	178	144
\$10,000 to \$11,999 .....	25	110	101	83	112	173	196	258	-	51	26	87	115	267	190
\$12,000 to \$14,999 .....	20	75	111	64	90	160	137	221	-	51	55	93	71	251	185
\$15,000 to \$24,999 .....	15	58	50	39	27	155	123	259	-	73	39	101	38	334	126
\$25,000 to \$49,999 .....	-	-	-	-	5	14	15	60	-	8	25	13	17	35	8
\$50,000 or more .....	3	4	-	-	-	8	-	27	-	-	-	5	12	9	-
Median income .....	\$5 237	\$5 370	\$6 145	\$7 288	\$6 569	\$7 259	\$6 935	\$8 750	-	\$7 929	\$11 846	\$8 941	\$6 542	\$9 801	\$8 488
Mean income .....	\$8 770	\$6 491	\$6 740	\$7 527	\$7 097	\$8 266	\$7 662	\$10 548	-	\$9 662	\$12 295	\$10 280	\$7 953	\$10 757	\$9 052
Families and unrelated individuals .....	894	1 383	1 525	1 083	1 135	2 340	2 234	2 576	1 572	3 312	520	889	1 269	3 643	1 729
Median income .....	\$4 171	\$4 764	\$4 977	\$5 948	\$6 139	\$6 413	\$6 128	\$8 029	\$2 285	\$2 151	\$7 000	\$8 369	\$5 706	\$5 318	\$8 156
Mean income .....	\$7 326	\$5 857	\$5 855	\$6 376	\$7 037	\$7 505	\$6 778	\$9 529	\$2 942	\$3 391	\$8 261	\$9 419	\$7 026	\$6 932	\$8 504
Unrelated individuals .....	202	206	342	251	204	483	393	533	1 572	2 890	278	171	230	1 780	211
Median income .....	\$1 634	\$1 444	\$1 643	\$1 772	\$3 917	\$3 430	\$2 225	\$3 990	\$2 285	\$1 934	\$5 077	\$3 841	\$1 833	\$2 228	\$3 870
Mean income .....	\$2 377	\$2 234	\$2 794	\$2 559	\$6 759	\$4 581	\$2 641	\$5 624	\$2 942	\$2 475	\$4 749	\$5 805	\$2 839	\$2 930	\$4 566

## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County - Con.

## TYPE OF INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All families .....	692	1 177	1 183	832	931	1 857	1 841	2 043	-	422	242	718	1 039	1 863	1 518
With wage or salary income .....	637	1 046	1 004	717	780	1 655	1 648	1 763	-	398	190	567	873	1 643	1 417
Mean wage or salary income .....	\$5 126	\$6 323	\$6 573	\$7 061	\$6 882	\$7 785	\$7 410	\$8 708	-	\$9 466	\$12 346	\$9 191	\$7 510	\$9 806	\$8 274
With nonfarm self-employment income .....	35	72	104	120	84	183	91	258	-	28	48	106	87	214	135
Mean nonfarm self-employment income .....	\$4 373	\$5 646	\$2 871	\$4 855	\$5 596	\$5 011	\$4 530	\$9 453	-	\$4 546	\$5 602	\$3 061	\$3 620	\$7 249	\$4 435
With farm self-employment income .....	5	5	-	9	-	4	5	15	-	11	-	4	5	5	6
Mean farm self-employment income .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	-	...	...	...	...	...	...
With Social Security income .....	123	144	284	161	238	255	223	274	-	13	27	154	172	191	229
Mean Social Security income .....	\$1 272	\$1 277	\$1 445	\$1 327	\$1 463	\$1 587	\$1 245	\$1 468	-	...	\$2 313	\$1 249	\$1 831	\$1 511	\$1 597
With public assistance or public welfare income .....	109	177	208	54	85	56	113	47	-	-	6	16	85	61	54
Mean public assistance or public welfare income .....	\$598	\$851	\$623	\$696	\$994	\$887	\$612	\$550	-	-	...	...	\$914	\$700	\$722
With other income .....	85	180	335	267	242	642	628	968	-	160	127	379	389	779	528
Mean other income .....	\$28 461	\$1 565	\$1 598	\$1 376	\$1 392	\$1 701	\$1 767	\$3 432	-	\$1 413	\$2 290	\$4 318	\$2 542	\$2 609	\$1 911

## RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL

Percent of families with incomes															
Less than .50 of poverty level .....	13.3	16.5	6.8	1.6	6.4	3.8	4.7	4.1	-	6.4	3.7	2.4	4.7	2.8	1.9
.50 to .74 .....	10.4	7.1	6.5	2.6	8.3	3.8	4.7	2.3	-	4.3	2.5	2.8	5.4	2.1	1.8
.75 to .99 .....	7.1	9.2	10.7	8.7	3.8	5.4	6.9	2.9	-	5.0	5.4	3.3	8.3	1.4	3.6
1.00 to 1.24 .....	15.8	10.0	9.0	5.5	9.2	4.3	8.3	5.0	-	13.5	-	6.0	9.6	3.8	4.3
1.25 to 1.49 .....	15.9	7.2	8.4	4.3	9.5	7.6	8.0	3.1	-	6.4	-	5.4	8.7	6.3	5.0
1.50 to 1.99 .....	13.7	12.6	12.8	21.9	13.9	16.0	14.7	10.4	-	12.3	3.3	10.9	16.7	11.0	14.6
2.00 to 2.99 .....	15.5	16.7	18.3	22.0	25.3	28.2	21.5	26.8	-	20.4	19.4	25.9	17.2	27.0	36.5
3.00 or more .....	8.4	20.6	27.6	33.4	23.6	31.0	31.2	45.3	-	31.8	65.7	43.3	29.4	45.6	32.3

## INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL

Families .....	213	386	284	107	173	241	300	192	-	64	28	61	191	117	111
Percent of all families .....	30.8	32.8	24.0	12.9	18.5	13.0	16.3	9.4	-	15.6	11.6	8.5	18.4	6.3	7.3
Mean family income .....	\$2 418	\$1 992	\$2 121	\$2 203	\$2 059	\$2 086	\$2 017	\$1 801	-	\$2 017	\$2 202	\$1 924	\$2 353	\$1 591	\$2 692
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 879	\$1 929	\$1 172	\$910	\$1 698	\$1 250	\$1 265	\$1 429	-	\$2 030	\$2 128	\$1 861	\$1 411	\$1 766	\$1 154
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	35.2	23.1	45.8	21.3	33.1	8.7	20.7	8.3	-	-	-	16.4	16.8	5.1	10.8
Mean size of family .....	5.27	4.49	3.51	3.23	4.17	3.40	3.45	3.47	-	4.45	5.07	4.61	4.34	3.42	4.23
With related children under 18 years .....	166	295	166	48	111	137	208	124	-	66	24	46	135	72	81
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	4.29	3.34	2.63	2.65	2.92	2.77	2.17	2.25	-	2.92	...	3.59	3.30	2.69	3.24
With related children under 6 years .....	98	219	68	21	44	55	124	78	-	49	5	37	62	42	57
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	2.31	1.62	1.88	...	1.64	1.27	1.80	1.96	-	1.16	...	1.16	1.65	1.26	2.19

## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Balance of Escomb County - Con														
	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
Families with female head .....	97	140	89	39	87	68	89	74	-	22	-	20	48	34	32
With related children under 18 years .....	75	132	61	21	63	51	80	62	-	22	-	20	48	34	32
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	3.80	3.02	1.59	...	2.29	2.22	1.64	1.92	-	...	-	...	2.71	2.15	3.72
With related children under 6 years .....	32	86	12	3	11	-	17	20	-	22	-	11	21	15	18
Percent in labor force .....	65.6	48.8	...	...	...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	...
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	2.50	1.34	...	...	...	-	...	...	-	...	-	...	...	...	...
Family heads .....	213	386	284	107	172	241	300	192	-	64	28	61	191	117	111
Percent 65 years and over .....	6.1	8.8	21.5	44.9	14.5	17.4	14.3	12.5	-	-	-	9.8	18.8	8.5	11.7
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	106	216	141	38	64	83	81	71	-	12	28	41	97	61	46
Percent in labor force .....	68.9	80.6	51.1	73.7	62.5	67.5	87.7	81.7	-	...	85.7	75.6	79.4	63.9	89.1
Unrelated individuals .....	108	118	190	132	55	158	169	138	-	12	60	37	119	28	51
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	53.5	57.3	55.6	52.6	27.0	32.7	43.0	25.9	-	...	22.4	21.6	51.7	17.5	24.2
Mean income .....	\$788	\$624	\$805	\$731	\$958	\$809	\$903	\$963	-	...	\$673	\$696	\$777	\$688	\$822
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 032	\$1 187	\$1 014	\$1 092	\$871	\$988	\$870	\$836	-	...	\$1 207	\$1 124	\$1 061	\$1 175	\$1 011
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	14.8	14.4	27.9	15.2	21.8	10.8	18.9	8.7	-	-	-	16.2	13.4	17.9	-
Percent 65 years and over .....	48.1	54.2	41.6	45.5	52.7	57.0	59.8	49.3	-	-	-	43.2	33.6	39.3	31.4
Persons .....	1 231	1 853	1 186	478	772	977	1 303	804	-	304	202	318	948	428	590
Percent of all persons .....	39.3	38.5	27.2	16.4	22.0	15.2	18.0	11.6	-	15.7	20.6	12.5	24.4	6.3	9.2
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	8.6	6.0	21.3	35.8	15.8	15.0	15.3	13.9	-	-	6.9	5.0	9.6	11.4	11.2
Percent 65 years and over .....	5.8	6.1	16.5	28.9	10.1	15.1	14.6	15.3	-	-	-	6.9	10.3	7.5	7.9
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	68.1	48.7	73.5	89.1	64.1	64.2	85.8	68.3	-	-	-	...	61.2	68.8	100.0
Related children under 18 years .....	621	1 011	462	144	356	377	433	288	-	191	68	167	463	196	255
Percent living with both parents .....	44.8	51.3	64.9	77.8	51.4	71.6	69.1	58.3	-	49.2	100.0	40.1	57.0	60.2	50.6
Households .....	284	468	415	191	187	339	418	290	-	72	55	86	243	127	141
Percent of all households .....	35.8	37.8	30.5	20.4	20.6	16.2	20.4	12.1	-	16.6	14.7	12.4	23.9	6.6	8.6
Owner occupied .....	144	192	238	131	124	180	114	146	-	-	11	48	125	67	78
Mean value of unit .....	\$5 500	\$7 300	\$6 300	\$6 800	\$7 300	\$9 000	\$9 900	\$11 900	-	-	...	\$14 800	\$8 300	\$14 900	\$11 500
Renter occupied .....	142	276	177	60	63	159	304	144	-	72	44	38	118	60	63
Mean gross rent .....	\$63	\$56	\$73	\$84	\$64	\$93	\$61	\$100	-	\$28	\$112	\$92	\$59	\$118	\$103
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	47.6	18.6	18.3	2.6	25.7	1.5	1.7	4.1	-	-	7.3	10.5	25.1	7.9	-

\*Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 14 years.

Table P-4 Income Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For ~~percent~~ size for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

## Census Tracts

INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES AND  
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS

Census Tracts	Income of Escomba County—Con.										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES AND UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS															
All families .....	1 772	1 244	1 708	2 857	1 142	2 244	2 242	932	758	990	1 024	622	922	294	8
Less than \$1,000 .....	53	24	101	66	43	74	42	67	63	39	49	33	80	11	2
\$1,000 to \$1,999 .....	44	14	52	66	39	89	87	44	48	70	143	49	64	15	5
\$2,000 to \$2,999 .....	47	38	74	92	42	97	69	29	67	66	113	43	45	21	5
\$3,000 to \$3,999 .....	41	13	87	95	63	112	98	76	48	62	82	69	84	16	10
\$4,000 to \$4,999 .....	74	55	113	96	104	113	100	54	36	78	123	49	53	11	12
\$5,000 to \$5,999 .....	120	76	177	162	75	191	114	67	45	83	33	73	79	26	10
\$6,000 to \$6,999 .....	158	119	190	200	83	235	155	55	58	82	67	20	75	20	6
\$7,000 to \$7,999 .....	99	119	134	150	99	252	209	69	48	90	84	51	78	10	4
\$8,000 to \$8,999 .....	174	122	181	185	139	120	212	56	47	107	72	70	99	33	3
\$9,000 to \$9,999 .....	189	131	106	210	125	159	188	55	24	112	58	55	52	12	3
\$10,000 to \$11,999 .....	243	251	217	267	107	234	372	152	82	99	83	50	113	49	1
\$12,000 to \$14,999 .....	309	204	163	281	127	281	285	124	71	50	72	60	38	54	3
\$15,000 to \$24,999 .....	190	90	98	166	82	255	242	74	93	43	47	-	61	16	2
\$25,000 to \$49,999 .....	22	10	15	21	14	17	71	5	23	9	-	-	11	-	2
\$50,000 or more .....	9	-	-	-	-	15	18	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Median income .....	\$9 402	\$9 405	\$7 448	\$8 549	\$8 165	\$7 837	\$9 239	\$8 089	\$7 292	\$7 167	\$5 091	\$5 932	\$6 813	\$8 515	\$5 411
Mean income .....	\$10 084	\$9 604	\$7 877	\$8 739	\$8 532	\$9 245	\$10 589	\$8 663	\$9 479	\$7 235	\$6 267	\$6 383	\$7 257	\$8 128	\$6 221
Families and unrelated individuals .....	1 919	1 311	1 955	2 338	1 344	3 798	2 864	1 084	862	1 177	1 290	712	1 101	317	2 411
Median income .....	\$9 176	\$9 332	\$6 885	\$7 994	\$7 448	\$5 088	\$7 828	\$7 162	\$6 534	\$6 302	\$4 321	\$5 315	\$5 753	\$8 167	\$2 611
Mean income .....	\$9 715	\$9 468	\$7 392	\$8 152	\$8 019	\$6 473	\$8 718	\$7 782	\$8 631	\$6 509	\$5 539	\$5 758	\$6 464	\$7 743	\$3 771
Unrelated individuals .....	147	45	247	281	202	1 554	602	152	104	187	264	90	169	23	1 641
Median income .....	\$5 528	\$5 250	\$3 425	\$2 887	\$2 500	\$1 766	\$989	\$1 125	\$1 629	\$1 578	\$996	\$1 257	\$1 500	...	\$1 971
Mean income .....	\$5 268	\$5 637	\$4 038	\$3 852	\$3 119	\$2 471	\$1 689	\$2 380	\$2 457	\$2 668	\$2 710	\$1 436	\$2 091	...	\$2 511



## Census Tracts

## TYPE OF INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES

All families	1 772	1 266	1 708	2 057	1 142	2 244	2 262	932	758	998	1 026	622	932	294	843
With wage or salary income	1 576	1 217	1 558	1 879	1 043	1 995	2 037	834	598	808	832	497	658	248	775
Mean wage or salary income	\$9 404	\$9 255	\$7 864	\$8 596	\$8 033	\$8 808	\$10 137	\$8 120	\$8 205	\$7 383	\$6 230	\$6 553	\$7 021	\$8 005	\$5 947
With nonfarm self-employment income	179	57	146	115	72	246	185	58	77	66	46	53	102	15	38
Mean nonfarm self-employment income	\$5 986	\$4 285	\$3 880	\$5 345	\$7 963	\$4 759	\$9 119	\$3 708	\$4 440	\$2 485	\$1 028	\$3 493	\$6 006	...	\$2 704
With farm self-employment income	21	6	4	28	18	26	51	-	124	123	26	71	157	33	99
Mean farm self-employment income	...	...	...	\$1 029	...	\$315	\$574	-	\$6 009	\$2 100	\$4 088	\$1 588	\$6 260	\$3 929	\$2 584
With Social Security income	148	83	170	184	159	325	342	89	168	195	313	145	204	62	58
Mean Social Security income	\$1 363	\$1 903	\$1 207	\$1 524	\$1 888	\$1 638	\$1 477	\$1 554	\$1 121	\$1 489	\$1 288	\$1 456	\$1 133	\$1 296	\$994
With public assistance or public welfare income	30	33	94	79	73	114	98	92	61	109	245	63	67	15	30
Mean public assistance or public welfare income	\$807	\$162	\$924	\$790	\$819	\$732	\$703	\$1 368	\$806	\$888	\$904	\$759	\$987	...	\$708
With other income	713	393	281	644	270	635	686	280	174	270	175	113	172	64	242
Mean other income	\$2 427	\$1 265	\$1 221	\$1 304	\$1 242	\$2 174	\$1 478	\$2 936	\$5 482	\$1 436	\$1 625	\$1 387	\$1 458	\$1 386	\$899

RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

## Percent of families with incomes

Less than .50 of poverty level .....	3.6	2.6	9.4	5.6	6.1	5.3	2.3	12.9	12.1	8.5	13.1	7.1	11.7	5.1	3.3
.50 to .74 .....	3.1	1.3	3.3	1.0	2.9	2.9	4.0	2.9	5.7	4.3	9.5	6.4	5.9	7.5	6.9
.75 to .99 .....	2.4	1.3	3.8	3.7	2.9	3.3	4.1	6.7	5.5	4.4	12.3	7.2	3.2	1.7	10.4
1.00 to 1.24 .....	2.9	5.5	9.9	5.0	7.1	6.1	2.5	3.4	5.3	4.5	9.2	9.8	9.4	5.1	8.8
1.25 to 1.49 .....	4.0	4.4	8.4	7.4	7.2	8.1	3.5	6.5	6.3	8.5	4.2	6.4	7.8	3.7	15.9
1.50 to 1.99 .....	13.4	16.7	13.5	15.8	14.1	17.1	13.5	13.8	11.6	18.6	12.7	14.1	10.2	18.4	17.8
2.00 to 2.99 .....	27.5	31.6	22.7	27.4	24.8	23.0	30.9	22.4	15.8	27.1	21.7	29.7	27.5	21.1	16.0
3.00 or more .....	43.2	36.5	28.9	34.2	34.9	34.4	39.2	31.3	37.6	24.0	17.4	19.1	24.2	37.4	21.1

INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

Families .....	160	66	283	213	136	256	235	209	177	171	357	129	194	42	174
Percent of all families .....	9.0	5.2	16.6	10.4	11.9	11.4	10.4	22.4	23.4	17.3	34.8	20.7	20.8	14.3	20.6
Mean family income .....	\$1 556	\$1 752	\$1 956	\$1 716	\$2 085	\$1 722	\$2 131	\$2 039	\$1 680	\$1 699	\$2 092	\$1 683	\$1 155	\$1 333	\$2 150
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 851	\$1 955	\$2 307	\$1 585	\$1 868	\$1 612	\$1 199	\$2 478	\$1 793	\$1 911	\$1 542	\$1 342	\$2 020	\$1 252	\$1 138
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	6.3	6.1	18.0	5.2	22.1	29.7	28.5	25.8	23.7	46.8	54.9	36.4	25.8	23.8	5.7
Mean size of family .....	3.54	4.02	4.91	3.42	4.43	3.47	3.54	5.24	3.90	4.18	4.07	3.26	3.68	2.67	3.53
With related children under 18 years .....	105	66	254	150	89	160	131	183	101	120	215	61	120	16	111
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	2.58	2.35	3.35	2.26	3.47	2.59	2.71	3.76	3.42	3.19	3.45	2.74	2.70	...	2.32
With related children under 6 years .....	50	50	118	87	37	80	75	141	47	69	102	42	41	5	80
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.18	1.64	1.98	1.30	2.16	1.49	1.45	2.02	1.98	1.72	1.91	1.71	1.73	...	1.53



## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Bureau of Escambia County - Con.										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Families with female head	69	22	109	84	45	103	43	83	56	47	150	37	48	4	17
With related children under 18 years	57	22	103	75	31	78	43	76	35	35	119	22	37	-	6
Mean number of related children under 18 years	2.68	...	3.35	1.63	3.13	2.91	2.44	4.05	2.83	3.31	3.34	...	2.54	-	...
With related children under 6 years	26	11	56	17	4	44	18	62	14	22	59	17	11	-	...
Percent in labor force	61.5	...	41.1	...	...	72.7	-	25.8	-	-	33.9	-	-	-	...
Mean number of related children under 6 years	1.00	...	1.73	...	...	1.57	...	1.73	...	...	2.20	...	...	-	...
Family heads	160	64	283	213	136	256	235	209	177	171	357	129	194	42	17
Percent 65 years and over	12.5	-	12.7	7.5	22.1	11.7	24.7	5.7	35.6	24.6	31.1	46.5	24.7	33.3	13
Civilian male heads under 65 years	56	28	150	71	75	107	131	123	79	88	127	47	110	28	2
Percent in labor force	39.3	75.0	74.7	38.0	57.3	72.9	51.9	87.8	62.0	87.5	53.5	66.0	61.8	39.3	...
Unrelated individuals	34	16	80	108	76	162	152	97	60	107	187	66	102	11	3
Percent of all unrelated individuals	23.1	35.6	32.4	38.4	37.6	50.2	58.5	63.8	57.7	57.2	70.8	73.3	60.4	...	48
Mean income	\$476	...	\$517	\$968	\$518	\$733	\$664	\$772	\$943	\$854	\$834	\$808	\$875	...	\$67
Mean income deficit	\$1 306	...	\$1 361	\$851	\$1 311	\$1 117	\$1 139	\$1 033	\$767	\$861	\$963	\$940	\$900	...	\$1 14
Percent receiving public assistance income	35.3	-	25.0	8.3	6.6	26.5	25.7	29.9	21.7	49.5	48.7	25.8	43.1	-	17
Percent 65 years and over	64.7	-	13.8	60.2	39.5	37.0	40.8	54.6	60.0	51.4	68.4	57.6	63.7	...	33
Persons	600	281	1 470	837	679	1 050	984	1 192	751	822	1 629	487	815	123	63
Percent of all persons	9.1	5.8	20.0	10.7	15.5	11.9	11.3	28.5	26.1	22.1	38.3	21.5	24.5	11.3	22
Percent receiving Social Security income	7.2	-	5.9	14.5	11.5	9.4	14.8	6.1	18.0	15.0	21.8	23.8	18.4	19.5	6
Percent 65 years and over	8.5	-	4.6	12.2	10.9	10.8	17.2	5.5	15.7	13.9	18.7	27.5	18.0	26.8	7
Percent receiving Social Security income	41.2	-	55.2	84.3	40.5	38.9	59.2	50.8	72.9	55.3	68.0	67.2	53.1	39.4	53
Related children under 18 years	272	158	871	337	313	435	363	673	327	335	807	166	305	28	25
Percent living with both parents	43.4	61.4	52.2	65.6	59.7	45.3	65.0	53.8	70.3	58.5	35.6	74.1	64.6	85.7	81
Households	179	90	291	207	161	323	258	267	167	201	458	73	122	17	168
Percent of all households	9.7	4.8	17.5	11.2	15.3	14.3	14.1	28.0	34.6	27.5	45.7	22.5	22.0	11.1	21
Owner occupied	128	25	173	147	132	230	154	192	129	121	299	46	98	17	13
Mean value of unit	\$11 500	\$12 800	\$8 400	\$11 400	\$9 600	\$10 500	\$12 200	\$6 700	\$6 200	\$5 700	\$7 100	\$4 400	\$5 400	...	...
Renter occupied	51	35	118	60	29	93	104	75	38	80	159	27	34	-	125
Mean gross rent	\$86	\$175	\$62	\$103	\$91	\$78	\$79	\$40	\$43	\$48	\$40	\$28	\$39	-	\$2
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities	12.8	-	38.8	3.9	8.7	27.6	20.5	52.4	35.9	39.8	54.8	27.4	22.6	...	...

Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 4 years.

Table P-4. Income Characteristics of the Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

## Census Tracts

## INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES AND UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS

	Santa Rosa County—Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0022
All families -----	898	1 741	1 863	1 359	1 887	1 341	2 143	1 686	1 713	741	788	1 274	877	1 936	2 143
Less than \$1,000 -----	32	95	60	40	10	128	28	14	52	59	34	56	8	90	30
\$1,000 to \$1,999 -----	33	85	139	58	15	191	8	14	106	51	73	78	22	57	57
\$2,000 to \$2,999 -----	26	101	86	110	18	153	15	63	115	74	58	107	51	107	107
\$3,000 to \$3,999 -----	54	99	150	74	30	136	43	78	68	71	107	110	90	118	118
\$4,000 to \$4,999 -----	75	112	123	106	39	161	76	78	124	112	56	139	74	176	176
\$5,000 to \$5,999 -----	84	125	114	119	9	134	40	132	129	64	99	101	89	214	214
\$6,000 to \$6,999 -----	48	165	202	124	60	155	94	124	158	71	88	100	60	182	182
\$7,000 to \$7,999 -----	73	169	132	98	52	56	104	108	112	53	66	126	110	176	176
\$8,000 to \$8,999 -----	54	161	198	66	57	85	158	125	177	64	40	44	60	141	141
\$9,000 to \$9,999 -----	55	115	145	100	53	34	181	149	151	25	24	110	101	173	173
\$10,000 to \$11,999 -----	119	191	160	149	146	39	381	293	160	36	25	116	88	178	178
\$12,000 to \$14,999 -----	113	144	204	171	173	34	578	249	169	39	20	122	75	160	160
\$15,000 to \$24,999 -----	121	158	140	121	316	17	380	166	153	12	15	55	39	182	182
\$25,000 to \$49,999 -----	11	16	5	17	85	18	49	52	34	-	-	10	-	14	14
\$50,000 or more -----	-	5	5	6	24	-	10	5	4	10	3	-	-	8	8
Median income -----	\$8 444	\$7 524	\$7 436	\$7 495	\$12 945	\$4 388	\$11 709	\$9 597	\$7 929	\$5 055	\$5 263	\$6 460	\$7 314	\$7 364	\$7 364
Mean income -----	\$9 261	\$8 074	\$8 003	\$8 538	\$14 594	\$5 225	\$11 981	\$10 322	\$10 267	\$6 741	\$8 691	\$7 132	\$7 558	\$8 345	\$9 345
Families and unrelated individuals -----	991	2 091	2 230	1 628	1 241	1 891	2 324	2 296	2 016	1 029	923	1 662	1 159	2 419	3 419
Median income -----	\$7 719	\$6 832	\$6 588	\$6 615	\$12 404	\$3 378	\$11 352	\$7 845	\$6 898	\$4 061	\$4 211	\$5 289	\$5 842	\$6 513	\$5 513
Mean income -----	\$8 655	\$7 246	\$7 164	\$7 535	\$13 947	\$4 294	\$11 449	\$8 406	\$9 168	\$5 290	\$7 234	\$6 167	\$6 343	\$7 593	\$6 593
Unrelated individuals -----	93	350	367	269	154	550	179	646	304	288	215	388	282	483	1 483
Median income -----	\$1 771	\$2 186	\$2 287	\$1 205	\$5 000	\$1 438	\$3 729	\$2 531	\$1 928	\$1 083	\$1 793	\$1 748	\$1 827	\$3 430	\$1 430
Mean income -----	\$2 802	\$3 128	\$2 906	\$2 464	\$9 381	\$2 025	\$5 074	\$3 513	\$2 977	\$1 559	\$2 435	\$2 997	\$2 564	\$4 581	\$2 581

## Census Tracts

## TYPE OF INCOME IN 1969 OF FAMILIES

	Santa Rosa County - Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0022
All families .....	898	1 741	1 863	1 359	1 087	1 341	2 143	1 650	1 712	741	788	1 274	877	1 936	2 100
With wage or salary income .....	852	1 595	1 594	1 151	1 012	1 131	2 075	1 531	1 536	649	653	1 091	755	1 734	2 000
Mean wage or salary income .....	\$8 850	\$7 626	\$7 646	\$8 068	\$13 200	\$4 985	\$11 199	\$9 365	\$8 260	\$5 373	\$5 129	\$6 880	\$7 087	\$7 862	\$8 850
With nonfarm self-employment income .....	79	122	134	128	121	107	199	122	112	37	35	114	120	188	200
Mean nonfarm self-employment income .....	\$3 721	\$5 312	\$7 678	\$7 938	\$6 219	\$5 584	\$3 653	\$6 262	\$4 704	\$5 631	\$4 373	\$2 812	\$4 855	\$5 036	\$4 721
With farm self-employment income .....	18	11	47	27	-	-	14	30	5	5	5	-	9	4	-
Mean farm self-employment income .....	...	...	\$850	\$1 746	-	-	...	\$490	...	...	...	-	...	...	-
With Social Security income .....	77	215	324	228	136	231	171	262	251	161	123	298	173	270	200
Mean Social Security income .....	\$1 700	\$1 375	\$1 255	\$1 593	\$1 825	\$1 215	\$1 659	\$1 748	\$1 425	\$1 227	\$1 272	\$1 509	\$1 356	\$1 547	\$1 700
With public assistance or public welfare income .....	22	132	165	69	-	260	21	56	134	111	109	208	61	61	-
Mean public assistance or public welfare income .....	...	\$954	\$881	\$1 119	-	\$697	...	\$790	\$991	\$590	\$598	\$623	\$702	\$875	-
With other income .....	199	463	599	357	479	242	942	577	496	111	85	375	301	669	200
Mean other income .....	\$1 510	\$1 771	\$1 836	\$2 278	\$3 140	\$1 279	\$1 537	\$2 449	\$7 776	\$9 333	\$28 461	\$1 814	\$1 395	\$1 649	\$2 100

## RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL

Percent of families with incomes															
Less than .50 of poverty level .....	5.0	8.3	4.8	5.2	1.4	20.1	1.7	1.5	7.1	11.7	13.0	6.4	1.5	3.9	1.4
.50 to .74 .....	3.7	5.0	6.2	6.0	1.6	9.7	-	1.2	5.4	13.9	10.2	6.0	2.5	3.6	1.6
.75 to .99 .....	3.9	5.0	6.9	4.6	2.7	10.8	1.4	4.2	5.8	10.5	7.5	9.9	8.2	5.2	2.7
1.00 to 1.24 .....	8.5	4.7	4.6	9.5	1.7	12.4	2.7	2.3	3.7	9.6	15.4	8.4	5.9	4.3	1.7
1.25 to 1.49 .....	7.2	6.4	7.7	5.4	1.5	9.6	2.7	3.8	8.5	9.9	16.4	8.2	4.1	7.3	1.5
1.50 to 1.99 .....	12.9	13.7	14.8	14.8	6.4	16.3	5.5	11.0	13.3	14.6	13.4	13.0	22.2	16.1	6.4
2.00 to 2.99 .....	25.3	27.5	29.2	23.3	22.8	11.0	28.0	30.3	24.6	19.3	16.0	19.2	21.4	28.0	22.8
3.00 or more .....	33.5	29.6	25.9	31.1	61.9	10.1	58.0	45.8	31.5	10.5	8.2	29.0	34.1	31.6	61.9

## INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL

Families .....	113	318	332	215	61	545	66	112	314	268	217	284	187	246	113
Percent of all families .....	12.6	18.3	17.8	15.8	5.6	40.6	3.1	6.8	18.3	36.2	30.6	22.3	12.2	12.7	12.6
Mean family income .....	\$2 307	\$1 865	\$1 955	\$2 093	\$2 542	\$1 924	\$1 852	\$2 371	\$2 073	\$2 488	\$2 411	\$2 121	\$2 203	\$2 066	\$1 924
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 580	\$1 834	\$1 306	\$1 461	\$1 277	\$1 972	\$1 940	\$1 024	\$1 540	\$1 651	\$1 852	\$1 172	\$910	\$1 253	\$1 580
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	3.5	27.7	23.8	16.3	-	33.0	10.6	17.0	32.5	19.8	34.6	45.8	21.5	8.5	3.5
Mean size of family .....	4.51	4.13	3.35	3.80	4.15	4.30	4.23	3.59	3.95	4.81	5.21	3.51	3.23	3.37	4.51
With related children under 18 years .....	87	238	193	142	46	437	61	82	235	213	166	166	48	137	87
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	3.66	3.20	2.46	2.65	2.87	2.97	2.79	2.29	2.80	3.31	4.29	2.63	2.65	2.77	3.66
With related children under 6 years .....	54	141	103	63	14	183	32	44	149	92	98	68	21	55	54
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.98	1.61	1.54	1.60	...	1.45	2.22	1.27	1.47	1.82	2.31	1.88	...	1.27	1.98

## Census Tracts

	Santa Rosa County - Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
Families with female head .....	32	144	99	48	14	337	38	34	148	103	97	89	39	68	103
With related children under 18 years .....	32	129	88	48	14	309	38	34	138	85	75	61	21	51	78
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	3.16	3.93	2.41	2.15	...	2.86	2.92	2.44	3.02	2.72	3.80	1.59	...	2.22	2.91
With related children under 6 years .....	15	63	15	13	5	123	20	22	95	15	32	12	3	-	44
Percent in labor force .....	-	69.8	...	...	-	69.9	...	-	61.1	...	65.6	...	...	-	72.7
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	...	1.65	...	...	...	1.50	...	...	1.49	...	2.50	...	...	-	1.57
Family heads .....	113	318	332	215	61	545	66	112	314	268	217	284	107	246	256
Percent 65 years and over .....	4.4	16.0	20.8	17.7	6.6	20.0	-	25.9	16.2	17.5	6.0	21.5	44.9	17.1	11.7
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	62	87	140	129	42	140	28	43	109	125	110	141	38	88	107
Percent in labor force .....	90.3	89.7	66.4	57.4	76.2	67.9	82.1	86.0	74.3	67.2	70.0	51.1	73.7	69.3	72.9
Unrelated individuals .....	32	148	153	170	33	345	33	169	128	214	108	207	145	158	168
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	55.9	42.3	41.7	63.2	14.9	62.7	18.4	32.3	42.1	74.3	50.2	53.4	51.4	32.7	51.1
Mean income .....	\$788	\$819	\$929	\$667	...	\$784	\$239	\$873	\$775	\$723	\$788	\$860	\$755	\$809	\$734
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 012	\$1 002	\$881	\$1 117	...	\$1 067	\$1 329	\$953	\$1 051	\$1 144	\$1 032	\$957	\$1 062	\$988	\$1 115
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	34.6	12.2	41.2	28.2	-	22.3	30.3	11.2	12.5	22.0	14.8	25.6	13.8	10.8	25.6
Percent 65 years and over .....	53.8	31.8	62.1	35.9	...	33.9	42.4	42.0	33.6	22.4	48.1	41.5	50.3	57.0	35.7
Persons .....	542	1 442	1 266	987	276	2 684	312	571	1 367	1 503	1 239	1 203	491	987	1 056
Percent of all persons .....	15.7	22.7	17.9	18.8	6.6	45.9	3.8	9.6	20.5	42.8	38.7	25.6	16.0	14.6	11.7
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	6.9	9.7	13.7	17.4	4.0	7.7	2.6	23.5	11.4	11.4	8.6	22.4	36.3	15.3	9.4
Percent 65 years and over .....	5.9	7.7	16.9	15.1	4.0	10.2	4.5	18.4	10.7	10.2	5.8	16.9	30.8	15.0	10.7
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	75.8	61.1	54.7	69.1	...	54.7	...	72.4	47.3	61.0	68.1	74.4	86.1	64.2	38.9
Related children under 18 years .....	274	578	505	375	133	1 254	170	198	702	751	621	462	144	377	435
Percent living with both parents .....	54.4	30.4	49.9	57.9	79.7	27.5	37.6	57.6	29.6	51.9	44.8	64.9	77.8	71.6	45.3
Households .....	114	358	388	270	70	784	76	175	353	412	290	432	204	344	329
Percent of all households .....	14.1	19.7	23.1	20.8	6.1	44.9	3.4	9.8	20.9	44.4	35.0	29.1	20.1	15.9	14.2
Owner occupied .....	66	192	233	191	22	297	51	107	202	235	146	248	144	180	230
Mean value of unit .....	\$6 200	\$7 900	\$7 000	\$9 500	...	\$9 800	\$11 900	\$15 000	\$10 100	\$9 100	\$9 300	\$6 600	\$7 000	\$9 000	\$10 500
Renter occupied .....	48	166	155	79	48	409	25	68	151	177	142	184	60	164	99
Mean gross rent .....	\$82	\$77	\$61	\$66	\$170	\$59	\$166	\$115	\$56	\$58	\$63	\$70	\$84	\$93	\$88
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	15.8	24.0	26.8	27.4	-	21.7	27.6	2.3	17.0	43.4	48.3	17.6	2.5	1.5	27.1

\*Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 14 years.



Table P-5. General and Social Characteristics of the Negro Population: 1970

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Negro  
Population

## AGE BY SEX

Male, all ages														
Under 5 years	2 235	2 174	961	1 213	61	95	17	270	39	183	105	78	31	96
3 and 4 years	897	851	410	441	40	20	2	124	24	78	42	43	5	40
5 to 9 years	2 909	2 776	1 239	1 537	133	77	32	401	53	219	176	78	35	75
5 years	453	425	179	246	28	-	15	60	11	22	23	5	17	9
6 years	627	611	245	366	16	14	-	79	7	48	29	21	5	20
10 to 14 years	3 130	2 933	1 315	1 618	197	216	47	324	49	353	89	51	30	30
14 years	633	599	280	319	34	58	8	61	18	83	12	7	4	7
15 to 19 years	2 467	2 381	1 027	1 354	86	79	28	312	43	270	74	87	51	38
15 years	506	479	210	269	27	3	11	58	18	50	17	14	13	23
16 years	639	618	264	354	21	42	11	73	-	97	23	-	8	-
17 years	468	460	188	272	8	11	-	77	13	45	11	14	6	-
18 years	443	422	218	204	21	18	6	64	12	50	13	22	3	15
19 years	411	402	147	255	9	5	-	40	-	28	10	37	21	-
20 to 24 years	1 318	1 286	474	749	95	30	15	128	6	100	60	42	11	15
20 years	431	369	119	250	62	12	-	40	-	20	17	15	-	5
21 years	215	206	67	139	9	-	-	20	-	17	17	-	-	-
25 to 34 years	1 866	1 760	841	919	106	59	20	263	43	213	52	49	27	27
35 to 44 years	1 859	1 805	826	979	54	122	28	169	48	170	77	69	30	13
45 to 54 years	1 806	1 728	974	754	78	108	41	253	34	285	69	53	25	-
55 to 59 years	693	693	374	319	-	30	3	105	5	112	20	49	8	-
60 to 64 years	637	615	332	283	22	30	17	47	25	118	20	19	-	5
65 to 74 years	722	687	426	261	35	70	10	75	12	135	42	14	4	7
75 years and over	337	320	163	157	17	9	-	52	16	55	5	8	-	-
Female, all ages														
Under 5 years	2 224	2 102	894	1 208	122	46	24	269	22	250	90	60	25	45
3 and 4 years	892	835	371	464	57	-	6	79	10	151	44	26	20	24
5 to 9 years	2 779	2 605	1 285	1 320	174	137	32	389	43	252	96	78	49	130
5 years	396	363	161	202	33	8	5	63	8	19	10	10	6	21
6 years	598	554	281	273	44	19	-	81	9	56	22	15	7	42
10 to 14 years	3 011	2 926	1 232	1 694	85	130	53	299	68	302	151	54	40	25
14 years	600	582	212	370	18	25	3	32	5	75	17	10	6	6
15 to 19 years	2 425	2 252	1 047	1 205	173	90	24	312	57	221	86	79	28	55
15 years	579	538	258	280	41	24	8	70	26	39	20	25	3	20
16 years	555	514	192	322	41	8	-	46	-	58	16	15	6	18



# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pensacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
17 years	471	435	234	201	36	16	-	99	12	50	6	20	7	13	11
18 years	411	384	176	208	27	18	12	33	13	47	22	9	3	-	19
19 years	409	381	187	194	28	24	4	64	6	27	22	10	9	4	11
20 to 24 years	1 511	456	766	690	55	65	21	251	17	129	119	37	14	44	51
20 years	367	359	210	149	8	30	5	67	-	22	45	-	6	19	11
21 years	343	330	157	173	13	9	-	60	7	34	10	15	6	4	12
25 to 34 years	2 358	2 237	1 189	1 048	121	103	36	363	35	248	112	94	30	66	83
35 to 44 years	2 605	2 499	1 221	1 278	106	117	56	277	65	304	89	80	63	58	75
45 to 54 years	2 109	1 992	1 082	910	117	117	24	299	51	276	71	89	33	19	76
55 to 59 years	975	945	611	334	30	56	4	135	26	227	35	42	4	13	63
60 to 64 years	743	711	416	295	32	59	9	113	20	118	32	17	5	10	33
65 to 74 years	1 101	1 049	686	363	52	85	30	188	33	211	33	28	7	3	56
75 years and over	489	475	293	182	14	45	12	43	20	100	10	36	-	16	11
11 005															
RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD															
All persons	42 309	40 344	19 674	20 670	1 965	1 975	583	5 337	830	4 851	1 713	1 291	550	790	1 451
In households	41 613	39 709	19 634	20 075	1 904	1 975	583	5 337	830	4 851	1 713	1 291	550	790	1 451
Head of household	11 074	10 520	5 694	4 826	554	587	162	1 514	228	1 550	455	375	129	176	426
Head of family	8 915	8 506	4 386	4 120	409	410	134	1 230	188	1 074	385	309	115	170	304
Primary individual	2 159	2 014	1 308	706	145	177	28	284	40	476	70	66	14	6	122
Wife of head	5 574	5 371	2 555	2 816	203	255	100	691	99	591	196	209	79	57	213
Other relative of head	24 208	23 095	10 918	12 177	1 113	1 099	292	3 039	462	2 576	1 009	689	338	557	771
Not related to head	757	723	467	256	34	34	29	93	41	134	53	18	4	-	41
In group quarters	696	635	40	595	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Persons per household	3.76	3.77	3.45	4.16	3.44	3.36	3.60	3.53	3.64	3.13	3.76	3.44	4.26	4.49	3.41
TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD															
All households	11 074	10 520	5 694	4 826	554	587	162	1 514	228	1 550	455	375	129	176	426
Male primary individual	844	790	490	300	54	78	17	98	6	188	17	19	-	-	60
Female primary individual	1 315	1 224	818	406	91	99	11	186	34	288	53	47	14	6	62
Husband-wife households	5 558	5 347	2 524	2 823	211	239	74	680	101	606	235	215	61	50	196
Households with other male head	461	442	234	208	19	14	3	74	15	70	3	12	3	5	35
Households with female head	2 896	2 717	1 628	1 089	179	157	57	476	72	398	147	82	51	115	73
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT															
Persons, 16 to 21 years old	5 163	4 880	2 159	2 721	283	193	38	683	63	495	212	157	75	78	137
Not attending school	2 627	2 480	1 116	1 364	147	128	21	388	13	206	150	49	19	58	73
Not high school graduates	1 259	1 208	560	648	51	82	5	214	3	92	52	15	-	34	57
Percent of total	24.4	24.8	25.9	23.8	18.0	42.5	13.2	31.3	4.8	18.6	24.5	9.6	-	43.6	41.6

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Persons, 25 years old and over		18 300	17 516	9 434	8 082	784	1 010	290	2 382	433	2 572	667	647	236	237
No school years completed		917	907	362	545	10	65	-	75	13	118	25	29	4	6
Elementary	1 to 4 years	2 675	2 552	1 330	1 222	123	120	50	308	44	428	94	47	9	23
	5 to 7 years	3 453	3 299	1 764	1 535	154	190	57	416	42	536	110	72	85	48
	8 years	1 774	1 719	999	720	55	126	22	280	55	199	126	61	25	20
High school	1 to 3 years	4 410	4 168	2 192	1 976	242	170	89	569	101	644	160	183	38	59
	4 years	3 495	3 374	1 897	1 477	121	240	46	512	68	511	109	115	53	64
College	1 to 3 years	942	902	474	428	40	45	18	145	39	71	34	53	12	12
	4 years or more	634	595	416	179	39	54	8	77	71	65	9	87	10	5
Median school years completed		9.2	9.2	9.4	9.0	9.6	9.1	9.5	9.6	10.9	9.0	8.8	10.9	8.8	10.1
Percent high school graduates		27.7	27.8	29.5	25.8	25.5	33.6	24.8	30.8	41.1	25.2	22.8	39.4	31.8	34.2

## RESIDENCE IN 1965

Persons, 5 years old and over, 1970 <sup>1</sup> .....	37 843	36 041	17 781	18 260	1 802	1 822	548	4 799	750	4 411	1 319	1 136	382	688
Same house as in 1970 .....	21 967	21 012	9 923	11 089	955	1 049	455	2 872	466	2 291	826	631	287	136
Different house .....														
In central city of this SMSA .....	7 099	7 099	4 989	2 110	-	556	-	1 237	184	1 299	538	335	162	230
In other part of this SMSA .....	4 004	3 472	715	2 757	532	64	16	42	-	222	28	68	30	154
Outside this SMSA .....	2 400	2 172	905	1 267	228	51	53	301	67	177	58	42	6	80
North and West .....	635	587	235	352	48	40	5	39	35	43	17	25	3	77
South .....	1 765	1 585	670	915	180	11	48	262	32	134	41	17	3	30
Abroad .....	159	141	50	91	18	6	3	19	-	10	-	-	-	7

<sup>1</sup>Includes "Moved, 1965 residence not reported."

Table P-5: General and Social Characteristics of the Negro Population: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Negro  
Population

## AGE BY SEX

Male, all ages	363	798	1 344	885	190	539	471	1 765	282	584	172	811	223	208	684
Under 5 years	46	74	133	163	28	36	29	225	35	72	11	124	27	49	96
3 and 4 years	-	10	44	71	13	5	7	72	27	44	7	71	12	13	30
5 to 9 years	53	71	172	157	21	117	94	318	68	90	6	141	37	8	131
5 years	9	11	34	32	7	27	14	20	6	17	-	22	8	-	24
6 years	5	16	26	47	5	27	25	71	11	22	-	38	-	-	42
10 to 14 years	71	120	264	182	18	65	54	327	82	62	55	145	42	14	64
14 years	28	34	47	34	-	4	5	40	11	16	31	43	12	-	6
15 to 19 years	44	114	133	105	30	75	73	220	12	98	52	134	33	14	97
15 years	-	22	21	35	5	6	13	56	-	36	4	35	7	7	7
16 years	29	26	48	27	5	23	17	60	4	47	8	27	13	-	15
17 years	-	7	29	23	5	11	12	58	8	11	11	27	13	7	40
18 years	7	39	10	5	4	10	19	26	-	-	6	16	-	-	18
19 years	8	20	25	15	11	25	12	20	-	7	23	29	-	-	17
20 to 24 years	22	40	84	43	21	47	8	25	7	54	-	36	20	30	29
20 years	5	27	19	11	-	4	-	-	4	22	-	5	-	11	5
21 years	-	-	17	4	5	-	-	8	-	19	-	13	7	-	6
25 to 34 years	11	63	134	87	5	46	44	206	38	50	4	56	17	4	48
35 to 44 years	56	99	132	59	25	65	50	233	19	32	18	73	16	17	47
45 to 54 years	31	83	95	27	26	36	61	126	21	41	20	45	18	51	44
55 to 59 years	14	59	55	20	-	13	7	33	-	23	6	26	-	13	33
60 to 64 years	13	41	62	20	6	26	22	14	-	28	-	14	10	-	14
65 to 74 years	-	27	61	15	-	13	7	29	-	27	-	5	3	8	32
75 years and over	4	7	19	7	10	-	22	9	-	7	-	12	-	-	49
Female, all ages	344	1 149	1 452	970	227	617	483	1 681	215	550	166	761	235	239	890
Under 5 years	40	123	144	166	14	44	49	172	40	50	9	116	47	19	105
3 and 4 years	5	33	65	68	-	20	30	69	9	11	4	56	12	9	43
5 to 9 years	18	139	203	118	50	77	53	246	40	64	25	116	25	6	81
5 years	-	27	49	13	13	6	-	29	6	5	5	16	10	-	7
6 years	-	11	39	41	11	13	16	45	7	13	-	55	-	6	16
10 to 14 years	73	227	225	160	48	109	58	273	16	90	18	86	39	45	182
14 years	17	43	66	30	14	15	5	67	4	18	7	9	24	12	34
15 to 19 years	43	142	194	106	10	59	53	214	15	73	22	109	17	29	72
15 years	-	33	50	30	-	10	6	54	-	15	5	28	7	6	21
16 years	10	45	51	24	5	17	23	58	11	6	6	36	-	13	17

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## Balance of Escambia County

	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040
17 years .....	13	21	38	28	5	10	-	40	-	21	-	8	-	6	11
18 years .....	16	20	32	20	-	6	6	29	4	23	11	33	-	-	6
19 years .....	4	23	23	4	-	16	18	33	-	8	-	4	10	4	17
20 to 24 years .....	20	35	49	108	14	43	20	78	21	30	15	51	14	38	63
20 years .....	5	-	21	41	5	15	-	9	10	12	5	9	-	6	-
21 years .....	11	10	15	21	-	13	15	15	-	6	-	8	-	16	23
25 to 34 years .....	27	83	129	109	27	82	37	231	34	50	19	64	23	11	45
35 to 44 years .....	57	148	194	128	23	65	66	236	36	59	15	81	19	29	69
45 to 54 years .....	46	104	128	50	25	53	47	124	9	76	20	72	18	43	64
55 to 59 years .....	-	55	50	6	-	28	21	30	-	13	11	19	6	9	69
60 to 64 years .....	11	39	70	15	11	15	23	18	4	-	4	8	15	-	36
65 to 74 years .....	4	39	47	-	5	13	43	39	-	35	-	34	7	10	63
75 years and over .....	5	15	19	4	-	29	13	20	-	10	8	5	5	-	41

## RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

All persons .....	709	1 947	2 794	1 855	417	1 156	954	3 446	497	1 134	338	1 572	458	447	1 574
in households .....	709	1 947	2 715	1 855	417	1 156	954	3 446	497	1 088	306	1 514	458	447	1 574
Head of household .....	171	484	682	401	117	263	258	756	90	274	55	317	99	128	440
Head of family .....	145	398	566	386	95	246	202	673	90	236	46	253	91	96	331
Primary individual .....	26	86	116	15	22	17	56	83	-	38	9	64	8	32	109
Wife of head .....	112	305	344	224	61	162	139	542	74	175	39	156	64	63	146
Other relative of head .....	412	1 127	1 650	1 215	239	726	532	2 067	327	637	212	1 041	295	250	964
Not related to head .....	14	31	39	15	-	5	25	81	6	2	-	-	-	6	24
in group quarters .....	-	-	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	32	58	-	-	-
Persons per household .....	4.15	4.02	3.98	4.63	3.56	4.40	3.70	4.56	5.52	3.97	5.56	4.78	4.63	3.49	3.58

## TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD

All households .....	171	484	682	401	117	263	258	756	90	274	55	317	99	128	440
Male primary individual .....	22	25	64	15	5	-	14	39	-	17	-	15	3	23	46
Female primary individual .....	4	61	52	-	17	17	42	44	-	21	9	49	5	9	63
Husband-wife households .....	109	310	359	230	65	158	135	513	69	174	38	161	58	83	161
Households with other male head .....	-	-	54	16	12	12	24	40	-	14	-	5	-	-	13
Households with female head .....	36	88	153	140	18	76	43	120	21	48	8	87	33	13	157

## SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

Persons, 16 to 21 years old .....	108	238	328	223	45	150	122	356	41	179	70	215	43	63	175
Not attending school .....	34	98	144	120	19	61	69	78	18	85	34	120	17	37	96
Not high school graduates .....	8	46	88	61	14	29	31	43	9	36	21	82	17	6	55
Percent of total .....	7.4	19.3	26.8	27.4	31.1	19.3	25.4	12.1	22.0	20.1	30.0	38.1	39.5	9.5	31.4

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

Persons, 25 years old and over	279	862	1 195	547	163	484	463	1 348	161	451	125	514	157	193	654
High school years completed	-	54	102	30	12	37	22	58	-	48	20	49	20	11	50
Elementary 1 to 4 years	22	136	209	41	20	54	42	150	11	141	33	109	18	32	137
5 to 7 years	71	151	223	122	30	85	108	196	24	70	33	96	58	46	149
8 years	17	144	96	51	4	47	33	86	10	44	11	58	17	39	42
High school 1 to 3 years	33	161	320	180	38	134	137	402	45	104	22	93	22	42	158
4 years	60	172	184	89	45	94	83	317	49	31	-	98	12	19	106
College 1 to 3 years	26	21	52	30	10	33	28	94	22	13	6	11	10	6	-
4 years or more	50	23	9	4	4	-	10	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Median school years completed	11.7	8.6	8.7	9.5	10.2	9.4	9.6	10.4	11.4	6.2	6.6	8.1	7.0	8.2	7.6
Percent high school graduates	48.7	25.1	20.5	22.5	36.2	26.2	26.1	33.8	44.1	9.8	4.8	21.2	14.0	12.8	18.0

## RESIDENCE IN 1965

Persons, 5 years old and over, 1970	607	1 796	2 501	1 642	228	1 086	905	3 066	389	1 040	342	1 302	375	400	1 352
Same house as in 1970	385	1 405	1 626	604	120	758	575	1 979	171	586	221	828	302	259	869
Diff. house	99	197	307	266	69	238	15	470	86	80	76	42	-	-	-
In central city of this SMSA	44	89	330	542	32	63	204	213	71	246	17	339	32	124	393
In other part of this SMSA	43	96	145	5	7	13	35	268	35	55	14	51	-	9	32
Outside this SMSA	11	32	14	-	-	-	7	27	-	28	-	21	-	-	-
North and West	32	64	131	5	7	13	28	241	35	27	14	30	-	9	32
South	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	16	3	-	9	-	-	-
Abroad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Includes "Moved 1965 residents not reported"

## Balance of Escambia County

Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040
279	862	1 195	547	163	484	463	1 348	161	451	125	514	157	193	654
-	54	102	30	12	37	22	58	-	48	20	49	20	11	50
22	136	209	41	20	54	42	150	11	141	33	109	18	32	137
71	151	223	122	30	85	108	196	24	70	33	96	58	46	149
17	144	96	51	4	47	33	86	10	44	11	58	17	39	42
33	161	320	180	38	134	137	402	45	104	22	93	22	42	158
60	172	184	89	45	94	83	317	49	31	-	98	12	19	106
26	21	52	30	10	33	28	94	22	13	6	11	10	6	-
50	23	9	4	4	-	10	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
11.7	8.6	8.7	9.5	10.2	9.4	9.6	10.4	11.4	6.2	6.6	8.1	7.0	8.2	7.6
48.7	25.1	20.5	22.5	36.2	26.2	26.1	33.8	44.1	9.8	4.8	21.2	14.0	12.8	18.0
607	1 796	2 501	1 642	228	1 086	905	3 066	389	1 040	342	1 302	375	400	1 352
385	1 405	1 626	604	120	758	575	1 979	171	586	221	828	302	259	869
99	197	307	266	69	238	15	470	86	80	76	42	-	-	-
44	89	330	542	32	63	204	213	71	246	17	339	32	124	393
43	96	145	5	7	13	35	268	35	55	14	51	-	9	32
11	32	14	-	-	-	7	27	-	28	-	21	-	-	-
32	64	131	5	7	13	28	241	35	27	14	30	-	9	32
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	16	3	-	9	-	-	-



Table P-5. General and Social Characteristics of the Negro Population: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Negro  
Population

## AGE BY SEX

	Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0035
<b>Male, all ages</b> .....	343	2 474	671	1 500	1 373	190	584
Under 5 years .....	20	270	142	118	133	28	72
3 and 4 years .....	12	124	40	39	44	13	44
5 to 9 years .....	88	401	128	157	172	21	90
5 years .....	17	60	18	28	34	7	17
6 years .....	7	79	25	38	26	5	22
10 to 14 years .....	92	339	101	230	264	18	62
14 years .....	16	65	35	56	47	-	16
15 to 19 years .....	13	330	82	150	139	30	98
15 years .....	-	65	23	25	21	5	36
16 years .....	2	81	29	36	48	5	44
17 years .....	8	77	-	18	29	5	11
18 years .....	-	67	22	48	16	4	-
19 years .....	3	40	8	23	25	11	7
20 to 24 years .....	13	128	37	91	84	21	54
20 years .....	2	40	10	37	19	-	22
21 years .....	-	20	-	13	17	5	19
25 to 34 years .....	47	271	38	142	134	5	50
35 to 44 years .....	41	169	69	173	136	25	32
45 to 54 years .....	26	263	31	164	107	26	41
55 to 59 years .....	-	116	14	94	55	-	23
60 to 64 years .....	-	47	18	80	69	6	28
65 to 74 years .....	13	88	7	76	61	-	27
75 years and over .....	10	52	4	25	19	10	7
<b>Female, all ages</b> .....	544	2 994	828	1 898	1 492	227	584
Under 5 years .....	46	269	85	183	144	14	50
3 and 4 years .....	25	79	29	44	65	-	11
5 to 9 years .....	106	389	148	207	210	50	64
5 years .....	25	63	21	34	49	13	5
6 years .....	15	81	42	41	39	11	13
10 to 14 years .....	60	305	98	319	231	48	90
14 years .....	18	32	23	70	72	14	18
15 to 19 years .....	79	317	98	223	200	10	73
15 years .....	38	75	20	56	50	-	15
16 years .....	24	46	28	62	51	5	4

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

	Santa Rosa County		Totals for split tracts in Escambia County				
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0035
17 years .....	10	99	26	32	38	5	21
18 years .....	4	33	16	39	32	-	23
19 years .....	3	64	8	34	29	-	8
20 to 24 years .....	27	256	64	86	49	14	30
25 years .....	4	72	24	11	21	5	12
26 years .....	5	60	15	22	15	-	6
25 to 34 years .....	82	372	93	166	129	27	50
35 to 44 years .....	61	277	115	223	209	23	59
45 to 54 years .....	43	307	65	180	134	25	76
55 to 59 years .....	18	135	13	118	50	-	13
60 to 64 years .....	13	123	21	72	70	11	-
65 to 74 years .....	26	193	7	95	47	5	35
75 years and over .....	3	51	21	26	19	-	10
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD</b>							
All persons .....	927	5 468	1 499	3 398	2 865	417	1 134
In households .....	927	5 456	1 499	3 398	2 784	417	1 088
Head of household .....	283	1 557	347	910	711	117	274
Head of family .....	219	1 255	315	702	582	95	236
Primary individual .....	64	302	32	208	129	22	38
Wife of head .....	80	706	169	518	359	61	175
Other relative of head .....	530	3 092	969	1 898	1 675	239	637
Not related to head .....	34	101	14	72	39	-	2
In group quarters .....	-	12	-	-	81	-	46
Persons per household .....	3 28	3 50	4 32	3 73	3 92	3 56	3 97
<b>TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD</b>							
All households .....	283	1 557	347	910	711	117	274
Male primary individual .....	14	103	22	85	71	5	17
Female primary individual .....	50	199	10	123	58	17	21
Husband-wife households .....	100	696	159	506	375	65	174
Households with other male head .....	14	83	5	35	54	12	14
Households with female head .....	105	476	151	161	153	18	48
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>							
Persons, 16 to 21 years old .....	65	699	186	375	340	45	179
Not attending school .....	12	400	92	171	150	19	85
Not high school graduates .....	-	226	42	103	94	14	36
Percent of total .....	-	32.3	22.6	27.5	27.6	31.1	20.1



# Table P-6 Economic Characteristics of the Negro Population: 1970

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

## ensus Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

### EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND OCCUPATION

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pensacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
<b>Male, 16 years old and over</b>	<b>11 199</b>	<b>10 733</b>	<b>5 227</b>	<b>5 506</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>1 346</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>1 408</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>439</b>
in labor force	7 779	7 398	3 511	3 887	381	345	98	889	132	898	289	271	103	65	336
civilian labor force	7 088	6 792	3 445	3 347	296	345	93	881	132	893	284	249	103	62	318
Employed	6 526	6 244	3 165	3 079	282	322	88	812	132	838	272	210	96	53	272
Unemployed	562	548	280	268	14	23	5	69	-	55	12	39	7	9	46
in labor force	3 420	3 335	1 716	1 619	85	189	53	457	82	510	113	105	40	17	123
<b>Female, 16 years old and over</b>	<b>13 737</b>	<b>13 078</b>	<b>7 053</b>	<b>6 025</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>1 911</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>1 795</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>506</b>
in labor force	6 363	6 054	3 492	2 562	309	369	96	1 004	162	816	324	213	88	129	218
civilian labor force	6 332	6 023	3 481	2 542	309	369	96	1 004	162	810	324	213	88	129	213
Employed	5 653	5 376	3 102	2 274	277	332	81	886	159	754	282	199	82	83	200
Unemployed	679	647	379	268	32	37	15	118	3	56	42	14	6	46	13
in labor force	7 374	7 024	3 561	3 463	350	344	112	907	136	979	243	264	93	135	288
married women in labor force, husband present	2 812	2 717	1 321	1 396	95	190	42	366	52	275	96	100	26	41	95
With own children under 6 years	856	826	362	464	30	27	17	139	22	68	20	35	-	15	16
<b>Total employed, 16 years old and over</b>	<b>12 179</b>	<b>11 620</b>	<b>6 267</b>	<b>5 353</b>	<b>559</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>1 698</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>1 592</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>472</b>
Professional, technical, and kindred workers	805	750	423	327	55	49	8	71	58	49	31	88	12	10	32
Managers and administrators, except farm	147	147	90	57	-	9	6	30	4	16	-	8	5	-	5
Sales workers	240	240	113	127	-	18	-	12	18	40	6	9	5	-	5
Craft and kindred workers	720	707	389	318	13	42	5	138	18	70	32	33	12	4	31
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	1 289	1 231	655	576	58	70	31	192	30	178	41	33	32	9	39
Craftsmen, except transport	1 464	1 386	680	706	78	74	15	187	19	213	48	26	4	22	72
Transport equipment operatives	779	744	407	337	35	59	6	114	14	85	64	9	10	-	28
Laborers, except farm	1 615	1 543	753	790	72	66	11	157	12	187	125	47	34	9	71
Farm workers	130	121	80	41	9	6	-	68	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household	2 953	2 865	1 546	1 319	88	132	61	389	70	444	95	115	30	54	133
Private household workers	2 037	1 886	1 131	755	151	129	26	340	48	304	112	41	34	28	56
<b>Female employed, 16 years old and over</b>	<b>5 653</b>	<b>5 376</b>	<b>3 102</b>	<b>2 274</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>200</b>
Professional, technical, and kindred workers	607	575	345	230	32	35	8	63	41	39	31	69	12	5	27
Managers and administrators, except farm	41	41	22	19	-	6	-	4	-	12	-	-	-	-	-
Sales workers	144	144	61	83	-	11	-	9	6	21	-	9	5	-	-
Craft and kindred workers	415	410	224	186	5	31	5	75	13	48	19	11	6	-	16
Craftsmen, including transport	465	458	288	170	7	56	4	97	11	45	32	4	-	14	25
Craftsmen, except blue-collar workers	122	122	81	41	-	-	-	32	-	22	10	-	-	-	11
Farm workers	12	12	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household	1 860	1 775	957	818	85	68	32	270	45	257	78	65	25	36	65
Private household workers	1 987	1 839	1 118	721	148	125	26	336	43	304	112	41	34	28	56

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## FAMILY INCOME IN 1969

All families	8 915	8 506	4 386	4 120	409	410	134	1 230	188	1 074	385	309	115	170
Less than \$1,000	764	730	385	345	34	40	39	106	-	112	16	12	6	16
\$1,000 to \$1,999	1 119	1 057	561	496	62	56	9	191	16	122	54	44	-	47
\$2,000 to \$2,999	965	918	506	412	47	45	11	142	9	133	47	20	32	35
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1 026	953	484	469	73	48	12	132	13	175	69	21	10	4
\$4,000 to \$4,999	961	923	491	432	38	48	13	142	13	115	39	32	7	19
\$5,000 to \$5,999	827	781	409	372	46	61	19	129	14	82	22	27	5	8
\$6,000 to \$6,999	861	826	356	470	35	15	8	144	12	69	40	19	-	9
\$7,000 to \$7,999	515	502	265	237	13	20	5	51	18	58	24	31	3	4
\$8,000 to \$8,999	575	557	288	269	18	5	6	77	26	75	32	15	21	16
\$9,000 to \$9,999	370	358	180	178	12	26	-	29	20	62	12	5	18	3
\$10,000 or more	932	901	461	440	31	46	12	87	47	71	30	83	13	9
Median income - Families	\$4 607	\$4 645	\$4 523	\$4 782	\$3 842	\$4 333	\$3 667	\$4 310	\$7 944	\$3 971	\$4 167	\$5 944	\$5 500	\$2 629
Median income - Families and unrelated individuals	\$3 569	\$3 622	\$3 506	\$3 755	\$2 804	\$3 086	\$2 795	\$3 578	\$5 464	\$3 074	\$3 482	\$5 236	\$4 550	\$2 714

## RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

Percent of families with incomes														
Less than .50 of poverty level .....	17.7	17.5	17.9	17.1	21.3	22.0	35.8	20.2	4.8	16.3	12.7	12.0	9.6	33.5
.50 to .74 .....	11.4	11.1	10.5	11.8	17.4	8.3	5.2	10.2	6.4	12.3	16.4	3.2	26.1	13.5
.75 to .99 .....	12.3	12.3	11.4	13.2	12.5	11.2	6.0	11.3	4.8	12.8	17.9	13.6	2.6	12.9
1.00 to 1.24 .....	10.6	10.7	9.8	11.6	9.5	4.9	16.4	12.0	3.2	12.8	4.7	7.4	6.1	9.4
1.25 to 1.49 .....	10.3	10.2	10.1	10.2	13.7	14.4	3.7	10.5	6.9	9.2	8.8	12.6	7.8	11.8
1.50 to 1.99 .....	14.1	14.3	14.6	13.9	10.8	14.9	11.2	17.4	21.8	13.8	14.5	15.5	10.4	1.8
2.00 or more .....	23.6	24.0	25.7	22.2	14.9	24.4	21.6	18.5	52.1	22.8	24.9	35.6	37.4	17.1

## INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

<b>Families</b> .....	3 684	3 475	1 743	1 732	209	170	63	513	30	444	181	89	44	102
Percent of all families .....	41.3	40.9	39.7	42.0	51.1	41.5	47.0	41.7	16.0	41.3	47.0	28.8	38.3	60.0
Mean family income .....	\$2 203	\$2 187	\$2 069	\$2 306	\$2 460	\$1 940	\$1 447	\$1 970	\$2 062	\$2 125	\$2 598	\$2 199	\$2 385	\$1 853
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 892	\$1 877	\$1 868	\$1 885	\$2 142	\$1 936	\$2 556	\$1 989	\$1 745	\$1 702	\$1 747	\$1 377	\$1 637	\$2 022
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	34.9	34.7	35.9	33.6	36.8	44.1	28.6	33.9	43.3	36.7	41.4	20.2	25.0	59.8
Mean size of family .....	4.75	4.70	4.48	4.94	5.58	4.52	4.48	4.39	4.57	4.31	5.35	4.00	4.50	4.36
With related children under 18 years .....	2 984	2 797	1 399	1 398	187	115	57	421	21	350	166	55	34	96
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	3.47	3.41	3.20	3.61	4.44	3.63	3.25	3.02	...	3.07	3.87	2.65	3.12	3.09
With related children under 6 years .....	1 612	1 511	720	791	101	76	28	177	10	168	99	41	17	71
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.79	1.79	1.64	1.92	1.88	1.43	1.25	1.47	...	1.48	2.16	1.59	...	1.59
Families with female head .....	1 946	1 816	1 033	783	130	101	37	325	26	257	83	44	35	88
With related children under 18 years .....	1 684	1 557	891	666	127	77	37	297	21	209	83	27	25	82
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	3.17	3.09	2.93	3.30	4.17	3.42	2.49	2.93	...	2.81	2.88	2.11	3.16	3.09
With related children under 6 years .....	812	760	418	342	52	46	13	117	10	96	36	23	11	66
Percent in labor force .....	53.3	51.4	59.8	41.2	80.8	19.6	...	73.5	...	51.0	58.3	...	...	77.3
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.65	1.66	1.54	1.81	1.56	1.20	...	1.53	...	1.51	1.67	...	...	1.64



**Census Tracts With  
100 or More Negro  
Population**

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
Family heads .....	3 684	3 475	1 743	1 732	209	170	63	513	30	444	181	89	44	102	99
Percent 65 years and over .....	14.4	14.9	16.5	13.3	5.7	28.2	11.1	18.5	30.0	15.3	7.2	15.7	-	12.7	20.2
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	1 399	1 341	572	769	58	43	26	140	-	167	88	31	9	14	46
Percent in labor force .....	77.1	76.8	75.3	77.9	84.5	83.7	80.8	67.9	-	70.7	80.7	100.0	...	...	76.1
Unrelated individuals .....	1 821	1 723	1 070	653	98	146	30	220	40	386	83	32	8	-	106
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	63.6	64.0	61.0	69.8	56.6	69.2	52.6	60.3	49.4	64.0	69.7	38.1	...	-	67.1
Mean income .....	\$801	\$787	\$815	\$742	\$1 036	\$985	\$447	\$852	\$688	\$787	\$873	\$488	...	-	\$737
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 028	\$1 043	\$1 017	\$1 087	\$756	\$845	\$1 382	\$993	\$1 112	\$1 046	\$942	\$1 285	...	-	\$1 124
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	29.7	28.5	25.9	32.8	51.0	28.8	66.7	27.7	15.0	22.3	12.0	15.6	...	-	36.8
Percent 65 years and over .....	40.4	39.7	39.9	39.4	52.0	37.7	53.3	37.3	42.5	38.1	41.0	71.9	...	-	31.1
Persons .....	19 336	18 071	8 870	9 201	1 265	915	312	2 473	177	2 300	1 051	388	206	445	556
Percent of all persons .....	46.5	45.6	45.2	45.9	66.6	46.3	53.5	46.4	21.3	47.5	61.5	30.1	37.5	56.3	38.5
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	8.5	8.4	8.9	8.0	8.8	11.4	7.7	7.1	18.1	12.2	5.4	5.9	1.9	3.1	11.5
Percent 65 years and over .....	8.2	8.4	9.7	7.1	5.4	13.3	7.4	8.5	18.1	10.5	5.5	13.4	5.3	4.3	14.6
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	58.1	57.1	55.2	59.7	80.9	61.5	...	56.0	84.4	58.3	43.1	23.1	...	...	56.8
Related children under 18 years .....	10 250	9 620	4 506	5 114	630	475	168	1 219	86	1 150	554	134	111	324	275
Percent living with both parents .....	39.5	40.1	36.0	43.8	29.4	22.7	54.8	28.3	-	34.6	54.2	67.2	35.1	22.8	60.0
Households .....	4 739	4 477	2 381	2 096	262	255	82	621	58	726	226	106	38	93	164
Percent of all households .....	46.0	45.8	44.5	47.3	50.9	46.3	55.4	44.1	28.0	49.0	51.2	30.5	32.8	55.7	40.2
Owner occupied .....	2 362	2 209	1 073	1 136	153	125	42	255	30	348	62	61	27	16	99
Mean value of unit .....	\$8 000	\$8 100	\$8 500	\$7 700	\$6 600	\$6 700	\$5 700	\$9 500	\$7 000	\$6 900	\$5 500	\$18 800	\$9 300	...	\$11 300
Renter occupied .....	2 377	2 268	1 308	960	109	130	40	366	28	378	164	45	11	77	65
Mean gross rent .....	\$55	\$55	\$58	\$51	\$46	\$62	\$39	\$59	\$73	\$57	\$60	\$58	...	\$56	\$52
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	41.3	40.6	30.2	52.4	54.2	37.3	45.1	20.8	51.7	41.3	22.1	14.2	15.8	-	31.7

\*Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories and unrelated individuals under 14 years.

Table P-6. Economic Characteristics of the Negro Population: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Negro  
Population

	Balance of Escambia County													
	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND OCCUPATION</b>														
Male, 16 years old and over .....	195	511	754	348	118	315	281	839	97	324	96	346	110	130
Labor force .....	140	335	523	267	93	227	209	603	85	224	70	216	52	106
Civilian labor force .....	122	331	523	251	84	227	198	579	85	172	70	212	52	106
Employed .....	115	293	491	226	75	198	193	554	73	148	70	181	52	83
Unemployed .....	7	38	32	25	9	29	5	25	12	24	—	31	—	23
Not in labor force .....	55	176	231	81	25	88	72	236	12	100	26	150	58	24
Female, 16 years old and over .....	213	627	830	496	115	377	317	934	119	331	109	415	117	163
Labor force .....	97	276	376	232	62	158	106	444	80	125	22	159	26	84
Civilian labor force .....	97	276	376	232	62	158	106	444	80	125	22	159	26	84
Employed .....	73	235	358	204	52	148	88	413	59	121	22	141	26	62
Unemployed .....	24	41	18	28	10	10	18	31	21	4	—	18	—	22
Not in labor force .....	116	351	454	264	53	219	211	492	39	206	87	256	91	79
Married women in labor force, husband present .....	61	139	213	103	37	88	52	303	46	80	8	78	20	30
With own children under 6 years .....	28	54	72	46	9	34	11	78	12	10	4	46	14	13
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	188	528	849	430	127	346	281	967	132	269	92	322	78	145
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	46	30	31	4	9	25	20	79	10	7	—	5	—	4
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	—	6	9	—	—	5	6	—	—	16	—	5	—	—
Sales workers .....	—	14	—	13	7	10	3	34	6	1	—	15	—	—
Clerical and kindred workers .....	16	35	42	48	11	10	26	57	6	18	—	20	—	13
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers .....	12	80	109	37	6	38	53	117	9	17	—	15	6	20
Operatives, except transport .....	16	42	126	51	14	45	16	109	25	45	18	48	17	35
Transport equipment operatives .....	7	25	37	17	4	12	4	87	13	33	21	14	6	—
Laborers, except farm .....	23	64	132	91	27	45	52	130	8	21	22	64	18	22
Farm workers .....	—	—	—	—	—	5	5	—	—	—	11	—	12	8
Service workers, except private household .....	54	138	205	103	37	120	71	246	32	48	15	101	7	26
Private household workers .....	14	94	158	66	12	31	25	108	23	63	5	35	12	17
Female employed, 16 years old and over .....	73	235	358	204	52	148	88	413	59	121	22	141	26	62
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	27	30	9	4	4	19	16	66	—	—	—	5	—	4
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	—	—	4	—	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sales workers .....	—	14	—	8	4	4	—	24	—	1	—	15	—	—
Clerical and kindred workers .....	16	18	6	48	—	6	5	35	6	13	—	9	—	13
Operatives, including transport .....	—	14	56	10	5	5	16	16	4	11	4	—	7	9
Other blue-collar workers .....	—	—	11	5	6	—	—	4	—	—	—	—	7	—
Farm workers .....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	—	—	—
Service workers, except private household .....	24	70	119	63	21	78	26	168	26	33	7	77	—	19
Private household workers .....	9	89	153	66	12	31	25	100	23	63	5	35	12	17

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## Balance of Escambia County

### FAMILY INCOME IN 1969

	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040
All families	145	398	566	386	95	246	202	673	90	236	46	253	91	96	331
Less than \$1 000	5	23	26	43	4	12	8	81	5	15	5	56	26	-	21
\$1 000 to \$1 999	13	25	65	71	11	49	10	25	6	48	-	18	13	14	105
\$2 000 to \$2 999	18	41	43	54	4	32	31	36	4	26	-	21	12	13	50
\$3 000 to \$3 999	14	71	78	76	11	6	42	45	14	11	4	35	10	-	33
\$4 000 to \$4 999	10	45	56	43	20	8	12	61	11	23	5	28	13	-	54
\$5 000 to \$5 999	7	47	80	24	-	30	5	80	4	27	-	24	5	14	6
\$6 000 to \$6 999	14	30	80	24	17	35	26	90	19	26	9	27	-	10	7
\$7 000 to \$7 999	-	16	47	15	-	12	18	63	5	27	-	20	-	-	8
\$8 000 to \$8 999	18	49	32	4	4	10	12	78	12	5	-	3	-	18	13
\$9 000 to \$9 999	-	20	24	12	5	4	10	32	10	6	-	4	-	18	15
\$10 000 or more	46	31	35	20	19	48	28	82	-	22	23	17	12	9	19
Median income Families	\$6 393	\$4 867	\$5 188	\$3 329	\$4 875	\$5 533	\$4 833	\$6 094	\$6 053	\$4 783	\$7 000	\$3 900	\$2 542	\$6 700	\$2 790
Families and unrelated individuals	\$5 429	\$3 816	\$4 174	\$3 204	\$4 575	\$5 367	\$3 500	\$5 570	\$5 800	\$2 625	\$6 500	\$2 976	\$2 208	\$5 429	\$1 947

### RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL

Percent of families with incomes															
Less than 50 of poverty level	12.4	7.3	13.4	27.7	4.2	17.1	5.9	16.2	16.7	15.3	10.9	36.4	48.4	14.6	22.7
50 to 74	3.4	18.8	11.7	18.1	12.6	16.7	15.3	7.0	-	17.4	-	5.9	6.6	7.3	18.1
75 to 99	13.1	13.6	8.7	18.1	13.7	4.5	16.8	6.1	12.2	13.6	30.4	17.4	7.7	11.5	25.4
1 00 to 1 24	6.9	10.1	14.7	11.7	15.8	14.2	9.4	14.4	22.2	11.4	-	6.7	-	-	10.0
1 25 to 1 49	19.3	11.6	16.8	8.0	9.5	11.4	8.9	12.5	13.3	9.3	-	7.5	7.7	-	3.0
1 50 to 1 99	16.6	17.3	14.7	5.4	18.9	12.2	19.3	14.1	23.3	11.9	21.7	10.7	18.7	27.1	9.1
2 00 or more	28.3	21.4	20.1	10.9	25.3	24.0	24.3	29.7	12.2	21.2	37.0	15.4	11.0	39.6	11.8

### INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL

Families	42	158	191	247	29	94	77	197	26	109	19	151	57	32	219
Percent of all families	29.0	39.7	33.7	64.0	30.5	38.2	38.1	29.3	28.9	46.2	41.3	59.7	62.6	33.3	66.2
Mean family income	\$2 489	\$2 846	\$2 553	\$2 350	\$2 445	\$1 988	\$2 707	\$1 925	\$2 525	\$2 124	...	\$2 099	\$1 446	\$2 325	\$2 109
Mean income deficit	\$1 699	\$1 413	\$1 855	\$1 855	\$1 350	\$1 798	\$1 504	\$2 519	\$2 552	\$1 504	...	\$2 757	\$2 951	\$2 276	\$1 537
Percent receiving public assistance income	40.5	22.8	33.5	34.0	17.2	16.0	27.3	20.3	-	47.7	...	33.8	38.6	62.5	63.9
Mean size of family	4.55	5.11	5.48	5.00	5.38	4.23	5.38	5.29	5.92	3.90	...	5.76	5.40	5.53	4.03
With related children under 18 years	37	127	152	217	14	58	61	181	26	76	19	139	50	32	152
Mean number of related children under 18 years	2.62	3.54	4.50	3.50	...	2.53	4.39	3.71	4.15	2.96	...	4.12	4.20	3.16	3.07
With related children under 6 years	20	63	98	162	7	24	29	83	10	28	9	112	38	19	77
Mean number of related children under 6 years	...	1.57	2.31	1.53	...	...	1.79	2.05	...	1.54	...	1.96	2.08	...	2.08
Families with female head	19	60	86	116	10	52	24	90	15	38	5	72	33	13	121
With related children under 18 years	19	46	67	112	-	28	24	84	15	38	5	65	26	13	100
Mean number of related children under 18 years	...	2.91	4.01	3.10	-	2.14	...	3.76	...	3.37	...	4.32	3.00	...	3.19
With related children under 6 years	8	15	32	81	-	4	11	47	4	16	-	51	14	-	59

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

Balance of Escambia County														
	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039
Percent in labor force .....	...	...	65.6	45.7	-	-	-	40.4	...	...	-	31.4	-	-
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	...	...	2.50	1.36	-	...	...	1.87	...	...	-	1.69	...	-
Family heads .....	42	158	191	247	29	94	77	197	26	109	19	151	57	33
Percent 65 years and over .....	9.5	17.1	5.2	4.9	17.2	5.3	23.4	18.3	-	21.1	-	6.0	12.3	-
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	12	74	95	119	14	37	36	88	11	48	14	79	24	19
Percent in labor force .....	...	59.5	70.5	85.7	...	78.4	88.9	84.1	...	79.2	...	87.3	...	...
Unrelated individuals .....	22	104	92	22	17	11	53	56	-	38	9	64	8	15
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	62.9	88.9	60.5	88.0	...	...	65.4	39.2	-	95.0	...	100.0	...	39.5
Mean income .....	...	\$706	\$688	...	...	...	\$945	\$513	-	\$662	...	\$793	...	...
Mean income deficit .....	...	\$1 164	\$1 143	...	...	...	\$858	\$1 359	-	\$1 176	...	\$1 005	...	...
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	-	7.7	17.4	...	...	...	20.8	35.7	-	86.8	...	39.1	...	...
Percent 65 years and over .....	...	14.4	39.1	...	...	...	54.7	19.6	-	44.7	...	60.9	...	...
Persons .....	213	911	1 138	1 258	173	409	467	1 099	154	463	105	934	316	192
Percent of all persons .....	30.3	46.8	42.0	68.0	41.5	35.4	49.0	32.1	31.0	42.6	34.3	61.7	69.0	43.0
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	19.2	11.3	6.3	3.5	12.1	11.2	9.9	6.0	-	6.9	3.8	3.9	5.1	-
Percent 65 years and over .....	3.8	8.0	4.0	1.7	8.7	6.1	11.3	6.1	-	13.6	4.8	5.1	4.7	-
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	...	65.8	56.5	...	...	40.0	64.2	55.2	-	27.0	-	39.6	...	...
Related children under 18 years .....	111	468	601	772	62	153	267	682	107	244	50	559	184	88
Percent living with both parents .....	6.3	48.1	43.9	44.0	69.4	58.2	53.2	45.7	42.1	41.4	90.0	50.6	63.6	25.0
Households .....	55	233	248	248	44	80	105	207	17	124	23	234	63	41
Percent of all households .....	34.4	49.5	38.9	65.8	39.3	36.4	46.7	30.0	21.0	49.8	57.5	66.9	54.4	40.6
Owner occupied .....	36	121	124	75	13	62	40	119	17	97	18	142	44	22
Mean value of unit .....	\$6 900	\$7 300	\$10 500	\$7 500	...	\$9 000	\$6 700	\$8 400	...	\$7 100	...	\$5 900	\$6 000	...
Renter occupied .....	19	112	124	173	33	18	65	88	-	29	5	64	21	19
Mean gross rent .....	...	\$61	\$55	\$51	\$61	...	\$56	\$49	-	\$68	...	\$41	...	...
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	67.3	53.6	54.8	25.0	45.7	42.5	33.3	48.3	-	54.8	...	68.0	55.4	100.0

Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 14 years.



Table P-6 Economic Characteristics of the Negro Population: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Negro  
Population

	Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0035
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND OCCUPATION</b>							
Male, 16 years old and over .....	163	1 399	277	970	783	118	324
Labor force .....	144	922	205	671	552	93	224
Civilian labor force .....	132	914	184	649	552	84	172
Employed .....	128	845	168	565	520	75	148
Unemployed .....	4	69	16	84	32	9	24
Not in labor force .....	19	477	72	299	231	25	100
Female, 16 years old and over .....	314	1 954	477	1 133	837	113	331
Labor force .....	164	1 028	226	494	393	62	125
Civilian labor force .....	164	1 028	226	489	393	62	125
Employed .....	152	904	156	435	364	52	121
Unemployed .....	12	124	70	54	29	10	4
Not in labor force .....	150	928	251	639	464	53	206
Married women in labor force, husband present .....	47	372	102	234	218	37	80
With own children under 6 years .....	25	139	43	70	72	9	10
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	280	1 749	324	1 000	884	127	249
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	39	71	56	62	31	9	7
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	-	30	-	11	9	-	16
Sales workers .....	-	12	-	19	-	7	1
Clerical and kindred workers .....	13	138	20	66	42	11	18
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers .....	27	192	21	119	109	6	17
Operatives, except transport .....	37	200	38	114	126	14	45
Transport equipment operatives .....	9	122	7	53	50	4	33
Laborers, except farm .....	28	173	32	135	148	27	21
Farm workers .....	-	68	-	-	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household .....	56	403	108	271	205	37	48
Private household workers .....	71	340	42	150	164	12	63
Female employed, 16 years old and over .....	152	904	156	435	364	52	121
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	16	63	32	57	9	4	-
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	-	4	-	-	4	-	-
Sales workers .....	-	9	-	14	-	4	1
Clerical and kindred workers .....	5	75	16	34	6	-	13
Operatives, including transport .....	7	101	14	39	56	5	11
Other blue-collar workers .....	-	32	-	11	11	6	-
Farm workers .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household .....	53	284	57	135	119	21	33
Private household workers .....	71	336	37	145	159	12	63

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## FAMILY INCOME IN 1969

	Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019
All families .....	219	1 255	315	782	582	95	21
Less than \$1,000 .....	34	111	21	57	26	4	1
\$1,000 to \$1,999 .....	21	191	60	47	65	11	1
\$2,000 to \$2,999 .....	25	142	53	69	47	4	1
\$3,000 to \$3,999 .....	24	136	18	71	78	11	1
\$4,000 to \$4,999 .....	25	152	29	108	56	20	1
\$5,000 to \$5,999 .....	23	129	15	64	86	-	1
\$6,000 to \$6,999 .....	18	150	23	65	80	17	1
\$7,000 to \$7,999 .....	8	51	4	53	53	-	1
\$8,000 to \$8,999 .....	14	77	34	64	32	4	1
\$9,000 to \$9,999 .....	12	29	3	25	24	5	1
\$10,000 or more .....	15	87	55	79	35	19	1
Median income: Families .....	\$4 220	\$4 313	\$4 190	\$4 991	\$5 221	\$4 875	\$4 711
Families and unrelated individuals .....	\$3 232	\$3 560	\$3 917	\$4 013	\$4 217	\$4 575	\$2 621

## RATIO OF FAMILY INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

Percent of families with incomes							
Less than 50 of poverty level .....	28.3	20.2	23.8	12.1	13.1	4.2	15.1
50 to 74 .....	15.1	10.4	8.9	14.0	11.3	12.6	17.1
75 to 99 .....	11.9	11.1	13.0	10.5	9.1	13.7	13.1
100 to 124 .....	5.9	12.5	8.3	10.1	14.3	15.8	11.1
125 to 149 .....	6.8	10.3	15.2	9.8	17.4	9.5	9.1
150 to 199 .....	10.0	17.1	8.6	15.4	14.3	18.9	11.1
200 or more .....	21.9	18.6	22.2	28.1	20.6	25.3	21.1

## INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL<sup>1</sup>

Families .....	121	522	144	257	195	29	10
Percent of all families .....	55.3	41.6	45.7	36.6	33.5	30.5	46.1
Mean family income .....	\$2 298	\$1 968	\$2 039	\$2 521	\$2 543	\$2 445	\$2 111
Mean income deficit .....	\$2 503	\$1 992	\$1 927	\$1 663	\$1 826	\$1 350	\$1 541
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	46.3	33.3	54.2	20.6	32.8	17.2	47.1
Mean size of family .....	5.92	4.40	4.42	4.89	5.41	5.38	3.1
With related children under 18 years .....	114	425	133	207	152	14	2.1
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	4.80	3.03	2.96	3.37	4.50	...	...
With related children under 6 years .....	60	177	91	92	98	7	...
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.83	1.47	1.59	1.82	2.31	...	...
Families with female head .....	92	325	107	97	86	10	...
With related children under 18 years .....	89	297	101	79	67	...	...
Mean number of related children under 18 years .....	4.74	2.93	3.15	2.85	4.01	...	...
With related children under 6 years .....	46	117	74	15	32	...	...
Percent in labor force .....	78.3	73.5	73.0	...	65.6	...	...
Mean number of related children under 6 years .....	1.63	1.53	1.64	...	2.50	...	...

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

	Santa Rosa County		Totals for split tracts in Escambia County				
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0025
Family heads .....	121	322	744	257	195	29	189
Percent 65 years and over .....	5.8	19.9	11.8	18.3	5.1	17.2	21.1
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	25	140	26	120	99	14	48
Percent in labor force .....	100.0	67.9	65.4	65.8	71.7	...	79.2
Unrelated individuals .....	46	241	22	218	92	17	38
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	50.0	60.4	53.7	76.4	55.8	...	95.0
Mean income .....	\$964	\$835	...	\$722	\$688	...	\$662
Mean income deficit .....	\$855	\$1 009	...	\$1 144	\$1 143	...	\$1 176
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	26.1	25.3	-	22.4	17.4	...	86.8
Percent 65 years and over .....	13.0	35.7	...	22.9	39.1	...	44.7
Persons .....	762	2 536	658	1 447	1 146	173	463
Percent of all persons .....	82.7	46.5	44.0	43.2	41.2	41.5	42.6
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	5.4	7.6	8.4	11.4	6.3	12.1	6.9
Percent 65 years and over .....	2.4	9.1	4.1	10.5	4.0	8.7	13.6
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	...	58.4	66.7	61.0	56.5	...	27.0
Related children under 18 years .....	368	1 240	435	743	601	62	244
Percent living with both parents .....	18.5	27.8	18.6	52.5	43.9	69.4	41.4
Households .....	128	643	148	397	252	46	126
Percent of all households .....	51.2	44.3	45.3	45.2	37.8	39.3	49.8
Owner occupied .....	75	255	52	220	128	13	97
Mean value of unit .....	\$6 700	\$9 500	\$7 200	\$9 100	\$10 200	...	\$7 100
Renter occupied .....	53	388	96	177	124	33	29
Mean gross rent .....	\$40	\$59	\$58	\$58	\$55	\$61	\$68
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	63.3	22.9	25.0	44.6	55.6	45.7	54.8

\*Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 14 years.

Table P-7. General and Social Characteristics of Persons of Spanish Language: 1970

(Data based on sample; see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Persons  
of Spanish Language

## AGE BY SEX

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Panama City	Balance	
<b>Male, all ages</b> .....	1 822	1 649	544	1 123	
Under 5 years .....	213	199	80	119	
3 and 4 years .....	92	78	20	58	
5 to 9 years .....	149	149	40	109	
5 years .....	47	47	26	21	
6 years .....	12	12	7	5	
10 to 14 years .....	287	271	100	171	
14 years .....	68	68	57	11	
15 to 19 years .....	236	204	61	143	
15 years .....	57	47	19	28	
16 years .....	38	30	15	15	
17 years .....	48	42	11	31	
18 years .....	71	63	16	47	
19 years .....	22	22	-	22	
20 to 24 years .....	303	256	45	211	
20 years .....	98	90	23	67	
21 years .....	6	6	-	6	
25 to 34 years .....	215	189	58	131	
35 to 44 years .....	199	188	57	131	
45 to 54 years .....	105	98	30	68	
55 to 59 years .....	35	35	20	15	
60 to 64 years .....	41	41	30	11	
65 to 74 years .....	25	25	25	-	
75 years and over .....	14	14	-	14	
<b>Female, all ages</b> .....	1 660	1 514	547	967	
Under 5 years .....	139	100	40	60	
3 and 4 years .....	56	31	18	13	
5 to 9 years .....	281	266	108	158	
5 years .....	81	72	22	50	
6 years .....	47	41	17	24	
10 to 14 years .....	195	183	50	133	
14 years .....	29	29	6	23	



**Census Tracts With  
400 or More Persons  
of Spanish Language**

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Paniscola	Balance	
15 to 19 years.....	228	207	48	159	21
15 years.....	34	34	-	34	-
16 years.....	31	31	7	24	-
17 years.....	50	50	16	34	-
18 years.....	45	38	7	31	7
19 years.....	68	54	18	36	14
20 to 24 years.....	164	144	40	104	20
20 years.....	16	10	6	4	6
21 years.....	47	47	20	27	-
25 to 34 years.....	218	198	86	112	20
35 to 44 years.....	210	200	60	140	10
45 to 54 years.....	100	91	32	59	9
55 to 59 years.....	62	62	37	25	-
60 to 64 years.....	5	5	-	5	-
65 to 74 year.....	17	17	17	-	-
75 years and over.....	41	41	29	12	-
<b>RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD</b>					
All persons.....	3 482	3 183	1 093	2 090	299
In households.....	3 291	3 016	1 084	1 932	275
Head of household.....	805	730	264	466	75
Head of family.....	749	674	233	441	75
Primary individual.....	56	56	31	25	-
Wife of head.....	676	603	196	407	73
Other relative of head.....	1 757	1 636	617	1 019	121
Not related to head.....	53	47	7	40	6
In group quarters.....	191	167	9	158	24
Persons per household.....	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.15	3.67
<b>TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD</b>					
All households.....	805	730	264	466	75
Male primary individual.....	39	39	14	25	-
Female primary individual.....	17	17	17	-	-
Husband-wife households.....	685	610	203	407	75
Households with other male head.....	6	6	6	-	-
Households with female head.....	56	58	24	34	-



Table P-8. Economic Characteristics of Persons of Spanish Language: 1970

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

**Census Tracts With  
400 or More Persons  
of Spanish Language**

Census Tracts With 400 or More Persons of Spanish Language	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Pensacola	Balance	
EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND OCCUPATION					
Male, 16 years old and over .....	1 116	1 003	307	696	113
Labor force .....	968	855	248	607	113
Civilian labor force .....	634	586	221	365	48
Employed .....	621	580	215	365	41
Unemployed .....	13	6	6	-	7
Not in labor force .....	148	148	59	89	-
Female, 16 years old and over .....	1 011	931	349	582	88
Labor force .....	324	311	120	191	13
Civilian labor force .....	315	302	120	182	13
Employed .....	294	281	107	174	13
Unemployed .....	21	21	13	8	-
Not in labor force .....	687	620	229	391	67
Married women in labor force, husband present .....	215	209	84	125	6
With own children under 6 years .....	29	23	6	17	6
Total employed, 16 years old and over .....	915	861	323	539	54
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	190	184	86	98	6
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	98	92	32	60	6
Sales workers .....	94	94	41	53	-
Clerical and kindred workers .....	151	138	54	84	13
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers .....	137	122	47	75	15
Operatives, except transport .....	55	55	5	50	-
Transport equipment operatives .....	33	25	9	16	8
Laborers, except farm .....	43	37	9	28	6
Farm workers .....	6	6	-	6	-
Service workers, except private household .....	108	108	39	69	-
Private household workers .....	-	-	-	-	-
Female employed, 16 years old and over .....	294	281	107	174	13
Professional, technical, and kindred workers .....	72	72	42	30	-
Managers and administrators, except farm .....	7	7	7	-	-
Sales workers .....	25	25	5	20	-
Clerical and kindred workers .....	81	68	25	43	13
Operatives, including transport .....	18	18	-	18	-
Other blue-collar workers .....	-	-	-	-	-
Farm workers .....	-	-	-	-	-
Service workers, except private household .....	91	91	28	63	-
Private household workers .....	-	-	-	-	-





**Census Tracts With  
400 or More Persons  
of Spanish Language**

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Pensacola	Balance	
Family heads .....	187	98	34	64	9
Percent 65 years and over .....	12.1	13.3	17.6	10.9	-
Civilian male heads under 65 years .....	45	45	8	37	-
Percent in labor force .....	86.7	86.7	...	83.8	-
Unrelated individuals .....	47	47	25	22	-
Percent of all unrelated individuals .....	44.3	44.3	53.2	37.3	-
Mean income .....	\$614	\$614	...	...	-
Mean income deficit .....	\$1 222	\$1 222	...	...	-
Percent receiving public assistance income .....	23.4	23.4	...	-	-
Percent 65 years and over .....	40.4	40.4	...	-	-
Persons .....	499	472	156	316	27
Percent of all persons .....	15.2	15.6	14.3	16.4	10.0
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	8.2	8.7	17.3	4.4	-
Percent 65 years and over .....	6.4	6.8	16.0	2.2	-
Percent receiving Social Security income .....	...	...	...	...	-
Related children under 18 years .....	341	325	138	187	16
Percent living with both parents .....	58.1	60.9	33.3	81.3	-
Households .....	126	117	48	69	9
Percent of all households .....	17.2	17.6	19.2	16.7	13.0
Owner occupied .....	62	62	27	35	-
Mean value of unit .....	\$8 200	\$8 200	...	\$8 400	-
Renter occupied .....	64	55	21	34	9
Mean gross rent .....	\$75	\$87	...	\$95	-
Percent lacking some or all plumbing facilities .....	17.5	18.8	22.9	15.9	-

<sup>1</sup>Excludes inmates of institutions, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, college students in dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 14 years.

Table H-1. Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970

[For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

Census Tracts	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
All housing units .....	77 292	65 141	21 063	44 078	12 151	119	1 689	1 760	1 676	941	1 872	1 169	2 411	1 377	2 326
Vacant - seasonal and migratory .....	120	76	6	70	44	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
All year-round housing units .....	77 172	65 065	21 057	44 008	12 107	119	1 689	1 759	1 676	941	1 871	1 169	2 411	1 377	2 325
TENURE, RACE, AND VACANCY STATUS															
Owner occupied .....	50 457	42 566	12 607	29 959	7 891	27	605	1 061	685	563	818	372	1 475	1 051	1 624
Cooperative and condominium .....	123	118	29	89	5	-	6	8	8	-	-	-	7	-	-
White .....	43 798	36 254	9 709	26 545	7 544	18	334	950	45	427	48	195	1 204	1 018	1 622
Negro .....	6 489	6 160	2 859	3 301	329	9	266	94	640	135	770	174	269	32	-
Renter occupied .....	20 776	17 821	6 701	11 120	2 955	79	858	533	866	229	831	653	732	250	576
White .....	16 006	13 264	3 876	9 388	2 742	64	521	464	34	128	62	382	629	248	575
Negro .....	4 490	4 314	2 790	1 524	176	14	331	59	832	101	769	265	96	1	-
Vacant year-round .....	5 939	4 678	1 749	2 929	1 261	13	226	165	125	149	222	144	204	76	135
For sale only .....	922	698	246	452	224	1	18	38	2	28	13	21	31	10	10
Vacant less than 6 months .....	628	472	143	329	156	-	5	27	-	13	5	11	10	4	9
Median price asked .....	\$11 500	\$11 700	\$12 100	\$11 600	\$10 600	-	\$10 600	\$7 700	-	\$12 500	\$7 500	\$6 700	\$13 500	\$20 000	\$23 800
For rent .....	2 864	2 419	1 002	1 417	445	11	167	76	76	86	144	78	105	24	72
Vacant less than 2 months .....	1 428	1 150	392	758	278	-	71	29	36	7	28	41	20	6	52
Median rent asked .....	\$66	\$64	\$61	\$67	\$79	\$45	\$57	\$75	\$36	\$70	\$41	\$44	\$76	\$97	\$170
Other .....	2 153	1 561	501	1 060	592	1	41	51	47	35	65	45	68	42	53
LACKING SOME OR ALL PLUMBING FACILITIES															
All units .....	5 716	4 617	1 698	2 919	1 099	12	312	52	278	68	610	160	42	3	3
Owner occupied .....	2 534	1 986	449	1 537	548	-	58	33	75	16	140	36	21	3	3
Negro .....	1 345	1 222	363	859	123	-	42	20	72	14	137	23	11	-	-
Renter occupied .....	2 255	1 955	984	971	300	10	206	15	160	46	349	92	20	-	1
Negro .....	1 618	1 517	823	694	101	-	132	11	159	42	326	55	14	-	-
Vacant year-round .....	927	676	265	411	251	2	48	4	35	6	121	32	1	-	1
For sale only .....	98	45	8	37	53	-	2	-	-	1	3	2	-	-	-
For rent .....	461	390	191	199	71	2	38	1	22	2	88	24	1	-	-

## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
COMPLETE KITCHEN FACILITIES AND ACCESS															
Lacking complete kitchen facilities.....	3 170	2 534	623	1 911	636	5	123	23	92	18	183	75	41	4	8
Access only through other living quarters.....	34	33	27	6	1	-	-	2	7	2	3	2	7	-	-
ROOMS															
1 room.....	683	592	359	233	91	20	107	8	38	15	95	40	8	7	5
2 rooms.....	2 029	1 731	813	918	298	22	175	43	89	63	154	68	80	18	27
3 rooms.....	7 483	6 473	2 717	3 756	1 010	29	428	183	389	114	487	246	304	73	178
4 rooms.....	15 879	13 227	3 430	9 797	2 652	17	292	425	440	131	340	287	350	114	346
5 rooms.....	21 352	17 863	4 736	13 127	3 489	12	289	487	339	165	309	274	601	279	374
6 rooms.....	17 551	14 794	4 668	10 126	2 757	5	224	412	228	170	276	148	598	403	510
7 rooms.....	7 819	6 678	2 556	4 122	1 141	3	89	131	101	142	127	67	283	280	425
8 rooms.....	2 863	2 423	1 085	1 338	440	3	45	54	35	57	55	25	108	117	284
9 rooms or more.....	1 513	1 284	693	591	229	8	40	16	17	84	28	14	79	86	186
Median.....	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.1	3.1	4.0	5.0	4.2	5.4	4.1	4.3	5.3	6.0	6.0
All occupied housing units.....															
	71 233	60 387	19 308	41 079	10 844	106	1 463	1 594	1 551	792	1 649	1 025	2 207	1 301	2 200
PERSONS															
1 person.....	9 380	8 235	3 650	4 585	1 145	58	487	299	288	184	444	264	599	273	246
2 persons.....	20 611	17 451	5 764	11 687	3 160	24	481	519	354	264	415	261	844	514	715
3 persons.....	13 675	11 554	3 400	8 154	2 121	11	183	323	248	130	240	170	331	233	384
4 persons.....	12 054	10 140	2 808	7 332	1 914	2	104	195	205	95	192	95	170	144	412
5 persons.....	7 514	6 221	1 679	4 542	1 293	2	70	118	148	60	119	79	121	68	238
6 persons or more.....	7 999	6 786	2 007	4 779	1 213	9	138	140	308	59	229	156	142	69	205
Median, all occupied units.....	2.9	2.9	2.6	3.0	3.0	1.4	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.9
Median, owner occupied units.....	3.1	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.2	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.3	3.3
Median, renter occupied units.....	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.7	1.3	1.9	2.4	3.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.0	2.2
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....	1 264	1 164	548	616	100	7	81	44	44	29	95	52	52	27	29
PERSONS PER ROOM															
1.00 or less.....	64 483	54 630	17 545	37 085	9 853	99	1 288	1 464	1 154	730	1 341	844	2 110	1 283	2 146
1.01 to 1.50.....	4 727	3 981	1 081	2 900	746	4	95	93	213	34	145	122	67	12	39
1.51 or more.....	2 023	1 776	682	1 094	247	3	80	37	184	28	163	59	30	6	15
Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more.....	5 517	4 706	1 424	3 282	811	7	118	120	330	43	189	159	87	18	54

## Census Tracts

## VALUE

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup>	43 162	37 417	11 838	25 579	5 745	18	496	989	636	522	740	324	1 349	1 027	1 588
Less than \$5,000	4 916	4 040	928	3 112	876	2	124	147	145	29	189	66	60	3	38
\$5,000 to \$7,499	5 437	4 641	1 263	3 378	796	5	135	208	205	30	191	100	139	36	53
\$7,500 to \$9,999	5 732	5 094	1 258	3 836	638	4	91	217	122	27	140	76	207	53	81
\$10,000 to \$14,999	11 960	10 659	2 762	7 897	1 301	4	99	270	104	125	159	53	535	282	167
\$15,000 to \$19,999	7 258	6 295	2 379	3 916	963	-	29	104	38	134	37	17	240	269	247
\$20,000 to \$24,999	3 529	3 039	1 451	1 588	490	-	10	23	10	77	20	8	100	153	326
\$25,000 to \$34,999	2 850	2 444	1 161	1 283	406	-	5	13	8	59	1	1	49	126	394
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1 054	856	444	412	198	1	3	6	3	27	3	1	17	60	214
\$50,000 or more	426	349	192	157	77	2	-	1	1	14	-	2	2	45	68
Median	\$12 100	\$12 100	\$14 500	\$11 400	\$12 000	\$8 800	\$7 300	\$9 100	\$7 100	\$16 700	\$7 400	\$7 400	\$12 400	\$17 200	\$23 200

## CONTRACT RENT

Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup>	20 125	17 396	6 592	10 804	2 729	79	853	529	809	225	825	639	728	258	575
Less than \$30	1 333	1 136	443	693	197	2	66	20	81	12	97	98	28	-	5
\$30 to \$39	1 397	1 287	778	509	110	12	110	19	169	23	234	97	41	3	3
\$40 to \$59	4 113	3 782	2 008	1 774	331	16	320	129	426	63	333	313	157	24	32
\$60 to \$79	3 728	3 359	1 143	2 216	369	14	196	157	86	53	91	92	206	54	70
\$80 to \$99	2 233	2 014	406	1 608	219	1	47	68	9	30	13	11	82	51	26
\$100 to \$149	3 343	2 814	752	2 062	529	16	50	41	4	19	7	5	136	80	116
\$150 to \$199	1 567	1 385	688	697	182	12	12	58	1	4	1	-	37	19	280
\$200 to \$249	121	89	17	72	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	11
\$250 or more	61	59	12	47	2	1	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	6
No cash rent	2 229	1 471	345	1 126	758	5	51	37	33	19	49	22	41	17	26
Median	\$70	\$69	\$59	\$77	\$79	\$67	\$54	\$69	\$45	\$62	\$43	\$46	\$70	\$93	\$154

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.



Table H-1. **Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued**

[For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

Census Tracts	Pensacola — Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
<b>All housing units</b> .....	1 944	741	1 831	274	538	32	135	62	78	54	123	448	1 322	1 807	512
Vacant — seasonal and migratory .....	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
<b>All year-round housing units</b> .....	1 944	741	1 830	274	538	32	135	62	78	54	123	448	1 322	1 806	512
<b>TENURE, RACE, AND VACANCY STATUS</b>															
Owner occupied .....	1 658	500	1 511	71	314	21	102	38	61	50	58	348	789	1 359	299
Cooperative and condominium .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White .....	1 656	497	1 389	9	44	3	102	38	60	50	45	346	775	1 223	1
Negro .....	1	2	117	62	270	18	-	-	-	-	13	-	14	131	298
Renter occupied .....	253	219	248	129	178	9	23	18	13	4	48	74	466	367	171
White .....	253	218	225	4	11	-	23	18	13	4	17	74	461	322	-
Negro .....	-	-	22	124	167	9	-	-	-	-	31	-	2	43	171
Vacant year-round .....	55	22	71	74	46	2	10	6	4	-	17	26	67	80	42
For sale only .....	27	3	34	-	3	1	5	-	1	-	-	13	11	11	-
Vacant less than 6 months .....	23	...	26	-	...	...	1	-	...	-	-	13	8	4	-
Median price asked .....	\$18 800	...	\$14 500	-	...	...	\$18 100	-	...	-	-	\$27 800	\$8 800	\$11 600	-
For rent .....	7	14	22	73	40	1	2	3	1	-	9	10	39	40	37
Vacant less than 2 months .....	2	8	8	72	10	...	...	...	...	-	1	4	23	16	13
Median rent asked .....	\$165	\$132	\$107	\$135	\$37	...	...	...	...	-	\$38	\$140	\$89	\$69	\$39
Other .....	21	5	15	1	3	-	3	3	2	-	8	3	17	29	5
<b>HAVING SOME OR ALL PLUMBING FACILITIES</b>															
All units .....	6	1	13	3	128	10	1	-	2	-	27	13	30	79	149
Owner occupied .....	5	-	10	3	38	5	1	-	2	-	3	11	13	42	51
Negro .....	-	-	4	2	33	5	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	25	51
Renter occupied .....	1	-	2	-	78	4	-	-	-	-	19	2	5	32	79
Negro .....	-	-	2	-	78	4	-	-	-	-	17	-	...	21	79
Vacant year-round .....	-	1	1	-	12	...	-	-	-	-	5	-	2	5	19
For sale only .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
For rent .....	-	-	-	-	12	...	-	-	-	-	5	-	1	5	16



## Census Tracts

## VALUE

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup>	1 636	416	1 478	64	293	21	98	36	57	50	51	318	662	1 169	276
Less than \$5,000	9	14	19	3	55	6	-	12	7	-	10	28	60	75	54
\$5,000 to \$7,499	13	11	36	12	68	6	2	5	8	-	9	34	56	120	81
\$7,500 to \$9,999	11	17	91	30	65	2	8	6	10	-	8	23	94	136	73
\$10,000 to \$14,999	206	99	485	15	72	5	45	8	23	6	10	101	157	412	47
\$15,000 to \$19,999	618	148	422	3	23	1	29	2	7	11	9	73	137	189	11
\$20,000 to \$24,999	423	71	198	1	3	1	10	3	2	12	3	24	72	127	5
\$25,000 to \$34,999	307	47	128	-	5	-	3	-	-	15	1	24	66	94	1
\$35,000 to \$49,999	30	9	63	-	-	-	1	-	-	6	1	2	15	14	2
\$50,000 or more	19	-	36	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	2	2
Total	\$19 700	\$16 900	\$16 000	\$8 900	\$8 400	\$6 900	\$14 500	\$7 900	\$10 600	\$23 300	\$9 500	\$13 700	\$13 800	\$12 600	\$7 600
TRACT RENT															
Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup>	249	217	247	123	177	9	23	18	13	...	47	74	462	362	163
Less than \$30	1	2	3	4	20	3	1	-	-	...	14	4	13	13	21
\$30 to \$39	1	1	3	2	57	2	-	1	-	...	7	-	6	16	65
\$40 to \$49	2	4	32	80	63	3	1	5	5	...	12	12	31	54	67
\$50 to \$59	8	13	40	23	25	1	4	8	2	...	6	16	80	57	4
\$60 to \$69	8	13	33	2	3	-	4	1	3	...	3	5	25	60	1
\$70 to \$79	75	83	93	12	-	-	10	2	2	...	1	18	90	78	-
\$80 to \$89	139	95	28	-	-	-	1	-	-	...	1	13	185	18	-
\$90 to \$99	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-	-	4	-	-
\$100 or more	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-	-	-	1	-
Cash rent	12	6	14	-	9	-	2	1	1	...	3	6	28	65	7
Total	\$158	\$146	\$102	\$49	\$41	\$38	\$102	\$68	\$70	...	\$41	\$93	\$137	\$82	\$39

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.

Table H-1. Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

Census Tracts	Balance of Escombia County—Con.														
	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All housing units .....	910	1 439	1 627	1 134	1 193	2 449	2 385	2 696	-	448	636	998	1 361	2 072	1 730
Vacant—seasonal and migratory .....	-	2	-	1	3	3	-	1	-	-	1	43	-	1	-
All year-round housing units .....	910	1 437	1 627	1 133	1 190	2 446	2 385	2 695	-	448	635	947	1 361	2 071	1 730
TENURE, RACE, AND VACANCY STATUS															
Owner occupied .....	496	847	1 023	680	738	1 404	1 172	1 501	-	-	160	633	850	1 610	1 260
Cooperative and condominium .....	8	7	-	-	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	5	3
White .....	74	673	943	679	526	1 394	1 141	1 457	-	-	160	624	661	1 598	1 255
Negro .....	421	169	80	-	211	7	26	39	-	-	-	6	183	4	2
Renter occupied .....	325	485	484	368	337	834	973	1 005	-	444	219	197	378	380	393
White .....	79	250	439	366	267	822	790	950	-	413	219	194	317	375	389
Negro .....	245	231	44	-	65	7	63	43	-	16	-	-	59	3	1
Vacant year-round .....	89	105	120	85	115	228	240	189	-	4	256	117	133	81	77
For sale only .....	5	13	24	17	4	35	16	23	-	-	8	1	19	28	25
Vacant less than 6 months .....	2	7	15	14	...	25	11	13	-	-	5	...	16	21	17
Median price asked .....	\$5000-	\$8 800	\$6 100	\$8 800	...	\$8 200	\$11 300	\$13 500	-	-	\$29 000	...	\$6 000	\$11 300	\$10 700
For rent .....	57	60	57	47	87	77	182	128	-	1	57	39	63	26	28
Vacant less than 2 months .....	32	27	21	24	46	39	140	66	-	...	49	8	38	15	12
Median rent asked .....	\$39	\$54	\$60	\$65	\$55	\$74	\$66	\$93	-	...	\$139	\$79	\$62	\$100	\$90
Other .....	27	32	39	21	24	116	42	38	-	3	191	77	51	27	24
LACKING SOME OR ALL PLUMBING FACILITIES															
All units .....	300	138	104	22	133	28	22	22	-	-	4	21	121	13	3
Owner occupied .....	111	72	57	11	68	13	10	13	-	-	2	11	52	8	2
Negro .....	104	41	8	-	45	-	5	5	-	-	-	-	35	-	-
Renter occupied .....	139	40	26	8	42	10	7	5	-	-	2	7	26	4	-
Negro .....	136	23	5	-	36	1	3	2	-	-	-	-	17	-	-
Vacant year-round .....	50	26	21	3	23	5	5	4	-	-	-	3	43	1	1
For sale only .....	2	4	6	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
For rent .....	32	10	7	-	17	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	17	-	-



## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County - Con.

## COMPLETE KITCHEN FACILITIES AND ACCESS

Lacking complete kitchen facilities.....

Access only through other living quarters.....

## ROOMS

1 room.....

2 rooms.....

3 rooms.....

4 rooms.....

5 rooms.....

6 rooms.....

7 rooms.....

8 rooms.....

9 rooms or more.....

Median.....

## All occupied housing units

## PERSONS

1 person.....

2 persons.....

3 persons.....

4 persons.....

5 persons.....

6 persons or more.....

Median all occupied units.....

Median owner occupied units.....

Median renter occupied units.....

Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....

## PERSONS PER ROOM

1.00 or less.....

1.01 to 1.50.....

1.51 or more.....

Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more.....

Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
201	72	57	26	56	31	25	14	-	-	4	9	92	28	15
-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	7	8	8	14	13	15	11	-	2	4	8	6	6	5
57	38	63	46	46	65	58	60	-	14	27	35	28	18	17
173	150	168	134	227	273	262	337	-	31	55	96	144	103	66
232	421	434	304	314	714	682	509	-	79	180	223	411	306	381
207	475	503	349	320	690	679	683	-	108	204	268	379	647	608
153	239	323	204	201	475	446	618	-	139	82	184	256	534	482
38	78	97	61	51	175	182	299	-	51	44	76	105	268	126
20	20	26	21	11	46	36	115	-	11	23	44	27	136	31
4	9	5	6	6	15	25	63	-	13	16	13	5	53	14
4.4	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.8	5.1	-	5.4	4.8	4.9	4.7	5.4	5.2
821	1 332	1 507	1 048	1 075	2 238	2 145	2 506	-	444	379	830	1 228	1 990	1 653
143	150	263	193	176	318	296	391	-	5	76	106	155	146	161
187	364	501	382	308	794	628	987	-	59	141	301	373	535	436
120	234	298	206	206	484	453	530	-	98	83	147	257	416	349
124	213	174	122	156	335	368	317	-	93	42	111	182	440	326
65	147	133	74	94	161	217	149	-	76	18	86	128	246	208
182	224	138	71	135	146	183	132	-	113	19	79	133	207	173
3.2	3.1	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.4	-	4.1	2.3	2.6	2.8	3.3	3.2
3.5	3.0	2.5	2.3	3.1	2.6	2.7	2.5	-	-	2.4	2.7	2.9	3.4	3.2
2.6	3.5	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.9	2.3	-	4.1	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.7	3.2
22	36	37	19	10	43	22	31	-	-	49	9	15	10	18
607	1 101	1 357	982	929	2 107	1 955	2 422	-	389	360	770	1 110	1 888	1 527
112	169	111	42	102	110	134	59	-	46	17	50	89	87	108
102	62	39	24	44	21	56	25	-	9	2	10	29	15	18
140	202	135	64	119	131	185	81	-	55	19	58	102	100	125

## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escomb County - Con.

## VALUE

Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup>	445	755	928	604	569	1 242	1 134	1 396	-	-	156	491	704	1 506	1 162
Less than \$5,000	134	164	258	117	131	70	43	45	-	-	-	28	154	32	38
\$5,000 to \$7,499	113	173	241	144	110	180	114	95	-	-	2	58	168	79	142
\$7,500 to \$9,999	82	159	175	142	94	327	242	212	-	-	7	59	139	182	254
\$10,000 to \$14,999	76	176	192	135	178	449	569	531	-	-	43	112	138	447	509
\$15,000 to \$19,999	25	61	52	53	40	136	131	209	-	-	36	75	59	260	162
\$20,000 to \$24,999	9	9	6	5	5	41	26	93	-	-	15	54	27	204	34
\$25,000 to \$34,999	3	9	4	7	6	32	5	94	-	-	22	64	15	263	12
\$35,000 to \$49,999	2	4	-	1	3	6	3	57	-	-	21	29	2	37	1
\$50,000 or more	1	-	-	-	2	1	1	60	-	-	10	12	2	2	1
Median	\$7 000	\$8 100	\$7 100	\$8 200	\$8 700	\$10 400	\$11 200	\$13 000	-	-	\$18 500	\$14 500	\$8 000	\$15 200	\$11 400

## CONTRACT RENT

Specified rental occupied units <sup>2</sup>	318	469	481	367	332	834	937	1 004	-	441	218	191	372	376	392
Less than \$30	40	14	14	11	18	21	75	16	-	1	26	6	21	8	2
\$30 to \$39	90	38	29	12	18	10	51	4	-	-	1	3	11	3	2
\$40 to \$59	105	215	175	96	63	97	265	68	-	7	8	14	65	25	35
\$60 to \$79	16	110	155	143	124	228	223	217	-	16	11	49	91	57	114
\$80 to \$99	4	45	42	47	41	209	134	249	-	-	24	26	81	119	104
\$100 to \$149	13	20	18	32	46	145	170	256	-	61	87	41	68	106	115
\$150 to \$199	30	-	1	1	2	93	5	89	-	9	45	21	8	27	4
\$200 to \$249	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	34	-	-	9	8	-	9	-
\$250 or more	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	32	-	-	3	1	1	4	-
No cash rent	20	25	47	25	20	30	13	39	-	347	4	22	26	18	16
Median	\$42	\$55	\$60	\$66	\$71	\$84	\$65	\$95	-	\$112	\$121	\$87	\$77	\$93	\$86

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.

Table H-1 Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts	Balance of Escambia County - Con.										Santa Rosa County				
	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
All housing units .....	2 008	1 345	1 917	2 375	1 393	2 580	2 629	1 063	918	1 208	1 340	722	1 183	327	943
Vacant - seasonal and migratory .....	-	-	1	1	-	2	2	1	-	7	-	2	-	-	-
All year-round housing units .....	2 008	1 345	1 916	2 374	1 393	2 578	2 627	1 062	918	1 201	1 340	720	1 183	327	943
TENURE, RACE, AND VACANCY STATUS															
Owner occupied .....	1 513	1 151	1 566	1 880	1 069	2 008	2 151	815	709	931	939	545	859	272	279
Cooperative and condominium .....	-	-	13	-	-	6	5	5	5	-	6	-	-	-	-
White .....	1 482	1 134	925	1 868	957	1 797	2 071	601	634	839	662	543	859	271	279
Negro .....	22	12	636	5	104	205	69	212	70	86	276	-	-	-	-
Renter occupied .....	395	144	287	382	258	472	394	190	136	199	315	125	205	27	644
White .....	390	137	169	378	243	411	377	102	101	164	172	124	194	24	602
Negro .....	4	4	117	1	12	49	17	88	32	33	143	1	11	3	20
Vacant year-round .....	100	50	63	112	66	98	82	57	73	71	86	50	119	28	20
For sale only .....	39	17	15	28	25	21	21	10	3	5	15	1	46	1	2
Vacant less than 6 months .....	27	16	14	20	17	21	18	10	...	-	11	...	22	...	...
Median price asked .....	\$15 000	\$16 000	\$13 800	\$11 900	\$13 600	\$19 200	\$14 100	\$10 600	...	...	\$5 600	...	\$5000-	...	...
For rent .....	38	9	39	56	29	48	38	29	57	18	12	18	24	5	4
Vacant less than 2 months .....	20	8	13	26	18	22	17	12	45	2	1	3	2	3	...
Median rent asked .....	\$85	\$115	\$48	\$80	\$69	\$63	\$58	\$48	\$45	\$30-	\$30-	\$38	\$48	...	...
Other .....	23	24	9	28	12	29	23	18	13	48	59	31	49	22	14
LACKING SOME OR ALL PLUMBING FACILITIES															
All units .....	35	14	199	72	38	188	118	184	167	258	427	149	174	41	28
Owner occupied .....	22	11	107	49	29	129	82	99	94	143	222	90	69	22	9
Negro .....	13	5	83	-	5	74	38	87	37	49	146	-	-	-	-
Renter occupied .....	6	2	72	20	5	40	24	68	52	73	156	35	49	8	16
Negro .....	...	...	67	-	3	29	10	63	29	28	119	-	9	...	-
Vacant year-round .....	7	1	20	3	4	19	12	17	21	42	49	24	56	11	3
For sale only .....	-	-	1	1	3	-	1	-	...	3	10	...	24	-	-
For rent .....	7	1	16	-	1	13	3	8	16	12	8	12	15	1	-

## Census Tracts

## COMPLETE KITCHEN FACILITIES AND ACCESS

Lacking complete kitchen facilities.....

Access only through other living quarters .....

## ROOMS

1 room.....

2 rooms.....

3 rooms.....

4 rooms.....

5 rooms.....

6 rooms.....

7 rooms.....

8 rooms.....

9 rooms or more.....

Median.....

## All occupied housing units .....

## PERSONS

1 person.....

2 persons.....

3 persons.....

4 persons.....

5 persons.....

6 persons or more.....

Median, all occupied units.....

Median, owner occupied units.....

Median, renter occupied units.....

Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....

## PERSONS PER ROOM

1.00 or less.....

1.01 to 1.50.....

1.51 or more.....

Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more .....

## Balance of Escambia County - Con.

## Santa Rosa County

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Lacking complete kitchen facilities.....	68	22	125	31	23	103	80	146	107	142	311	80	135	13	1
Access only through other living quarters .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
1 room.....	7	1	4	17	4	16	14	4	7	7	9	3	5	2	1
2 rooms.....	15	-	33	30	18	35	45	12	16	32	28	21	12	8	7
3 rooms.....	72	15	127	124	97	163	155	78	69	71	135	45	72	14	78
4 rooms.....	282	67	335	484	367	451	546	298	204	246	314	143	237	56	291
5 rooms.....	645	656	648	837	426	754	650	274	268	351	372	248	351	92	304
6 rooms.....	618	458	527	546	322	635	623	253	225	345	307	175	313	95	193
7 rooms.....	286	126	189	229	113	333	358	110	84	100	126	62	141	41	50
8 rooms.....	59	19	38	71	36	141	149	20	27	42	31	17	34	16	13
9 rooms or more.....	24	3	15	36	10	50	87	13	18	7	18	6	18	3	7
Median.....	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.1	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4	4.8
All occupied housing units .....	1 908	1 295	1 853	2 262	1 327	2 480	2 545	1 005	845	1 130	1 254	670	1 044	299	923
1 person.....	117	30	126	183	119	209	185	94	98	157	229	69	137	26	35
2 persons.....	480	216	392	624	384	623	648	223	264	341	337	216	314	73	280
3 persons.....	415	323	353	427	277	482	499	202	140	160	191	122	207	44	245
4 persons.....	389	370	371	456	259	587	559	165	127	181	190	113	191	64	163
5 persons.....	297	221	245	302	139	318	337	129	95	131	114	73	116	42	107
6 persons or more.....	210	135	366	270	149	261	317	192	121	160	193	77	99	50	93
Median, all occupied units.....	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.6	3.1
Median, owner occupied units.....	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.6	2.9
Median, renter occupied units.....	3.3	3.8	3.3	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	3.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.0	4.4	3.1
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....	21	7	31	34	21	40	27	14	4	6	11	5	10	1	1
1.00 or less.....	1 770	1 217	1 531	2 065	1 196	2 273	2 324	826	737	987	1 069	603	980	263	845
1.01 to 1.50.....	116	72	205	160	99	149	170	104	79	102	126	44	65	29	68
1.51 or more.....	22	6	117	37	32	58	51	75	29	41	59	23	19	7	10
Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more .....	129	76	246	179	119	160	186	106	67	92	83	44	66	27	74



## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escambia County - Con.

## Santa Rosa County

## VALUE

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup>	1 432	1 099	1 409	1 492	843	1 786	1 606	698	363	541	729	225	476	137	73
Less than \$5 000	25	15	172	119	115	174	197	131	127	214	382	103	139	19	16
\$5 000 to \$7 499	98	22	148	151	142	166	169	183	79	133	168	43	117	15	20
\$7 500 to \$9 999	156	138	144	241	116	186	155	107	48	61	74	26	51	21	9
\$10 000 to \$14 999	577	549	524	503	255	459	372	161	63	92	60	34	95	40	12
\$15 000 to \$19 999	368	301	316	296	150	350	266	70	30	25	25	16	53	26	10
\$20 000 to \$24 999	140	57	71	117	43	197	148	33	10	5	6	3	16	10	2
\$25 000 to \$34 999	57	15	26	56	37	183	157	9	2	7	8	-	4	6	4
\$35 000 to \$49 999	8	2	7	7	5	55	112	3	4	4	4	-	1	-	-
\$50 000 or more	3	-	1	2	-	16	30	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Median	\$13 800	\$13 300	\$12 300	\$11 900	\$11 100	\$14 100	\$13 600	\$8 300	\$6 700	\$6 100	\$5000 -	\$5 600	\$7 100	\$11 800	\$7 600

## CONTRACT RENT

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup>	394	144	279	370	254	467	359	183	89	142	281	67	142	7	619
Less than \$30	10	2	29	13	7	23	21	49	21	42	138	14	17	-	9
\$30 to \$39	-	2	36	2	3	15	14	16	9	16	30	8	20	1	8
\$40 to \$59	12	5	40	22	39	73	51	41	16	20	41	18	29	2	42
\$60 to \$79	53	10	23	85	89	102	83	30	14	5	5	6	15	2	12
\$80 to \$99	80	21	35	77	51	56	51	15	-	-	3	-	2	-	17
\$100 to \$149	183	81	80	114	42	120	70	6	1	-	-	-	2	-	75
\$150 to \$199	34	18	20	22	3	31	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
\$200 to \$249	-	1	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
\$250 or more	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
No cash rent	22	4	16	35	20	40	51	26	28	59	64	21	57	2	451
Median	\$108	\$121	\$83	\$90	\$75	\$80	\$75	\$46	\$41	\$30	\$30 -	\$41	\$43	\$55	\$96

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more

Table H-1. Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

[For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

Census Tracts	Santa Rosa County—Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
All housing units .....	982	2 379	2 356	2 022	1 337	1 799	2 414	2 043	2 081	1 050	942	1 762	1 196	2 547	2 634
Vacant—seasonal and migratory .....	1	3	5	32	1	—	2	—	1	—	—	—	1	3	2
All year-round housing units .....	981	2 276	2 351	1 990	1 336	1 799	2 414	2 043	2 080	1 050	942	1 762	1 195	2 544	2 632
TENURE, RACE, AND VACANCY STATUS															
Owner occupied .....	690	1 418	1 653	1 262	913	743	2 006	1 289	1 430	613	517	1 125	718	1 465	2 058
Cooperative and condominium .....	—	—	—	5	—	8	—	—	—	—	8	—	—	8	6
White .....	652	1 269	1 575	1 184	912	90	2 002	1 272	1 232	45	77	1 045	717	1 454	1 847
Negro .....	37	145	72	75	—	653	1	16	193	568	439	80	—	7	205
Renter occupied .....	240	609	511	315	279	914	327	685	496	349	334	507	386	847	476
White .....	228	514	486	292	278	51	327	679	326	11	79	462	384	835	415
Negro .....	6	92	22	21	—	863	—	2	167	338	254	44	—	7	49
Vacant year-round .....	51	249	187	413	144	142	81	89	154	88	91	130	91	232	98
For sale only .....	9	54	26	54	31	2	40	14	11	3	6	29	17	36	21
Vacant less than 6 months .....	7	47	17	37	25	—	36	11	4	—	3	16	14	26	21
Median price asked .....	\$15 900	\$12 700	\$9 000	\$8 400	\$25 900	...	\$22 000	\$12 500	\$11 600	...	\$5000—	\$7 000	\$8 800	\$8 300	\$19 200
For rent .....	14	155	72	70	83	85	17	53	113	77	58	59	50	78	48
Vacant less than 2 months .....	14	112	47	45	51	37	6	31	88	23	32	21	26	39	22
Median rent asked .....	\$60	\$85	\$67	\$69	\$165	\$36	\$153	\$106	\$128	\$38	\$39	\$61	\$65	\$74	\$63
Other .....	28	40	89	289	30	55	24	22	30	8	27	42	24	118	29
LACKING SOME OR ALL PLUMBING FACILITIES															
All units .....	72	184	227	220	4	297	19	21	82	277	310	105	22	38	188
Owner occupied .....	41	70	148	99	—	78	16	13	45	89	116	58	11	15	129
Negro .....	11	46	39	27	—	74	—	1	27	84	109	8	—	—	74
Renter occupied .....	23	81	47	41	—	179	3	5	32	157	143	26	8	10	40
Negro .....	1	52	19	17	—	176	—	—	21	157	140	5	—	1	29
Vacant year-round .....	8	33	32	80	4	40	—	3	5	31	51	21	3	5	19
For sale only .....	—	4	5	19	—	—	—	1	—	—	2	6	1	—	—
For rent .....	2	21	5	15	—	27	—	1	5	28	33	7	—	2	13

## Census Tracts

## COMPLETE KITCHEN FACILITIES AND ACCESS

Lacking complete kitchen facilities.....

Access only through other living quarters.....

## ROOMS

1 room.....

2 rooms.....

3 rooms.....

4 rooms.....

5 rooms.....

6 rooms.....

7 rooms.....

8 rooms.....

9 rooms or more.....

Median.....

## All occupied housing units

## PERSONS

1 person.....

2 persons.....

3 persons.....

4 persons.....

5 persons.....

6 persons or more.....

Median, all occupied units.....

Median, owner occupied units.....

Median, renter occupied units.....

Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....

## PERSONS PER ROOM

1.00 or less.....

1.01 to 1.50.....

1.51 or more.....

Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more.....

## Santa Rosa County - Con.

## Totals for split tracts in Escambia County

	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
Lacking complete kitchen facilities.....	41	102	113	136	8	108	2	13	38	94	204	57	26	32	103
Access only through other living quarters.....	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	1	4	-	-	-	-	-
1 room.....	5	22	27	26	1	39	2	5	3	11	26	9	8	14	16
2 rooms.....	30	52	70	88	10	95	9	36	25	55	62	70	49	65	35
3 rooms.....	73	239	223	236	30	424	44	216	115	214	180	187	141	277	163
4 rooms.....	217	378	630	528	172	464	191	587	419	260	240	444	316	723	451
5 rooms.....	219	754	661	554	306	367	707	473	720	228	212	524	364	723	764
6 rooms.....	238	536	492	348	367	245	816	433	509	174	155	356	222	494	646
7 rooms.....	131	192	166	140	218	109	460	200	200	74	42	127	67	185	353
8 rooms.....	45	67	51	49	148	37	141	78	57	23	21	36	22	48	150
9 rooms or more.....	23	36	31	21	84	19	44	35	32	11	4	9	6	15	54
Median.....	5.3	5.1	4.8	4.7	5.9	4.2	5.8	4.9	5.2	4.4	4.3	4.8	4.7	4.8	5.4
All occupied housing units.....	930	2 027	2 164	1 577	1 192	1 657	2 333	1 974	1 926	962	851	1 632	1 104	2 312	2 534
1 person.....	81	265	272	185	75	310	124	237	180	186	149	288	205	325	211
2 persons.....	228	582	660	497	310	390	498	701	475	223	197	547	407	820	627
3 persons.....	202	387	401	279	234	272	534	404	397	141	121	321	217	499	494
4 persons.....	186	347	343	226	281	216	640	304	376	137	127	194	127	344	609
5 persons.....	119	236	251	186	163	154	327	194	235	74	67	139	74	170	328
6 persons or more.....	114	210	237	204	129	315	210	134	263	201	190	143	74	154	265
Median, all occupied units.....	3.3	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.4	3.0	3.5	2.6	3.3	3.0	3.2	2.5	2.4	2.5	3.4
Median, owner occupied units.....	3.5	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.6	2.6	3.6	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.5	2.5	2.3	2.6	3.5
Median, renter occupied units.....	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.2	2.9	2.2	3.3	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.7
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....	11	28	27	10	7	50	24	26	29	42	22	42	21	43	42
1.00 or less.....	842	1 856	1 944	1 374	1 146	1 249	2 241	1 892	1 708	746	627	1 481	1 036	2 175	2 326
1.01 to 1.50.....	64	126	160	148	42	218	84	76	169	116	116	111	44	116	150
1.51 or more.....	24	45	60	55	4	190	8	6	49	100	108	40	24	21	58
Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more.....	75	137	172	170	46	337	91	81	195	146	147	136	66	137	161

## Census Tracts

## VALUE

	Santa Rosa County - Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	509	1 295	1 189	954	887	687	1 946	1 078	1 233	569	466	1 026	640	1 299	1 836
Less than \$5,000 .....	77	99	249	171	3	155	37	74	78	109	140	258	129	77	174
\$5,000 to \$7,499 .....	59	168	227	147	-	214	47	67	132	149	119	243	149	188	166
\$7,500 to \$9,999 .....	30	208	171	120	2	130	34	111	166	138	84	183	148	337	186
\$10,000 to \$14,999 .....	79	487	283	169	102	114	307	256	427	119	81	237	143	472	465
\$15,000 to \$19,999 .....	122	195	154	143	244	47	691	285	192	34	26	81	55	143	361
\$20,000 to \$24,999 .....	93	72	70	83	141	13	447	143	128	8	10	16	8	43	209
\$25,000 to \$34,999 .....	43	49	29	78	193	9	331	113	94	6	3	7	7	32	198
\$35,000 to \$49,999 .....	2	16	4	32	143	4	32	24	14	2	2	1	1	6	61
\$50,000 or more .....	4	1	2	11	59	1	20	5	2	4	1	-	-	1	16
Median .....	\$15 500	\$11 600	\$9 200	\$11 000	\$23 300	\$7 200	\$19 000	\$15 500	\$12 300	\$8 000	\$7 000	\$7 700	\$8 200	\$10 400	\$14 300

## CONTRACT RENT

	Santa Rosa County - Con.					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup> .....	226	605	492	295	276	856	323	679	485	342	327	504	385	847	471
Less than \$30 .....	8	72	35	31	11	95	5	15	17	41	43	15	11	21	23
\$30 to \$39 .....	11	33	19	10	-	176	1	7	18	122	92	29	13	10	15
\$40 to \$59 .....	34	75	84	44	3	438	14	35	134	130	108	176	101	102	73
\$60 to \$79 .....	59	78	108	81	8	92	24	93	80	29	17	159	151	230	102
\$80 to \$99 .....	31	59	75	26	9	12	13	38	62	4	4	46	48	212	57
\$100 to \$149 .....	50	187	94	43	84	5	93	173	90	-	13	28	34	147	121
\$150 to \$199 .....	5	44	3	11	114	2	152	280	18	-	30	2	1	93	32
\$200 to \$249 .....	-	-	-	1	31	-	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	7
\$250 or more .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
No cash rent .....	28	63	74	48	14	36	18	34	65	16	20	49	26	31	40
Median .....	\$76	\$83	\$71	\$68	\$157	\$45	\$151	\$142	\$69	\$40	\$42	\$61	\$66	\$84	\$81

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.



Table H-2. Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

## Census Tracts

	Total SALSA	Escondido County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
All year-round housing units .....	77 164	68 885	31 847	44 838	12 879	116	1 692	1 754	1 681	925	1 892	1 168	2 488	1 377	2 222
UNITS IN STRUCTURE															
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	68 394	57 275	17 158	40 117	11 119	27	1 051	1 508	1 340	720	1 429	804	1 907	1 235	1 962
2 .....	3 068	2 705	1 108	1 597	363	10	147	136	82	87	210	69	190	37	18
3 and 4 .....	1 714	1 593	886	707	121	43	226	26	44	48	151	128	140	52	5
5 to 49 .....	2 840	2 567	1 343	1 224	273	36	268	37	206	61	97	167	124	48	38
50 or more .....	1 148	945	552	393	203	-	-	49	9	9	5	-	47	5	309
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT															
1969 to March 1970 .....	3 846	3 000	620	2 380	846	-	36	12	23	-	-	12	19	14	52
1965 to 1968 .....	10 470	8 358	1 944	6 414	2 112	6	61	83	60	9	49	5	97	-	529
1960 to 1964 .....	12 240	9 357	2 388	6 969	2 883	6	31	95	55	29	15	5	80	48	661
1950 to 1959 .....	22 535	19 456	4 524	14 932	3 079	13	82	462	220	170	80	68	405	425	629
1940 to 1949 .....	13 675	12 255	3 891	8 364	1 420	18	212	459	644	241	410	296	537	352	204
1939 or earlier .....	14 398	12 659	7 680	4 979	1 739	73	1 270	645	679	476	1 338	782	1 270	538	257
HEATING EQUIPMENT															
Steam or hot water .....	1 014	555	356	199	459	36	28	13	49	82	9	10	24	77	17
Warm air furnace .....	24 731	20 226	6 663	13 563	4 505	-	102	205	58	230	54	17	414	529	1 542
Built-in electric units .....	2 588	2 265	720	1 545	323	-	17	52	79	3	43	11	133	40	154
Floor, wall, or pipeless furnace .....	11 485	10 994	3 849	7 145	491	-	207	465	137	228	119	68	1 042	550	258
Other means or not heated .....	37 346	31 045	9 459	21 586	6 301	80	1 338	1 021	1 358	382	1 667	1 062	795	181	361
BASEMENT															
All units with basement .....	2 343	2 035	1 140	895	308	6	67	50	27	106	37	16	193	298	103
One-family houses with basement .....	1 956	1 667	864	803	289	-	23	38	22	75	21	10	114	252	90

## Census Tracts

## SELECTED EQUIPMENT

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
With more than 1 bathroom	22 190	18 279	7 067	11 212	3 911	6	152	295	90	358	169	63	686	652	1 428
With public water supply	65 562	58 956	20 987	37 969	6 606	109	1 691	1 760	1 681	920	1 886	1 165	2 408	1 377	2 321
With public sewer	34 713	31 307	19 426	11 881	3 406	109	1 683	1 632	1 577	914	1 845	1 165	2 389	1 363	2 213
With air conditioning	45 955	39 027	12 058	26 969	6 928	43	468	1 038	256	609	271	158	1 482	1 178	2 101
Room unit(s)	29 785	25 894	7 062	18 832	3 891	43	358	836	212	497	236	152	1 178	806	639
Central system	16 170	13 133	4 996	8 137	3 037	-	110	202	44	112	35	6	304	372	1 462

## All occupied housing units

71 233	60 387	19 324	41 063	10 846	99	1 449	1 594	1 354	781	1 653	1 023	2 284	1 301	2 280
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	----	-------	-------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

## YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

1968 to March 1970	27 012	22 433	6 388	16 045	4 579	38	587	494	438	182	516	341	571	285	877
1965 to 1967	12 217	10 353	3 414	7 239	1 864	27	211	165	232	114	267	130	286	123	466
1960 to 1964	11 522	9 767	3 129	6 638	1 755	21	161	190	267	79	275	217	278	170	326
1950 to 1959	11 387	9 923	3 014	6 909	1 464	7	131	348	214	193	160	91	304	358	382
1949 or earlier	9 095	7 911	3 679	4 232	1 184	6	379	397	405	213	435	244	765	365	149

## AUTOMOBILES AVAILABLE

34 187	28 349	8 109	20 240	5 838	38	595	827	645	304	673	445	1 163	600	733
23 021	19 921	5 910	14 011	3 100	18	134	438	165	269	116	83	562	518	1 160
4 310	3 716	1 080	2 636	594	-	44	105	21	58	6	12	94	92	228
9 715	8 401	4 225	4 176	1 314	43	696	224	725	150	858	483	385	91	79

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units	20 157	17 382	6 563	10 819	2 775	79	848	528	792	199	859	650	722	250	574
Less than \$40	825	741	384	357	84	5	47	5	71	-	106	128	5	-	-
\$40 to \$59	2 806	2 592	1 433	1 159	214	5	202	37	345	40	274	303	75	-	5
\$60 to \$79	3 280	2 866	1 446	1 420	414	16	283	109	238	65	247	94	208	40	38
\$80 to \$99	3 036	2 718	847	1 871	318	-	168	141	58	15	131	57	100	24	43
\$100 to \$149	4 571	3 983	875	3 108	588	18	66	92	40	53	70	15	215	117	45
\$150 to \$199	2 721	2 372	908	1 464	349	22	44	88	5	3	-	5	57	19	288
\$200 to \$249	619	524	213	311	95	-	10	13	-	5	-	-	10	12	77
\$250 or more	120	113	41	72	7	-	5	-	-	12	-	-	5	-	15
No cash rent	2 179	1 473	416	1 057	706	13	23	43	35	6	31	48	47	38	65
Median	\$93	\$92	\$77	\$101	\$100	\$125	\$70	\$90	\$57	\$77	\$63	\$49	\$91	\$120	\$172

## Census Tracts

GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME  
BY INCOME

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pensacola	Balance		Tract 0001	Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0009	Tract 0010
Specified renter occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	20 157	17 382	6 343	10 819	2 775	79	848	528	792	199	859	638	722	258	574
Less than \$5,000.....	9 612	8 350	3 583	4 767	1 262	43	582	218	517	86	600	464	362	77	205
Less than 20 percent.....	1 156	1 027	578	449	129	5	21	23	129	13	134	133	50	-	9
20 to 24 percent.....	871	785	418	367	86	4	100	8	60	7	68	72	37	6	14
25 to 34 percent.....	1 873	1 678	681	997	195	-	123	25	96	14	130	107	93	17	12
35 percent or more.....	4 221	3 757	1 558	2 199	464	21	275	129	191	46	219	131	162	42	122
Not computed.....	1 491	1 103	348	755	388	13	43	33	41	6	49	21	20	12	48
Median.....	35.0+	35.0+	34.1	35.0+	35.0+	35.0+	35.0+	35.0+	30.1	35.0+	30.7	26.5	34.0	35.0+	35.0+
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	7 412	6 400	2 118	4 282	1 012	22	186	247	249	89	224	162	255	115	207
Less than 20 percent.....	3 801	3 420	1 252	2 168	381	12	138	140	210	55	201	131	128	55	21
20 to 24 percent.....	1 290	1 122	299	823	168	5	37	44	19	15	5	5	70	24	30
25 to 34 percent.....	1 386	1 198	348	850	188	-	11	35	15	7	6	5	38	25	101
35 percent or more.....	256	241	97	144	15	5	-	18	-	12	-	-	-	6	38
Not computed.....	679	419	122	297	260	-	-	10	5	-	12	21	19	5	17
Median.....	18.8	18.7	17.4	19.2	19.8	...	17.2	18.5	12.5	13.9	13.3	10.0-	19.5	20.0	29.4
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	2 293	1 915	601	1 314	378	-	61	63	16	12	25	24	81	51	83
25 percent or more.....	20	20	5	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
Not computed.....	314	215	54	161	99	-	-	14	-	-	-	6	9	14	11
Median.....	14.5	14.4	14.7	14.3	15.3	-	11.8	10.2	...	...	10.0-	...	13.0	15.1	18.3
\$15,000 or more.....	840	717	261	456	123	14	19	-	10	12	10	-	24	7	81
25 percent or more.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not computed.....	137	122	24	98	15	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	5	7	6
Median.....	10.6	10.4	10.9	10.0	11.8	...	...	-	...	...	...	-	...	-	13.6

<sup>1</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.

1-6 PENSACOLA, FLA., SMSA

CENSUS TRACTS

Table H-2. Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

Census Tracts	Pensacola - Con										Balance of Escambia County				
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
All year-round housing units .....	1 951	750	1 835	278	510	31	134	67	84	58	118	449	1 316	1 784	53
<b>UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>															
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	1 866	603	1 757	122	461	25	136	63	84	58	91	414	1 060	1 653	43
2 .....	22	9	45	-	36	6	-	4	-	-	13	35	14	71	4
3 and 4 .....	-	10	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	6	2
5 to 49 .....	38	65	19	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	124	29	2
50 or more .....	25	63	14	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113	25	2
<b>YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>															
1969 to March 1970 .....	110	113	26	192	6	-	-	-	-	5	10	31	91	138	1
1965 to 1968 .....	682	172	131	-	15	-	5	6	-	34	4	166	353	323	4
1960 to 1964 .....	754	188	349	16	37	4	-	-	5	10	4	68	276	498	4
1950 to 1959 .....	365	226	1 119	59	103	11	24	14	40	9	46	112	286	546	27
1940 to 1949 .....	6	37	151	11	168	11	92	8	34	-	20	16	171	192	12
1939 or earlier .....	24	14	59	-	181	5	15	39	5	-	34	56	139	87	5
<b>HEATING EQUIPMENT</b>															
Steam or hot water .....	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	10	-
Warm air furnace .....	1 652	549	964	187	39	-	39	6	27	49	20	205	625	800	-
Built-in electric units .....	56	57	44	10	17	4	-	-	-	-	-	22	138	61	-
Floor, wall, or pipeless furnace .....	106	35	435	36	51	5	70	-	28	9	22	50	128	245	-
Other means or not heated .....	137	109	392	45	392	22	27	61	29	-	71	172	419	668	4
<b>BASEMENT</b>															
All units with basement .....	27	39	122	11	26	4	4	-	4	-	-	17	35	14	-
One-family houses with basement .....	27	34	117	3	26	4	4	-	4	-	-	17	35	14	-



## Census Tracts

## SELECTED EQUIPMENT

With more than 1 bathroom .....

With public water supply .....

With public sewer .....

With air conditioning .....

Room unit(s) .....

Central system .....

All occupied housing units .....

## YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

1968 to March 1970 .....

1965 to 1967 .....

1960 to 1964 .....

1950 to 1959 .....

1949 or earlier .....

## AUTOMOBILES AVAILABLE

1 .....

2 .....

3 or more .....

None .....

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units<sup>1</sup> .....

Less than \$40 .....

\$40 to \$59 .....

\$60 to \$79 .....

\$80 to \$99 .....

\$100 to \$149 .....

\$150 to \$199 .....

\$200 to \$249 .....

\$250 or more .....

No cash rent .....

Median .....

## Pensacola - Con.

## Balance of Escambia County

Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
1 621	362	996	-	58	-	45	16	21	49	27	148	400	562	31
1 922	723	1 844	281	513	34	144	66	80	62	125	448	1 240	1 606	535
1 507	452	1 651	218	443	7	123	33	59	43	50	80	472	338	106
1 782	612	1 552	41	155	19	110	51	70	62	67	324	960	1 292	89
522	203	964	34	139	19	97	44	70	13	55	193	464	817	82
1 260	409	588	7	16	-	13	7	-	49	12	131	496	475	7
1 892	729	1 759	201	489	28	138	66	86	62	104	437	1 263	1 673	499
827	382	493	140	98	15	35	28	13	28	27	187	562	590	89
515	132	301	12	92	-	21	7	5	8	5	106	200	387	50
395	70	475	15	119	-	29	-	23	19	34	65	231	318	153
150	93	400	34	87	6	24	9	16	7	19	58	134	249	128
5	52	90	-	93	7	29	22	23	-	19	21	136	129	79
574	298	701	60	251	6	88	34	61	13	56	240	620	750	273
1 102	311	849	12	52	16	50	15	11	29	10	106	472	666	59
190	75	127	-	-	-	-	-	8	20	13	56	100	130	8
26	45	82	129	186	6	-	17	-	-	25	35	71	127	159
245	225	234	124	167	...	...	...	...	...	38	94	437	354	180
-	-	-	-	17	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	-	-	8
10	-	5	84	38	...	...	...	...	...	15	6	11	17	77
-	10	20	22	45	...	...	...	...	...	9	20	21	25	52
-	19	45	5	30	...	...	...	...	...	14	15	62	44	24
10	33	60	6	12	...	...	...	...	...	-	10	116	136	15
146	137	87	7	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	33	183	59	-
54	17	15	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	5	24	7	-
-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	6	5	-
-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	5	14	61	4
25	9	4	-	25	...	...	...	...	...	74	114	150	116	362
181	167	136	52	368	...	...	...	...	...					

## Census Tracts

GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME  
BY INCOME

	Pensacola - Con.										Balance of Escambia County			
	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014
Specified renter occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	245	225	236	124	167	...	...	...	...	...	38	94	437	354
Less than \$5,000.....	53	72	67	106	103	...	...	...	...	...	38	42	125	146
Less than 20 percent.....	10	-	10	11	26	...	...	...	...	...	5	15	-	-
20 to 24 percent.....	-	4	4	8	16	...	...	...	...	...	10	-	-	-
25 to 34 percent.....	-	10	9	36	6	...	...	...	...	...	4	5	25	31
35 percent or more.....	43	42	44	47	17	...	...	...	...	...	19	12	91	62
Not computed.....	-	16	-	4	38	...	...	...	...	...	-	10	9	53
Median.....	35.0+	35.0+	35.0+	33.9	22.0	...	...	...	...	...	35.0	27.0	35.0+	35.0+
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	64	95	106	18	48	...	...	...	...	...	-	35	192	128
Less than 20 percent.....	-	24	52	18	44	...	...	...	...	...	-	14	50	67
20 to 24 percent.....	-	17	20	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	11	32	27
25 to 34 percent.....	34	45	26	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	4	68	19
35 percent or more.....	5	9	4	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	6	37	5
Not computed.....	25	-	4	-	4	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	5	10
Median.....	30.7	26.4	19.8	...	12.3	...	...	...	...	...	-	21.6	26.7	19.2
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	84	43	43	-	11	...	...	...	...	...	-	17	74	64
25 percent or more.....	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	-	-
Not computed.....	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	-	-
Median.....	18.1	19.9	16.7	-	...	...	...	...	...	...	-	...	14.6	16.6
\$15,000 or more.....	44	15	20	-	5	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	46	16
25 percent or more.....	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	-	-
Not computed.....	-	-	-	-	-	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	-	4
Median.....	13.5	...	...	-	...	...	...	...	...	...	-	-	10.0-	...

<sup>1</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more

Table H-2. Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Balance of Escomb County - Con														
	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All year-round housing units .....	920	1 444	1 686	1 129	1 199	2 461	2 385	2 687	-	448	632	934	1 344	2 072	1 748
UNITS IN STRUCTURE															
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	750	1 252	1 552	1 028	991	2 107	1 702	2 271	-	85	595	901	1 288	2 000	1 705
2 .....	95	15	25	71	101	122	356	141	-	45	7	11	65	32	29
3 and 4 .....	18	4	14	-	93	54	81	77	-	210	7	-	5	6	8
5 to 49 .....	57	168	15	40	14	173	153	77	-	108	23	38	6	34	-
50 or more .....	-	5	-	-	-	5	93	121	-	-	-	6	-	-	6
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT															
1969 to March 1970 .....	4	145	20	9	59	51	21	16	-	19	91	78	77	124	20
1965 to 1968 .....	88	114	60	30	118	262	41	185	-	194	85	167	128	516	109
1960 to 1964 .....	117	178	146	70	165	134	98	193	-	12	113	204	212	410	195
1950 to 1959 .....	373	531	455	305	333	1 080	709	641	-	40	302	260	426	716	1 097
1940 to 1949 .....	205	312	669	412	326	652	1 243	1 238	-	40	20	129	342	172	234
1939 or earlier .....	133	164	256	313	198	282	273	414	-	143	21	118	179	134	93
HEATING EQUIPMENT															
Steam or hot water .....	14	5	-	5	-	4	5	17	-	67	-	-	-	5	-
Warm air furnace .....	76	351	156	138	252	531	435	685	-	261	253	372	293	1 094	399
Built-in electric units .....	10	58	13	14	23	72	60	92	-	-	81	87	50	79	18
Floor wall or pipeless furnace .....	51	148	186	175	127	731	761	1 054	-	12	137	68	201	297	255
Other means or not heated .....	769	882	1 251	807	797	1 123	1 124	839	-	108	161	429	820	597	1 076
BASEMENT															
All units with basement .....	17	26	22	3	4	83	25	75	-	60	28	48	-	28	22
One-family houses with basement .....	11	26	22	3	4	74	20	55	-	15	21	48	-	28	22

## Census Tracts

## Balance of Escombio County - Con

## SELECTED EQUIPMENT

	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
With more than 1 bathroom .....	35	96	102	96	132	445	389	791	-	319	274	399	214	963	494
With public water supply .....	883	1 242	1 581	1 135	1 160	2 392	2 379	2 675	-	443	626	646	1 293	2 032	1 753
With public sewer .....	167	426	115	198	240	477	901	686	-	448	323	102	102	351	1 031
With air conditioning .....	200	629	715	645	546	1 736	1 325	1 941	-	380	491	596	653	1 764	1 275
Room unit(s) .....	146	565	677	569	455	1 378	1 121	1 303	-	151	276	325	487	906	1 076
Central system .....	54	64	38	76	91	358	204	638	-	229	215	271	166	858	199

## All occupied housing units .....

808	1 347	1 468	1 044	1 087	2 240	2 145	2 489	-	444	376	841	1 238	1 996	1 673
-----	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	---	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------	-------

## YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

1968 to March 1970 .....	173	527	469	421	441	1 032	995	1 125	-	402	255	348	530	790	587
1965 to 1967 .....	152	231	234	131	130	253	266	359	-	36	48	116	167	411	355
1960 to 1964 .....	149	166	119	80	171	275	271	280	-	-	43	169	153	346	345
1950 to 1959 .....	223	266	266	142	180	408	353	468	-	-	20	139	140	309	311
1949 or earlier .....	111	177	380	270	165	272	260	257	-	6	10	69	248	140	75

## AUTOMOBILES AVAILABLE

1 .....	354	687	755	538	627	1 197	1 215	1 381	-	262	118	360	657	895	752
2 .....	128	325	356	331	270	732	549	818	-	177	166	351	316	892	708
3 or more .....	32	20	87	38	31	110	161	189	-	5	92	79	41	161	131
None .....	294	335	270	137	159	201	220	101	-	-	-	51	224	48	82

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units¹ .....	330	478	471	367	309	841	928	1 019	-	444	215	179	378	380	408
Less than \$40 .....	24	5	-	-	11	-	108	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
\$40 to \$59 .....	120	149	84	34	12	39	266	5	-	6	6	6	28	5	9
\$60 to \$79 .....	77	128	103	53	69	133	125	104	-	17	-	11	51	11	29
\$80 to \$99 .....	42	101	122	122	108	159	123	193	-	-	12	38	123	36	74
\$100 to \$149 .....	25	65	113	110	68	302	249	461	-	39	94	48	89	165	211
\$150 to \$199 .....	30	-	7	16	25	138	31	143	-	-	98	17	48	87	72
\$200 to \$249 .....	4	-	-	-	-	44	14	58	-	-	-	23	-	44	4
\$250 or more .....	-	-	-	7	-	-	6	30	-	-	-	7	-	11	-
No cash rent .....	14	30	42	25	16	26	6	25	-	382	5	29	29	21	9
Median .....	\$63	\$70	\$86	\$93	\$90	\$110	\$70	\$114	-	\$107	\$147	\$114	\$93	\$136	\$118



## Census Tracts

Census Tracts	Balance of Escambia County - Con														
	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0020	Tract 0021	Tract 0022	Tract 0023	Tract 0023.99	Tract 0024	Tract 0025	Tract 0026	Tract 0027	Tract 0028	Tract 0029
All year-round housing units .....	920	1 444	1 406	1 139	1 199	2 441	2 385	2 487	-	448	632	954	1 344	2 872	1 748
GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY INCOME															
Specified renter occupied units .....	330	478	471	347	309	841	928	1 019	-	444	215	179	378	380	408
Less than \$5,000 .....	206	302	287	127	182	315	503	349	-	98	84	79	199	107	125
Less than 20 percent .....	51	28	24	6	11	9	109	10	-	6	-	-	10	-	5
20 to 24 percent .....	11	16	26	18	9	29	77	18	-	5	-	6	25	-	14
25 to 34 percent .....	37	59	47	23	56	61	132	92	-	-	-	28	52	33	28
35 percent or more .....	96	160	128	47	90	188	174	202	-	21	68	15	78	48	78
Not computed .....	11	39	62	33	16	28	11	27	-	66	16	30	34	26	-
Median .....	34.6	35.0+	35.0+	35.0	35.0+	35.0+	29.5	35.0+	-	35.0+	35.0+	31.6	34.1	35.0+	35.0+
\$5,000 to \$9,999 .....	108	129	139	190	105	405	369	450	-	143	79	64	141	176	211
Less than 20 percent .....	88	105	103	134	85	207	222	208	-	8	25	18	92	62	80
20 to 24 percent .....	9	7	15	42	-	104	94	110	-	-	12	18	10	28	59
25 to 34 percent .....	8	17	14	7	20	62	48	89	-	9	35	23	36	63	67
35 percent or more .....	-	-	-	-	-	10	5	28	-	-	7	-	3	11	-
Not computed .....	3	-	7	7	-	22	-	15	-	126	-	5	-	12	5
Median .....	13.4	15.2	17.3	16.8	15.7	19.4	17.2	20.4	-	...	25.7	23.2	17.5	23.6	21.9
\$10,000 to \$14,999 .....	5	33	45	35	22	87	46	181	-	115	42	11	38	69	67
25 percent or more .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Not computed .....	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	106	-	-	-	5	4
Median .....	...	10.0-	10.0-	11.0	...	16.1	11.8	14.3	-	...	15.4	...	14.4	18.5	13.2
\$15,000 or more .....	11	14	-	15	-	34	10	39	-	88	10	25	-	28	5
25 percent or more .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not computed .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84	-	6	-	-	-
Median .....	...	...	-	...	-	10.0-	...	12.6	-	...	...	...	-	12.5	...

<sup>1</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.

Table H-2. Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

## Census Tracts

All year-round housing units .....	1 993	1 354	1 948	2 378	1 347	2 608	2 582	1 110	918	1 195	1 353	738	1 164	331	935
UNITS IN STRUCTURE															
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	1 935	1 351	1 907	2 310	1 292	2 478	2 465	1 077	909	1 181	1 328	738	1 152	331	691
2 .....	27	-	32	41	40	61	62	6	4	14	20	-	-	-	99
3 and 4 .....	-	3	-	5	-	30	33	11	5	-	5	-	8	-	50
5 to 49 .....	25	-	9	22	15	33	22	9	-	-	-	-	4	-	135
50 or more .....	6	-	-	-	-	6	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT															
1969 to March 1970 .....	57	102	162	286	78	162	281	49	29	87	66	30	36	42	134
1965 to 1968 .....	242	267	435	526	303	622	662	100	130	112	72	72	149	74	146
1960 to 1964 .....	424	316	611	488	237	607	494	209	130	124	189	164	251	56	199
1950 to 1959 .....	1 173	628	623	695	530	750	606	416	242	318	414	165	291	80	147
1940 to 1949 .....	63	21	87	187	109	243	310	278	152	216	181	110	228	34	224
1939 or earlier .....	34	20	30	196	90	224	229	58	235	338	431	197	209	45	85
HEATING EQUIPMENT															
Steam or hot water .....	-	-	5	5	11	19	6	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Warm air furnace .....	689	475	728	1 168	506	1 211	1 165	200	226	124	108	80	184	105	535
Built-in electric units .....	84	46	70	102	40	87	119	43	39	16	16	20	29	11	24
Floor, wall, or pipeless furnace .....	383	640	319	266	138	256	186	162	45	30	49	11	39	-	10
Other means or not heated .....	837	193	826	837	652	1 035	1 106	695	608	1 025	1 180	627	912	215	366
BASEMENT															
All units with basement .....	11	20	19	57	17	63	61	19	40	51	30	10	28	-	5
One-family houses with basement .....	11	20	19	57	17	63	61	19	40	51	30	10	28	-	5

## Census Tracts

## SELECTED EQUIPMENT

	Tract 0030	Tract 0031	Tract 0032	Tract 0033	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	Tract 0101	Tract 0102	Tract 0103	Tract 0104
With more than 1 bathroom .....	690	545	597	709	262	980	920	161	158	112	161	60	215	113	301
With public water supply .....	1 940	1 331	1 246	1 250	1 147	2 032	1 621	947	446	783	1 032	8	376	122	561
With public sewer .....	1 078	1 301	894	860	175	562	185	68	28	21	96	-	11	-	524
With air conditioning .....	1 584	1 136	971	1 685	821	1 739	1 624	556	338	398	489	186	489	134	560
Room unit(s) .....	1 192	834	608	1 147	568	1 013	1 001	441	219	348	415	163	414	49	141
Central system .....	392	302	363	538	253	726	623	115	119	50	74	23	75	85	419

## All occupied housing units

1 877	1 295	1 887	2 265	1 243	2 525	2 483	1 067	845	1 105	1 279	680	1 054	329	886
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----	-------	-----	-----

## YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

1968 to March 1970 .....	713	561	675	1 029	458	1 053	952	294	147	311	302	193	260	115	644
1965 to 1967 .....	409	315	464	416	259	500	524	216	166	115	218	75	187	80	81
1960 to 1964 .....	393	225	444	347	205	474	434	159	195	147	247	116	198	28	24
1950 to 1959 .....	337	181	297	306	279	373	389	259	132	236	307	113	197	48	64
1949 or earlier .....	25	13	7	167	42	125	184	139	205	296	205	183	212	58	73

## AUTOMOBILES AVAILABLE

1 .....	762	618	1 025	1 244	605	1 029	1 180	522	439	562	517	450	597	231	672
2 .....	863	586	682	832	455	1 065	935	319	238	285	319	60	271	73	146
3 or more .....	151	84	41	136	100	247	223	86	27	13	44	21	24	-	-
None .....	101	7	139	53	83	184	145	140	141	245	399	149	162	25	68

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units .....	379	142	300	369	213	495	348	202	94	164	261	71	150	...	640
Less than \$40 .....	-	-	32	-	11	13	5	18	5	17	90	-	11	...	-
\$40 to \$59 .....	4	-	57	8	5	12	12	53	15	42	56	35	31	...	33
\$60 to \$79 .....	14	-	16	42	40	76	53	56	25	15	51	-	45	...	41
\$80 to \$99 .....	58	8	32	51	81	80	76	14	24	9	26	10	17	...	18
\$100 to \$149 .....	163	46	76	178	54	122	103	27	-	19	4	-	6	...	87
\$150 to \$199 .....	88	61	71	61	12	130	48	6	-	-	-	-	-	...	13
\$200 to \$249 .....	20	23	9	3	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-
\$250 or more .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-
No cash rent .....	32	4	7	26	10	33	51	28	25	64	34	26	40	...	448
Median .....	\$131	\$162	\$104	\$119	\$89	\$114	\$101	\$64	\$67	\$55	\$45	\$56	\$68	...	\$101

## Census Tracts

GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME  
BY INCOME

Specified renter occupied units¹	379	142	300	349	213	495	348	202	94	144	261	71	150	...	640
Less than \$5,000	108	43	164	111	93	214	155	115	51	89	181	40	87	...	270
Less than 20 percent	-	-	36	-	11	8	11	33	5	14	28	6	22	...	25
20 to 24 percent	-	4	6	4	16	6	11	19	-	-	27	-	6	...	5
25 to 34 percent	16	-	39	32	31	47	17	16	-	5	46	12	6	...	25
35 percent or more	60	25	55	52	30	122	85	17	26	42	60	6	24	...	28
Not computed	32	14	28	23	5	31	31	30	20	28	20	16	29	...	187
Median	35.0+	35.0+	31.7	35.0+	30.5	35.0+	35.0+	22.5	35.0+	35.0+	30.5	...	26.7	...	29.6
\$5,000 to \$9,999	178	69	85	199	97	194	146	63	14	64	58	31	38	...	268
Less than 20 percent	50	8	25	79	56	91	93	52	9	32	54	21	21	...	26
20 to 24 percent	62	27	22	52	31	29	9	4	-	9	-	-	-	...	35
25 to 34 percent	55	30	30	59	-	56	24	7	-	-	-	-	6	...	26
35 percent or more	5	4	8	5	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-
Not computed	6	-	-	4	10	8	20	-	5	23	4	10	11	...	181
Median	22.9	24.9	24.0	21.8	17.3	20.3	17.2	13.6	...	12.9	12.4	...	13.8	...	22.5
\$10,000 to \$14,999	75	26	36	40	5	66	42	24	23	9	17	-	25	...	84
25 percent or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-
Not computed	-	-	-	4	-	-	5	7	-	9	10	-	-	...	81
Median	15.8	17.5	18.6	15.0	...	15.0	14.9	...	...	-	...	-	10.0-	...	-
\$15,000 or more	18	4	15	19	18	21	5	-	6	4	-	-	-	...	-
25 percent or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-
Not computed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	...	4
Median	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	-	...	-	...	-	-	...	...

Excludes one family homes on 10 acres or more.



Table H-2. Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units: 1970—Continued

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts	Santa Rosa County—Con					Totals for split tracts in Escambia County									
	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
All year-round housing units .....	1 800	2 254	2 337	1 981	1 337	1 799	2 400	2 044	2 042	1 034	951	1 742	1 204	2 343	2 444
UNITS IN STRUCTURE															
(includes mobile home or trailer) .....	979	1 940	2 244	1 918	1 126	1 431	2 280	1 663	1 775	900	775	1 688	1 091	2 191	2 534
1 .....	11	168	52	45	28	95	57	23	71	78	101	25	75	122	61
2 and 4 .....	6	37	20	-	-	49	-	15	6	35	18	14	-	54	30
5 to 49 .....	4	87	21	18	4	215	38	189	168	21	57	15	40	173	33
50 or more .....	-	24	-	-	179	9	25	176	42	-	-	-	-	5	6
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT															
1969 to March 1970 .....	78	77	182	147	120	33	141	204	330	23	4	20	9	51	167
1965 to 1968 .....	328	267	422	227	427	64	848	525	323	15	88	65	36	262	654
1960 to 1964 .....	264	663	573	448	265	59	822	464	514	84	121	146	70	139	617
1950 to 1959 .....	210	613	541	591	441	266	477	512	605	382	384	479	319	1 120	759
1940 to 1949 .....	66	210	225	286	37	664	22	208	203	292	216	761	420	686	243
1939 or earlier .....	54	426	394	282	47	713	90	153	87	238	138	271	352	287	224
HEATING EQUIPMENT															
Steam or hot water .....	15	374	-	65	5	54	-	6	10	11	14	-	5	4	19
Warm air furnace .....	508	713	861	505	1 014	78	1 857	1 174	987	56	76	195	144	558	1 264
Unit in electric units .....	28	37	53	53	68	79	78	195	71	22	14	13	14	72	87
Gas, oil, or pipeless furnace .....	42	124	97	78	90	159	156	163	281	74	56	256	175	759	265
Other means or not heated .....	407	1 008	1 326	1 280	160	1 429	309	528	713	871	791	1 278	868	1 152	1 035
BASEMENT															
All units with basement .....	19	49	87	53	57	27	44	74	25	26	21	26	3	87	63
One-family houses with basement .....	19	39	78	53	57	22	44	69	17	26	15	26	3	78	63

## ensus Tracts

## ELECTED EQUIPMENT

with more than 1 bathroom .....

with public water supply .....

with public sewer .....

with air conditioning .....

Room unit(s) .....

Central system .....

## All occupied housing units

## YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

1968 to March 1970 .....

1965 to 1967 .....

1960 to 1964 .....

1950 to 1959 .....

1949 or earlier .....

## AUTOMOBILES AVAILABLE

.....

.....

.....

one or more .....

one .....

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units .....

less than \$40 .....

\$40 to \$49 .....

\$50 to \$59 .....

\$60 to \$69 .....

\$70 to \$79 .....

\$80 to \$89 .....

\$90 to \$99 .....

\$100 to \$149 .....

\$150 to \$199 .....

\$200 to \$249 .....

\$250 or more .....

cash rent .....

median .....

## Santa Rosa County - Can

## Totals for split tracts in Escambia County

	Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
with more than 1 bathroom .....	420	639	538	570	1 055	117	1 769	762	562	89	35	147	112	466	1 029
with public water supply .....	395	2 131	1 150	639	1 224	1 806	2 370	1 963	1 887	1 048	917	1 725	1 201	2 472	2 094
with public sewer .....	237	1 975	62	194	403	1 627	1 587	924	556	549	174	238	231	536	605
with air conditioning .....	732	1 504	1 193	910	1 220	323	2 106	1 572	1 333	244	219	825	696	1 806	1 801
Room unit(s) .....	383	1 037	879	509	316	267	715	667	851	221	165	774	613	1 448	1 026
Central system .....	349	467	314	401	904	56	1 391	905	482	23	54	51	83	358	775
All occupied housing units .....	973	2 021	2 134	1 577	1 192	1 640	2 329	1 992	1 874	988	836	1 606	1 110	2 320	2 587
YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT															
1968 to March 1970 .....	443	867	859	620	578	465	1 014	944	730	187	188	504	449	1 045	1 081
1965 to 1967 .....	243	324	393	255	226	237	621	332	399	142	152	255	138	258	508
1960 to 1964 .....	176	366	337	291	219	301	460	301	333	272	149	148	80	298	493
1950 to 1959 .....	77	241	295	260	169	233	208	227	283	215	229	290	151	424	380
1949 or earlier .....	34	223	250	151	-	424	26	188	129	172	118	409	292	295	125
AUTOMOBILES AVAILABLE															
.....	593	1 055	1 108	732	400	701	814	918	810	524	360	843	572	1 258	1 042
.....	249	558	654	483	606	175	1 208	783	678	111	144	406	346	743	1 094
.....	66	119	88	122	154	34	246	175	130	8	32	87	38	118	267
one or more .....	65	289	284	240	32	750	61	116	256	345	300	270	154	201	184
GROSS RENT															
Specified renter occupied units .....	234	607	479	304	279	830	339	642	478	347	334	490	387	855	499
less than \$40 .....	-	36	8	29	-	71	-	-	-	25	24	-	-	-	13
\$40 to \$49 .....	4	57	45	9	-	360	16	11	101	115	126	84	38	39	12
\$50 to \$59 .....	60	96	113	54	5	247	20	31	47	97	71	103	61	136	76
\$60 to \$69 .....	56	69	91	48	5	72	15	81	49	54	42	122	126	166	80
\$70 to \$79 .....	41	205	118	92	34	40	20	149	142	27	25	128	114	306	122
\$80 to \$89 .....	42	109	31	24	130	5	179	320	66	-	30	7	16	138	130
\$90 to \$99 .....	-	-	-	6	89	-	59	41	7	-	4	-	-	44	29
\$100 to \$149 .....	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	4
\$150 to \$199 .....	-	-	-	-	9	35	30	23	61	29	14	46	25	26	33
cash rent .....	33	35	73	42	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
median .....	\$93	\$108	\$87	\$93	\$185	\$58	\$173	\$157	\$103	\$66	\$62	\$87	\$93	\$109	\$115

## Census Tracts

GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME  
BY INCOMESpecified renter occupied units<sup>1</sup>

Less than \$5,000.....	236	607	479	304	279
Less than 20 percent.....	93	283	250	159	80
20 to 24 percent.....	6	23	25	28	-
25 to 34 percent.....	28	17	27	25	-
35 percent or more.....	33	41	56	27	-
Not computed.....	26	174	93	36	70
Median.....	26	28	49	43	10
	34.8	35.0+	33.7	26.9	35.0+
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	99	241	184	81	66
Less than 20 percent.....	65	103	108	27	6
20 to 24 percent.....	20	42	32	22	17
25 to 34 percent.....	8	59	19	27	43
35 percent or more.....	-	15	-	-	-
Not computed.....	6	22	25	5	-
Median.....	15.8	20.8	15.8	22.5	27.3
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	27	78	29	52	76
25 percent or more.....	-	-	-	-	-
Not computed.....	-	-	4	9	5
Median.....	15.8	14.1	10.0-	16.0	17.8
\$15,000 or more.....	17	5	16	12	57
25 percent or more.....	-	-	-	-	-
Not computed.....	6	-	5	-	-
Median.....	...	...	...	...	13.5

## Santa Rosa County - Con

## Totals for split tracts in Escambia County

Tract 0105	Tract 0106	Tract 0107	Tract 0108	Tract 0109	Tract 0004	Tract 0011	Tract 0012	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0019	Tract 0021	Tract 0035
830	339	642	478	347	336	490	387	855	499					
555	95	197	252	232	212	294	135	318	218					
134	25	-	11	40	51	24	10	9	8					
70	-	4	8	26	17	26	22	29	6					
100	5	35	67	41	37	50	23	61	47					
210	55	133	109	65	96	128	47	191	126					
41	10	25	57	60	11	66	33	28	31					
30.3	35.0+	35.0+	35.0+	29.9	33.8	35.0+	33.3	35.0+	35.0+					
249	99	287	146	99	108	147	202	416	194					
210	14	74	85	95	88	111	138	218	91					
19	11	49	27	-	9	15	50	104	29					
15	38	113	19	-	8	14	7	62	56					
-	11	46	5	-	-	-	-	10	10					
5	25	5	10	4	3	7	7	22	8					
12.5	28.2	26.6	18.4	13.1	13.4	17.3	16.9	19.3	20.3					
16	101	117	64	11	5	49	35	87	66					
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-					
...	18.0	17.2	16.6	...	...	10.0-	11.0	16.1	15.0					
10	44	61	16	5	11	-	15	34	21					
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
6	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-					
...	13.5	10.0-	...	...	...	-	...	10.0-	...					

<sup>1</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more

Table H-3. **Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units With Negro Head of Household: 1970**

(For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text)

Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
All occupied housing units .....	10 979	10 474	5 649	4 825	505	597	153	1 472	236	1 539	439	345	139	186	437
<b>TENURE AND PLUMBING</b>															
Owner occupied .....	6 489	6 160	2 859	3 301	329	266	94	640	135	770	174	269	117	62	270
With all plumbing facilities .....	5 144	4 938	2 496	2 442	206	224	74	568	121	633	151	258	113	60	237
Renter occupied .....	4 490	4 314	2 790	1 524	176	331	59	832	101	769	265	96	22	124	167
With all plumbing facilities .....	2 872	2 797	1 967	830	75	199	48	673	59	443	210	82	20	124	89
<b>ROOMS</b>															
1 room .....	196	186	148	38	10	37	-	32	6	50	11	1	1	1	9
2 rooms .....	507	480	314	166	27	31	5	77	30	110	18	8	2	2	27
3 and 4 rooms .....	4 475	4 248	2 377	1 871	227	252	64	719	100	669	180	91	36	49	185
5 and 6 rooms .....	4 543	4 345	2 128	2 217	198	196	67	499	71	526	182	182	81	128	171
7 rooms or more .....	1 258	1 215	682	533	43	81	17	145	29	184	48	83	19	6	45
Median .....	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.6	5.4	5.1	4.9	4.5
<b>PERSONS</b>															
1 person .....	1 876	1 771	1 131	640	105	151	20	260	48	388	75	48	12	9	108
2 and 3 persons .....	4 052	3 880	2 231	1 649	172	239	66	569	97	615	159	172	55	61	161
4 and 5 persons .....	2 552	2 437	1 232	1 205	115	104	30	337	55	300	96	84	43	74	95
6 persons or more .....	2 499	2 386	1 055	1 331	113	103	37	306	36	236	109	61	29	42	73
Median .....	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.7	3.2	2.5	3.1	3.1	2.8	2.5	3.3	2.9	3.6	4.0	2.7
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers .....	406	389	266	123	17	36	12	42	14	90	26	11	4	1	23
<b>PERSONS PER ROOM</b>															
1.00 or less .....	8 169	7 797	4 375	3 422	372	474	118	1 082	181	1 234	313	306	106	137	355
1.01 to 1.50 .....	1 568	1 499	697	802	69	66	14	210	32	144	79	38	26	38	42
1.51 or more .....	1 242	1 178	577	601	64	57	21	180	23	161	47	21	7	11	40
Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more .....	1 892	1 826	956	870	66	77	26	323	36	188	109	50	30	49	54



# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## VALUE

Specified owner occupied units .....	5 893	5 600	2 644	2 956	293	243	86	596	126	705	159	254	113	56	250
Less than \$5 000 .....	1 594	1 468	562	906	126	72	31	138	26	186	33	15	5	1	48
\$5 000 to \$9 999 .....	2 405	2 287	1 151	1 136	118	112	36	305	32	322	94	61	23	37	117
\$10 000 to \$14 999 .....	1 180	1 152	563	589	28	41	11	95	31	142	23	80	51	15	55
\$15 000 to \$19 999 .....	459	443	223	220	16	12	4	38	24	35	6	41	27	2	20
\$20 000 to \$34 999 .....	203	198	117	81	5	4	2	16	11	17	1	48	5	1	8
\$35 000 or more .....	52	52	28	24	-	2	2	4	2	3	2	9	2	-	2
Median .....	\$7 400	\$7 500	\$8 100	\$7 100	\$5 600	\$6 900	\$6 700	\$7 100	\$10 500	\$7 200	\$7 200	\$12 900	\$12 900	\$9 100	\$8 200

## CONTRACT RENT

Specified renter occupied units? .....	3 992	3 882	2 575	1 307	110	309	52	747	94	720	247	89	20	118	157
Median .....	\$43	\$44	\$45	\$41	\$30 -	\$44	\$50	\$45	\$47	\$42	\$48	\$55	\$54	\$49	\$40

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

All occupied housing units .....	174	449	644	400	124	276	242	753	116	254	86	300	102	119	419
----------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

## TENURE AND PLUMBING

Owner occupied .....	131	298	421	169	80	211	183	636	104	205	69	212	70	86	276
With all plumbing facilities .....	106	247	317	128	72	166	148	553	99	131	31	125	33	37	130
Renter occupied .....	43	171	245	231	44	65	59	117	12	49	17	88	32	33	143
With all plumbing facilities .....	22	92	109	208	39	29	42	50	9	20	7	25	3	5	24

## ROOMS

1 room .....	-	2	12	1	-	3	-	3	-	4	1	1	1	2	4
2 rooms .....	3	13	37	10	3	15	9	18	1	4	6	5	7	5	19
3 and 4 rooms .....	55	212	280	143	54	83	85	253	22	108	31	140	35	47	176
5 and 6 rooms .....	95	184	280	214	53	142	107	384	80	112	34	123	53	57	165
7 rooms or more .....	21	58	57	32	14	33	41	95	13	26	14	31	6	8	55
Median .....	5.0	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.5	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6

Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola										
	Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	
5 893	5 600	2 644	2 956	293	243	86	596	126	705	159	254	113	56	250	
1 594	1 468	562	906	126	72	31	138	26	186	33	15	5	1	48	
2 405	2 287	1 151	1 136	118	112	36	305	32	322	94	61	23	37	117	
1 180	1 152	563	589	28	41	11	95	31	142	23	80	51	15	55	
459	443	223	220	16	12	4	38	24	35	6	41	27	2	20	
203	198	117	81	5	4	2	16	11	17	1	48	5	1	8	
52	52	28	24	-	2	2	4	2	3	2	9	2	-	2	
\$7 400	\$7 500	\$8 100	\$7 100	\$5 600	\$6 900	\$6 700	\$7 100	\$10 500	\$7 200	\$7 200	\$12 900	\$12 900	\$9 100	\$8 200	
3 992	3 882	2 575	1 307	110	309	52	747	94	720	247	89	20	118	157	
\$43	\$44	\$45	\$41	\$30 -	\$44	\$50	\$45	\$47	\$42	\$48	\$55	\$54	\$49	\$40	
Balance of Escambia County															
Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040	
174	449	644	400	124	276	242	753	116	254	86	300	102	119	419	
131	298	421	169	80	211	183	636	104	205	69	212	70	86	276	
106	247	317	128	72	166	148	553	99	131	31	125	33	37	130	
43	171	245	231	44	65	59	117	12	49	17	88	32	33	143	
22	92	109	208	39	29	42	50	9	20	7	25	3	5	24	
-	2	12	1	-	3	-	3	-	4	1	1	1	2	4	
3	13	37	10	3	15	9	18	1	4	6	5	7	5	19	
55	212	280	143	54	83	85	253	22	108	31	140	35	47	176	
95	184	280	214	53	142	107	384	80	112	34	123	53	57	165	
21	58	57	32	14	33	41	95	13	26	14	31	6	8	55	
5.0	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.5	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## PERSONS

1 person.....	24	67	103	19	15	28	43	74	6	33	11	43	12	20	117
2 and 3 persons.....	62	168	232	130	50	111	90	228	33	100	26	68	34	33	126
4 and 5 persons.....	43	109	154	122	34	66	57	213	33	55	22	73	19	24	86
6 persons or more.....	45	125	177	129	25	71	52	238	44	66	27	116	37	42	90
Median.....	3.6	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.4	3.5	3.2	4.1	4.4	3.4	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	2.8
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers.....	8	17	19	11	3	2	10	16	3	6	2	6	-	3	8

## PERSONS PER ROOM

1.00 or less.....	131	337	467	268	87	204	195	518	81	180	62	176	60	73	318
1.01 to 1.50.....	26	72	109	90	23	42	28	129	20	45	15	60	26	26	55
1.51 or more.....	17	60	90	42	12	30	19	106	15	29	9	64	16	20	46
Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more.....	23	90	127	109	30	52	38	171	31	39	7	55	18	16	21

## VALUE

Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	124	275	378	152	76	186	163	575	101	181	52	194	56	68	242
Less than \$5,000.....	20	54	109	42	12	47	61	122	6	61	25	86	30	38	157
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	44	154	179	65	38	45	73	166	28	78	17	68	24	25	62
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	32	47	55	29	16	61	18	191	56	23	6	12	1	4	10
\$15,000 to \$19,999.....	13	11	24	8	8	24	7	79	8	12	2	6	-	-	8
\$20,000 to \$24,999.....	15	5	8	6	2	6	3	17	3	4	1	1	1	1	3
\$25,000 or more.....	-	4	3	2	-	3	1	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	2
Median.....	\$9,700	\$7,600	\$6,900	\$7,100	\$8,200	\$10,100	\$6,100	\$10,000	\$11,100	\$6,700	\$5,300	\$5,400	\$10,000 -	\$1,000 -	\$5,000 -

## CONTRACT RENT

Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup> .....	33	158	225	210	43	57	52	101	10	43	9	75	19	12	109
Median.....	\$44	\$39	\$39	\$47	\$51	\$45	\$49	\$37	\$50	\$42	\$30	\$30 -	\$30 -	\$30 -	\$30 -

Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more and all "no cash rent" units.

## Balance of Escambia County

Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040
24	67	103	19	15	28	43	74	6	33	11	43	12	20	117
62	168	232	130	50	111	90	228	33	100	26	68	34	33	126
43	109	154	122	34	66	57	213	33	55	22	73	19	24	86
45	125	177	129	25	71	52	238	44	66	27	116	37	42	90
3.6	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.4	3.5	3.2	4.1	4.4	3.4	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	2.8
8	17	19	11	3	2	10	16	3	6	2	6	-	3	8
131	337	467	268	87	204	195	518	81	180	62	176	60	73	318
26	72	109	90	23	42	28	129	20	45	15	60	26	26	55
17	60	90	42	12	30	19	106	15	29	9	64	16	20	46
23	90	127	109	30	52	38	171	31	39	7	55	18	16	21
124	275	378	152	76	186	163	575	101	181	52	194	56	68	242
20	54	109	42	12	47	61	122	6	61	25	86	30	38	157
44	154	179	65	38	45	73	166	28	78	17	68	24	25	62
32	47	55	29	16	61	18	191	56	23	6	12	1	4	10
13	11	24	8	8	24	7	79	8	12	2	6	-	-	8
15	5	8	6	2	6	3	17	3	4	1	1	1	1	3
-	4	3	2	-	3	1	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	2
\$9,700	\$7,600	\$6,900	\$7,100	\$8,200	\$10,100	\$6,100	\$10,000	\$11,100	\$6,700	\$5,300	\$5,400	\$10,000 -	\$1,000 -	\$5,000 -
33	158	225	210	43	57	52	101	10	43	9	75	19	12	109
\$44	\$39	\$39	\$47	\$51	\$45	\$49	\$37	\$50	\$42	\$30	\$30 -	\$30 -	\$30 -	\$30 -

Table H-3. • **Occupancy, Utilization, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units With Negro Head of Household: 1970—Continued**

[For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population	Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0025
All occupied housing units .....	227	1 816	268	906	693	134	234
<b>TENURE AND PLUMBING</b>							
Owner occupied .....	145	653	193	568	439	80	205
With all plumbing facilities .....	99	579	166	484	330	72	131
Renter occupied .....	92	863	167	338	254	44	49
With all plumbing facilities .....	40	687	146	181	114	39	20
<b>ROOMS</b>							
1 room .....	4	33	1	11	12	-	4
2 rooms .....	10	79	5	40	41	3	4
3 and 4 rooms .....	100	748	104	397	293	54	108
5 and 6 rooms .....	104	508	223	355	286	53	112
7 rooms or more .....	19	148	27	103	61	14	26
Median .....	4.6	4.2	4.9	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7
<b>PERSONS</b>							
1 person .....	48	270	33	175	108	15	33
2 and 3 persons .....	81	587	123	329	241	50	100
4 and 5 persons .....	56	347	117	204	159	34	55
6 persons or more .....	52	312	87	198	185	25	66
Median .....	3.2	3.1	3.9	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.4
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers .....	9	44	9	40	19	3	6
<b>PERSONS PER ROOM</b>							
1.00 or less .....	175	1 115	268	692	484	89	180
1.01 to 1.50 .....	31	215	64	114	113	23	45
1.51 or more .....	31	186	28	100	96	12	29
Units with all plumbing facilities—1.01 or more .....	33	330	72	144	134	30	39

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## VALUE

Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	126
Less than \$5,000 .....	36
\$5,000 to \$9,999 .....	61
\$10,000 to \$14,999 .....	16
\$15,000 to \$19,999 .....	10
\$20,000 to \$34,999 .....	3
\$35,000 or more .....	-
Median .....	\$6 400

## CONTRACT RENT

Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup> .....	69
Median .....	\$30 -

Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0035
126	608	180	525	396	76	181
36	142	21	102	115	12	61
61	311	81	271	186	38	78
16	96	47	102	60	16	23
10	38	15	31	24	8	12
3	17	16	13	8	2	4
-	4	-	6	3	-	3
\$6 400	\$7 100	\$9 300	\$7 800	\$6 900	\$8 200	\$6 700
69	777	151	315	234	43	43
\$30 -	\$44	\$49	\$40	\$39	\$51	\$42

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more and all "no cash rent" units.



Table H-4. Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units With Negro Head of Household: 1970

(Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.)

Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population	Total SMSA	Escombia County			Santa Rosa County	Pensacola									
		Total	Pen- sacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
All occupied housing units .....	10 907	10 400	5 640	4 740	507	604	141	1 485	224	1 555	436	343	151	195	434
<b>UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>															
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	9 359	8 892	4 651	4 241	467	524	127	1 177	208	1 241	327	347	146	106	383
2 to 4 .....	924	893	613	280	31	68	14	116	16	272	53	16	-	-	41
5 or more .....	624	615	396	219	9	12	-	192	-	42	56	-	5	89	-
<b>YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>															
1960 to March 1970 .....	2 328	2 146	514	1 632	182	16	11	129	11	55	15	35	21	141	58
1950 to 1959 .....	2 352	2 311	757	1 554	41	23	20	178	37	80	30	136	88	54	84
1949 or earlier .....	6 227	5 943	4 389	1 554	284	565	110	1 178	176	1 420	391	192	42	-	282
<b>SELECTED EQUIPMENT</b>															
With air conditioning .....	1 944	1 877	1 015	862	67	53	51	215	76	180	27	138	70	34	124
With more than 1 bathroom .....	826	794	477	317	32	19	21	70	50	119	6	105	28	-	47
With central or built-in heating system .....	2 282	2 222	1 126	1 096	60	41	16	242	63	165	48	205	66	161	87
With public water supply .....	9 877	9 574	5 652	3 922	303	597	126	1 492	225	1 543	437	363	157	186	440
With public sewer .....	6 377	6 238	5 361	877	139	597	89	1 415	219	1 515	437	358	151	131	384
With automobile(s) available .....	6 254	6 021	2 966	3 055	233	236	93	791	143	697	221	268	113	64	266
1 .....	4 725	4 547	2 301	2 246	178	157	47	626	98	597	201	171	93	52	219
2 or more .....	1 529	1 474	665	809	55	79	46	165	45	100	20	97	20	12	47
<b>YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT</b>															
1968 to March 1970 .....	3 060	2 889	1 722	1 167	171	203	11	409	61	481	152	72	50	140	92
1960 to 1967 .....	3 978	3 805	1 913	1 892	173	201	56	489	51	500	134	153	85	27	195
1959 or earlier .....	3 914	3 724	2 023	1 701	190	193	59	594	113	562	151	138	22	19	153

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units<sup>1</sup>

Less than \$40	483
\$40 to \$59	1 495
\$60 to \$79	1 207
\$80 to \$99	539
\$100 to \$149	228
\$150 to \$199	38
\$200 or more	-
No cash rent	287
Median	\$60

## GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY INCOME

Less than \$10,000	4 101
25 percent or more	1 838
35 percent or more	1 218
Not computed	374
Median	24.7

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

All occupied housing units

## UNITS IN STRUCTURE

1 (includes mobile home or trailer)	158
2 to 4	4
5 or more	-

## YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT

1960 to March 1970	56
1950 to 1959	50
1949 or earlier	56

Total S.M.S.A.	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County	Panhandle									
	Total	Pan- sacola	Balance		Tract 0002	Tract 0003	Tract 0004	Tract 0005	Tract 0006	Tract 0007	Tract 0008	Tract 0013	Tract 0014	Tract 0015
4 277	4 097	2 674	1 423	180	325	42	759	76	792	262	91	32	121	-
483	442	248	194	41	29	-	71	-	100	31	-	-	-	-
1 495	1 456	973	483	39	84	9	341	25	267	109	5	5	84	-
1 207	1 169	836	333	38	148	17	221	34	247	49	48	5	19	-
539	530	328	202	9	56	6	58	8	90	47	15	17	5	-
228	222	150	72	6	8	-	40	6	64	5	9	-	6	-
38	38	20	18	-	-	-	-	3	-	5	-	5	7	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
287	240	119	121	47	-	10	28	-	24	16	14	-	-	-
\$60	\$61	\$61	\$59	\$49	\$68	\$68	\$57	\$68	\$62	\$57	\$74	\$85	\$52	-
Balance of Escambia County														
	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039
162	491	669	408	108	275	262	768	92	258	59	305	96	102	-
158	411	572	241	100	262	246	749	86	249	59	296	96	102	-
4	59	87	12	8	13	16	19	6	9	-	-	-	-	-
-	21	10	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
56	64	149	220	32	135	33	446	72	99	20	106	30	34	-
50	255	281	128	45	59	67	257	6	91	20	109	11	17	-
56	172	239	60	31	81	162	65	14	68	19	90	55	51	-

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## SELECTED EQUIPMENT

With air conditioning .....	60	89	92	139	24	54	73	145	46	-	-	19	-	8	44
With more than 1 bathroom .....	40	31	27	14	-	45	-	100	-	-	13	6	-	6	-
With central or built-in heating system .....	61	45	96	196	5	105	34	321	49	47	10	24	6	-	30
With public water supply .....	126	499	642	398	85	258	254	471	75	163	19	226	51	90	326
With public sewer .....	32	88	74	207	-	12	5	235	64	13	-	20	-	-	13
With automobiles available .....	124	340	373	237	47	220	159	664	82	156	33	192	36	58	133
1 .....	78	273	252	184	28	159	114	465	41	118	12	162	36	45	117
2 or more .....	46	67	121	53	19	61	45	199	41	38	21	30	-	13	16

## YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

1968 to March 1970 .....	17	89	127	230	43	30	54	188	13	60	13	64	15	32	72
1960 to 1967 .....	71	203	268	116	25	151	69	408	69	110	24	124	40	14	116
1959 or earlier .....	76	207	265	79	17	92	145	169	-	91	21	132	42	69	224

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units .....	...	180	251	213	60	42	70	114	...	56	...	90	26	...	132
Less than \$40 .....	...	8	24	-	-	11	10	32	...	-	...	18	5	...	76
\$40 to \$59 .....	...	77	101	134	8	-	-	41	...	6	...	39	6	...	30
\$60 to \$79 .....	...	52	71	57	22	-	14	11	...	28	...	8	9	...	6
\$80 to \$99 .....	...	24	34	14	15	15	36	12	...	7	...	10	6	...	6
\$100 to \$149 .....	...	15	15	-	7	-	-	5	...	-	...	-	-	...	-
\$150 to \$199 .....	...	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	...	7	...	-	-	...	-
\$200 or more .....	...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-	...	-	-	...	-
No cash rent .....	...	4	6	8	8	16	10	7	...	8	...	15	-	...	14
Median .....	...	\$62	\$60	\$50	\$78	\$83	\$82	\$50	...	\$66	...	\$52	\$62	...	\$36

## GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY INCOME

Less than \$10,000 .....	...	180	246	209	48	42	65	114	...	56	...	90	26	...	128
25 percent or more .....	...	83	108	111	29	18	35	52	...	35	...	27	21	...	88
35 percent or more .....	...	48	71	71	15	18	20	35	...	28	...	17	21	...	46
Not computed .....	...	22	6	18	3	16	10	18	...	8	...	24	-	...	10
Median .....	...	26.1	20.4	28.9	29.6	35.0+	30.0	27.4	...	35.0+	...	19.4	35.0+	...	31.9

Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more

Balance of Escomb County

Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0017	Tract 0018	Tract 0020	Tract 0027	Tract 0032	Tract 0034	Tract 0035	Tract 0036	Tract 0037	Tract 0038	Tract 0039	Tract 0040
60	89	92	139	24	54	73	145	46	-	-	19	-	8	44
40	31	27	14	-	45	-	100	-	-	13	6	-	6	-
61	45	96	196	5	105	34	321	49	47	10	24	6	-	30
126	499	642	398	85	258	254	471	75	163	19	226	51	90	326
32	88	74	207	-	12	5	235	64	13	-	20	-	-	13
124	340	373	237	47	220	159	664	82	156	33	192	36	58	133
78	273	252	184	28	159	114	465	41	118	12	162	36	45	117
46	67	121	53	19	61	45	199	41	38	21	30	-	13	16
17	89	127	230	43	30	54	188	13	60	13	64	15	32	72
71	203	268	116	25	151	69	408	69	110	24	124	40	14	116
76	207	265	79	17	92	145	169	-	91	21	132	42	69	224
...	180	251	213	60	42	70	114	...	56	...	90	26	...	132
...	8	24	-	-	11	10	32	...	-	...	18	5	...	76
...	77	101	134	8	-	-	41	...	6	...	39	6	...	30
...	52	71	57	22	-	14	11	...	28	...	8	9	...	6
...	24	34	14	15	15	36	12	...	7	...	10	6	...	6
...	15	15	-	7	-	-	5	...	-	...	-	-	...	-
...	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	...	7	...	-	-	...	-
...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...	-	...	-	-	...	-
...	4	6	8	8	16	10	7	...	8	...	15	-	...	14
...	\$62	\$60	\$50	\$78	\$83	\$82	\$50	...	\$66	...	\$52	\$62	...	\$36
...	180	246	209	48	42	65	114	...	56	...	90	26	...	128
...	83	108	111	29	18	35	52	...	35	...	27	21	...	88
...	48	71	71	15	18	20	35	...	28	...	17	21	...	46
...	22	6	18	3	16	10	18	...	8	...	24	-	...	10
...	26.1	20.4	28.9	29.6	35.0+	30.0	27.4	...	35.0+	...	19.4	35.0+	...	31.9

Table H-4.\* Structural, Equipment, and Financial Characteristics of Housing Units With Negro Head of Household:  
1970—Continued

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text]

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Negro  
Population

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and weighting of symbols, see text.]

Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population	Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
	Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0035
All occupied housing units .....	261	1 522	237	915	696	108	238
UNITS IN STRUCTURE							
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	230	1 201	264	794	593	100	249
2 to 4 .....	31	125	4	100	93	8	9
5 or more .....	-	196	89	21	10	-	-
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT							
1960 to March 1970 .....	106	129	197	122	149	32	99
1950 to 1959 .....	20	192	104	339	292	45	91
1949 or earlier .....	135	1 201	56	454	255	31	68
SELECTED EQUIPMENT							
With air conditioning .....	58	229	94	213	105	24	-
With more than 1 bathroom .....	16	70	40	78	27	-	-
With central or built-in heating system .....	35	247	222	132	101	5	47
With public water supply .....	220	1 532	312	939	670	85	163
With public sewer .....	121	1 450	163	472	81	-	13
With automobile(s) available .....	114	811	188	606	395	47	156
1 .....	85	639	130	492	258	28	118
2 or more .....	29	172	58	114	137	19	38
YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT							
1968 to March 1970 .....	97	418	157	181	142	43	60
1960 to 1967 .....	104	506	98	398	268	25	110
1959 or earlier .....	77	608	95	360	278	17	91

# Census Tracts With 400 or More Negro Population

## GROSS RENT

Specified renter occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	94
Less than \$40 .....	16
\$40 to \$59 .....	34
\$60 to \$79 .....	23
\$80 to \$99 .....	-
\$100 to \$149 .....	-
\$150 to \$199 .....	-
\$200 or more .....	-
No cash rent .....	21
Median .....	\$47

## GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY INCOME

Less than \$10 000 .....	88
25 percent or more .....	40
35 percent or more .....	31
Not computed .....	21
Median .....	32.2

Santa Rosa County	Totals for split tracts in Escambia County					
Tract 0106	Tract 0004	Tract 0014	Tract 0015	Tract 0016	Tract 0018	Tract 0035
	784	142	334	257	48	54
	71	-	25	24	-	-
	350	88	115	107	8	6
	230	31	94	71	22	28
	67	5	50	34	15	7
	40	11	27	15	7	-
	-	7	-	-	-	7
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	28	-	25	6	8	8
	\$57	\$54	\$65	\$59	\$78	\$66
	760	142	320	252	48	54
	309	96	106	108	29	35
	205	60	65	71	15	28
	39	4	60	6	3	8
	21.7	32.5	20.4	21.1	29.6	35.0+

<sup>1</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.



Table H-5. Characteristics of Housing Units With Household Head of Spanish Language: 1970

[Data based on sample, see text. For minimum base for derived figures (percent, median, etc.) and meaning of symbols, see text.]

Census Tracts With  
400 or More Persons  
of Spanish Language

	Total SAASA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Pensacola	Balance	
All occupied housing units .....	781	710	259	451	71
<b>TENURE AND PLUMBING</b>					
Owner occupied .....	491	470	172	298	21
With all plumbing facilities .....	472	451	160	291	...
Renter occupied .....	290	240	87	153	50
With all plumbing facilities .....	269	219	87	132	50
<b>ROOMS</b>					
1 room .....	6	6	-	6	-
2 rooms .....	7	7	7	-	-
3 and 4 rooms .....	234	188	49	139	46
5 and 6 rooms .....	420	395	135	260	25
7 rooms or more .....	114	114	68	46	-
<b>UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>					
1 (includes mobile home or trailer) .....	695	650	233	417	45
2 to 4 .....	34	22	-	22	12
5 or more .....	52	38	26	12	14
<b>YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT</b>					
1960 to March 1970 .....	272	241	67	174	31
1950 to 1959 .....	218	200	85	115	18
1949 or earlier .....	291	269	107	162	22

# **Census Tracts With 400 or More Persons of Spanish Language**

## **PERSONS**

1 person .....	46
2 and 3 persons .....	390
4 and 5 persons .....	226
6 persons or more .....	119
Median .....	3.2
Units with roomers, boarders, or lodgers .....	5

## **PERSONS PER ROOM**

1.00 or less .....	698
1.01 to 1.50 .....	70
1.51 or more .....	13
Units with all plumbing facilities - 1.01 or more .....	66

## **YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT**

1968 to March 1970 .....	423
1960 to 1967 .....	220
1959 or earlier .....	138

## **SELECTED EQUIPMENT**

With air conditioning .....	537
With more than 1 bathroom .....	258
With central or built-in heating system .....	524
With public water supply .....	708
With public sewer .....	474
With automobile(s) available .....	704
1 .....	357
2 or more .....	347

	Total SMSA	Escombia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Panhandle	Balance	
		46	29	17	-
		351	114	237	39
		201	70	131	25
		112	46	66	7
		3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3
		5	-	5	-
		634	243	391	64
		63	16	47	7
		13	-	13	-
		59	16	43	7
		371	106	265	52
		201	74	127	19
		138	79	59	-
		501	174	327	36
		233	104	129	25
		489	219	270	35
		658	259	399	50
		424	240	184	50
		639	227	412	65
		313	85	228	44
		326	142	184	21

# **Census Tracts With 400 or More Persons of Spanish Language**

## **VALUE**

	Total SMSA	Escambia County			Santa Rosa County
		Total	Pensacola	Balance	
Specified owner occupied units <sup>1</sup> .....	432	418	158	260	...
Less than \$5,000.....	48	48	12	36	...
\$5,000 to \$9,999.....	91	91	28	63	...
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	122	122	44	78	...
\$15,000 to \$19,999.....	88	81	46	35	...
\$20,000 to \$34,999.....	71	64	22	42	...
\$35,000 or more.....	12	12	6	6	...
Median.....	\$13 100	\$12 800	\$14 100	\$12 400	...

## **GROSS RENT**

Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup> .....	271	221	87	134	50
Less than \$40.....	-	-	-	-	-
\$40 to \$59.....	24	17	17	-	7
\$60 to \$79.....	49	49	14	35	-
\$80 to \$99.....	16	16	6	10	-
\$100 to \$149.....	78	66	-	66	12
\$150 to \$199.....	45	39	32	7	6
\$200 or more.....	5	5	5	-	-
No cash rent.....	54	29	13	16	25
Median.....	\$108	\$106	\$100	\$106	...

## **CONTRACT RENT**

Specified renter occupied units <sup>2</sup> .....	271	221	87	134	50
Median.....	\$75	\$73	\$70	\$73	...

## **GROSS RENT AS PERCENTAGE OF INCOME BY INCOME**

Less than \$10,000.....	261	216	87	129	45
25 percent or more.....	118	111	46	65	7
35 percent or more.....	85	78	41	37	7
Not computed.....	44	24	13	11	30
Median.....	27.9	29.5	35.0+	27.1	...

<sup>1</sup>Limited to one-family homes on less than 10 acres and no business on property.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes one-family homes on 10 acres or more and all "no cash rent" units.

**EXHIBIT 8**  
**Voter Registration, City of Pensacola**

		TOTAL REGISTRATION	F.H.	DEMOCRATS		REPUBLICANS		OTHER	
				White	Colored	White	Colored	White	Colored
1944		33,437							
*1946			36,519	33,870	2,292	182	175		
1948	Prim.	39,633							
1948	Gen.	40,077		35,109	4,629	201	69	63	6
1950		45,394		38,874	5,972	230	261	49	8
1952	May	44,152		38,154	5,639	181	130	41	7
1952	Nov.	47,083		40,288	6,034	475	139	98	9
1954		49,824		42,437	6,383	675	162	159	8
1954	Nov.	50,103		42,622	6,457	689	170	155	10
*1955	Nov.		35,940						
*1956	May	56,746		48,464	6,527	1,315	206	202	32
1956	Nov.	56,880	39,078						
1957	Nov.		38,820						
1957	Dec.	56,870							
1958	Aug.	60,307		50,455	7,740	1,501	337	262	12
1958	Sept.	15,320 (School Bond)							
1959	Nov.	61,113	18,020	50,672	8,314	1,457	394	263	13
1960	May	57,464		48,138	7,898	972	274	172	10
1960	Nov.	63,013		51,950	8,789	1,590	344	325	15
1961	Nov.	62,980	46,261	51,859	8,807	1,627	351	321	15
1962	May	62,554		51,471	8,911	1,573	290	299	10

730

		TOTAL REGISTRATION	F.H.	DEMOCRATS		REPUBLICANS		OTHER	
				White	Colored	White	Colored	White	Colored
1962	Nov.	63,368		52,012	9,091	1,640	319	295	11
1963	Feb.	63,567		52,120	9,172	1,649	317	297	12
1963	April	25,239 (City)		(D&R) 18,774	6,465 (D&R)				
*1963	Oct.	66,302	48,524	53,410	10,500	1,743	327	310	12
1964	Jan.	54,872							
1964	May	65,501		52,299	10,813	1,852	262	266	9
1964	Nov.	73,691		56,984	12,898	2,979	346	463	21
1965	May	28,416 (City)		(D&R) 20,507	7,909 (D&R)				
1965	Oct.	74,675	52,870	57,474	13,096	3,245	343	498	19
*1965	Oct.	28,669 (City)							
1966	April	71,591		55,018	12,668	3,136	294	459	16
1966	Aug.	72,532		55,415	12,993	3,300	302	496	26
1966	Oct.	73,317	52,627	55,754	13,250	3,426	324	514	32

731

FEDERAL CENSUS, 1960 (Total) 100,426; Over 21 (Colored) 19,124



YEAR	TOTAL REGISTRATION	F.H.	DEMOCRATES		REPUBLICANS		OTHER	
			White	Colored	White	Colored	White	Colored
1967 Feb.	73,530	52,700	55,737	13,293	3,618	327	524	31
1967 April	73,647	52,732	55,768	13,293	3,705	328	523	30
1967 April	28,588 (City)	18,474	18,932	7,927	1,330	218	166	15
1967 Oct.	74,595	53,180	56,313	13,420	3,947	333	555	27
1968 April	72,104	52,895	54,853	12,238	4,150	249	572	42
1968 Oct.	81,590	57,261	60,650	13,214	6,200	317	1,175	34
1969 April	81,481	57,108	60,398	13,182	6,329	318	1,218	36
1969 April	29,042 (City)	18,652	18,861	7,505	2,172	185	299	20
1969 Oct.	81,598	57,002	60,284	13,263	6,434	330	1,232	55
1970 Aug.	80,371	56,162	59,065	12,738	6,976	292	1,260	40
1971 April	28,657 (City)	18,113	18,206	7,389	2,515	181	345	21
1971 May	81,148	55,948	59,470	12,907	7,100	306	1,328	37
1971 Oct.	84,610		51,468	13,378	7,737	323	1,632	36
1972 Mar.	82,478		60,748	12,494	7,582	258	1,315	28
1972 Aug.	90,961		65,208	13,664	9,973	288	1,785	43
1972 Oct.	95,731		67,292	14,068	11,627	312	2,374	58
1973 May	32,554 (City)							
1973 June	96,082		67,241	14,235	11,802	318	2,432	54

**EXHIBIT 14 Excerpts**  
**Computer Printouts**  
**Analyzing Voting Patterns for Selected Elections**  
**Page 8**

**STATISTICAL PACKAGE FOR THE SOCIAL  
SCIENCES (SPSSG031, 03/25/75)**

Run Name	County Commissioner, First Primary, District 2, 1966
Full Name	ANAL80
Variable List	INCOME, WDEM, WREP, WOTHERS, NDEM, NREP, NOTHERS, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7
Input Format	Fixed (T8, F5.0/T6, 6F4.0/ 12X, 7(F4.0, 3X))

Ignoring indefinite repetition, the input format provides for 14 variables. 14 will be read. It provides for 3 records ('Cards') per case. A maximum of 61 'columns' are used on a record.

No. of Cases	112
Input Medium	Card
Compute	White = WDEM + REP + WOTHERS
Compute	Repubs = WREP + NREP
Compute	Black = NDEM + NREP + NOTHERS
Compute	Total = White + Black
Compute	Black % = Black/Total * 100
Compute	Repubs % = Repubs/Total * 100
Compute	Vote = C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5 + C6 + C7
Compute	Turnout = Vote/Total * 100
Compute	Cobb % = C1/Vote * 100
Compute	Reed % = C3/Vote * 100
Transform	

Item	Actual	Maximum
VAPS Recoded	0	100
FILE NAME	ANAL 80	
Variable List	INCOME, WDEM, WREP, WOTHERS, NDEM, NREP, NOTHERS, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7	
Input Format	Fixed (T8, F5.0/T6, 6F4.0/ 12X, 7(F4.0, 3X))	

Ignoring indefinite repetition, the input format provides for 14 variables. 14 will be read. It provides for 3 records ('Cards') per case. A maximum of 61 'columns' are used on a record.

No. of Cases	112
Input Medium	Card
Missing Values	Income (0)
Compute	White = WDEM + WREP + WOTHERS
Compute	Repubs = WREP + NREP
Compute	Black = NDEM + NREP + NOTHERS
Compute	Total = White + Black
Compute	Black % = Black/Total * 100
Compute	Repubs % = Repubs/Total * 100
Compute	Vote = C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5 + C6 + C7
Compute	Turnout = Vote/Total * 100
Compute	COBB 6 = C1/Vote * 100
Compute	REED % = C3/Vote * 100

Item	Actual	Maximum
VAPS Recorded	0	100
Values Recoded	0	1044
Transformations	10	261
Math Operators	22	2088
Literal Values	2	194

Read Input Data	
Regression	Variables = Black %, Cobb %, Income, Turnout/ Regression = COBB % with Black %, In- come (1)/
Statistics	1, 2

\*\*\*\*Regression problem requires 480 Bytes workspace, not including residuals\*\*\*\*

Variable	Mean	Standard Dev	Cases
Blacks	17.2300	26.9808	111
COBB	39.2414	13.6790	111
Income	8927.8108	2156.4789	111
Turnout	49.8689	6.5020	111

## CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS

A value of \$9.00000 is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

	BLACK %	COBB %	INCOME TURNOUT	
BLACK %	1.00000	-0.80203	-0.52137	0.04373
COBB %	-0.80203	1.00000	0.61066	0.17122
INCOME	-0.52137	0.61066	1.00000	0.29879
TURNOUT	0.04373	0.17122	0.29879	1.00000

**MULTIPLE REGRESSION**  
Variable List 1 – Regression List 1

**DEPENDENT VARIABLE ... COBB %**

**Variables entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %**

Multiple R	0.80203	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
Square	0.64325	Regression	1.	13239.87231	13239.87231	196.53801
Adjusted R Square	0.64325	Residual	109.	7342.83440	67.36545	
Standard Error	8.20765					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Bet	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.40662	-0.80203	0.02900	196.538
(Constant)	46,24753			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
INCOME	0.26437	0.37771	0.72818	17.971

**Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 . . . INCOME**

Multiple R	0.83315	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.69415	Regression	2.	14287.41183	7143.70592	122.55506
Adjusted R Square	0.69134	Residual	108.	6295.29487	58.28977	
Standard Error	7.63477					



----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.33674	-0.66420	0.03162	113.433
Income	0.00168	0.26437	0.00040	17.971
(Constant)	30.07180			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
----------	---------	---------	-----------	---

Maximum step reached

SUMMARY TABLE

Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta
Black %	0.80203	0.64325	0.64325	-0.80203	-0.33674	-0.66420
Income	0.83315	0.69415	0.05089	0.61066	0.00168	0.26437
(Constant)					30.07180	

737


COUNTY COMMISSIONER FIRST PRIMARY, DISTRICT 2, 1966

Scattergram	Cobb % with Black %
Options	7
Statistics	All

Given space allows for 1832 Cases for 'Scattergram'

FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =  
SCATTERGRAM OF (DOWN) COBB %


(ACROSS) BLACK %



738

FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =  
SCATTERGRAM OF (DOWN) COBB %

(ACROSS) BLACK %



739

# STATISTICS

Correlation (R) -	-0.80279	R Squared -	0.64448	Significance -	0.00001
Std Err CF Est -	8.17034	Intercept (A) -	46.24572	Slope (B) -	0.40672
Floited Values -	112	Excluded Values -	0	Missing Values -	0

\*\*\*\*\* is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

----- Variables in the Equation -----				
Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	0.84520	0.99000	0.01468	3315.861
Income	0.00003	0.00324	0.00018	0.036
(Constant)	7.00191			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----				
Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F

Maximum step reached

#### SUMMARY TABLE


Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta
Black %	0.98831	0.97675	0.97675	0.98831	0.84520	0.99000
Income	0.98831	0.97675	0.00001	-0.51291	0.00003	0.00324
(Constant)					7.00191	

740

Scattergram      Reed % with Black %  
Statistics      All

Given space allows for 1831 Cases for 'Scattergram'

FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =  
 SCATTERGRAM OF (DOWN) REED % (ACROSS) BLACK %



741




(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =  
SCATTERGRAM OF

(DOWN) REED %


(ACROSS) BLACK %



741

FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =  
SCATTERGRAM OF (DOWN) REED %

(ACROSS) BLACK %



742

Regression Variables = BLACK %, REED %, INCOME, TURNOUT/  
 Regression = REED %, WITH BLACK %, INCOME (9)/  
 Statistics 1, 2

\*\*\*\*\* Regression problem requires 480 Bytes, workspace, not including residuals \*\*\*\*\*

Variable	Mean	Standard Dev	Cases
BLACK %	17.2300	26.9808	111
REED %	21.8739	23.0345	111
INCOME	8927.8108	2156.4789	111
TURNOUT	49.8689	6.5020	111

#### CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS

A value of 99.00000 is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

	Black %	Reed %	Income	Turnout
BLACK %	1.00000	0.98831	-0.52137	0.04373
REED %	0.98831	1.00000	-0.51291	-0.00379
INCOME %	-0.52137	-0.51291	1.00000	0.29879
TURNOUT %	0.04373	-0.00379	0.29879	1.00000

# COUNTY COMMISSIONER FIRST PRIMARY, DISTRICT 2, 1966

## MULTIPLE REGRESSION Variable List 1 - Regression List 1

DEPENDENT VARIABLE . . . REED %

Variables entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %

Multiple R	0.98831	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.97675	Regression	1.	57007.55184	57007.55184	4578.62302
Adjusted R Square	0.97675	Residual	109.	1357.13797	12.45081	
Standard Error	3.52857					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	0.84875	0.98831	0.01247	4578.623
(Constant)	7.33603			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Income	0.00324	0.01815	0.72818	0.036

Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 ... INCOME

Multiple R	0.98831	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.97675	Regression	2.	57007.99877	28503.99939	2269.07369
Adjusted R Square	0.97654	Residual	108.	1356.69104	12.56195	
Standard Error	3.54428					



## PAGE 13

Run Name Board of County Commissioners, First Primary,  
District 5, 1968  
File Name ANAL60  
Variable List INCOME,  
WDEM, WREP, WOTHERS, NDEM,  
NREP, NOTHERS,  
C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7  
Input Format Fixed (T8, F5.0/T6, 2F4.0, F3.0, F4.0,  
2F3.0/12X, 7 (F4.0, 3X))

Ignoring indefinite repetition, the input format provides for 14 variables. 14 will be read. It provides for 3 records ('Cards') per case. A maximum of 61 'columns' are used on a record.

No. of Cases 112  
Input Medium Card  
Compute  $\text{White} = \text{WDEM} + \text{REP} + \text{WOTHERS}$   
Compute  $\text{Repubs} = \text{WREP} + \text{NREP}$   
Compute  $\text{Black} = \text{NDEM} + \text{NREP} + \text{NOTHERS}$   
Compute  $\text{Total} = \text{White} + \text{Black}$   
Compute  $\text{Black \%} = \text{Black} / \text{Total} * 100$   
Compute  $\text{Repubs \%} = \text{Repubs} / \text{Total} * 100$   
Compute  $\text{Vote} = \text{C1} + \text{C3} + \text{C4} + \text{C5} + \text{C6} + \text{C7}$   
Compute  $\text{Turnout} = \text{Vote} / \text{Total} * 100$   
Compute  $\text{Armour \%} = \text{C1} / \text{Vote} * 100$   
Compute  $\text{Jenkins \%} = \text{C3} / \text{Vote} * 100$   
Transform

Item	Actual	Maximum
Vars Recoded	0	100
Values Recoded	0	1044
Transformations	10	261
Math Operators	22	2088
Literal Values	2	194

Read Input Data  
Regression

Variables = Black %,  
Armour %, Jenkins %, Income, Turnout/  
Regression = Armour % with Black %, In-  
come (1)/  
Regression = Jenkins % with Black %, In-  
come (1)/  
Statistics 1, 2

\*\*\*\*Regression problem requires  
including residuals\*\*\*\*

640 Bytes workspace, not in-

Variable	Mean	Standard Dev	Cases
BLACKS %	17.5091	27.5384	111
ARMOUR %	45.1085	15.2136	111
JENKINS %	23.7283	23.3418	111
INCOME	8927.8108	2156.4789	111
TURNOUT	51.2854	7.3583	111

**MULTIPLE REGRESSION**  
Variable List 1 — Regression List 1

**DEPENDENT VARIABLE . . . ARMOUR %**

Variables entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %

Multiple R	0.88386	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.78122	Regression	1.	19889.76344	19889.76344	389.20611
Adjusted R Square	0.78122	Residual	109.	5570.27278	51.10342	
Standard Error	7.14867					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.48829	-0.88386	0.02475	389.206
(Constant)	53.65804			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Income	0.17970	0.32716	0.72519	12.945

Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 . . . INCOME

Multiple R	0.89701	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.80463	Regression	2.	20485.98626	10242.99313	222.40292
Adjusted R Square	0.80284	Residual	108.	4974.04997	46.05602	
Standard Error	6.78646					

----- Variables in the Equation -----				
Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.43625	-0.78966	0.02759	249.982
Income	0.00127	0.17970	0.00035	12.946
(Constant)	41.42855			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----				
Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F

Maximum step reached

748

#### SUMMARY TABLE

Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta
Black %	0.88386	0.78122	0.78122	- 0.88386	-0.43625	-0.78966
Income	0.89701	0.80463	0.02342	0.59366	0.00127	0.17970
(Constant)					41.42855	

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)



**MULTIPLE REGRESSION**  
**Variable List 1 — Regression List 2**

**DEPENDENT VARIABLE . . . JENKINS %**

**Variables entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %**

Multiple R	0.97271	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.94616	Regression	1.	56705.71693	56705.71693	1915.62132
Adjusted R Square	0.94616	Residual	109.	3226.58925	29.60174	
Standard Error	5.44075					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	0.82448	0.97271	0.01884	1915.621
(Constant)	9.29243			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Income	0.01384	0.05079	0.72519	0.279

**Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 . . . INCOME**

Multiple R	0.97278	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.94630	Regression	2.	56714.04174	28357.02087	951.61797
Adjusted R Square	0.94581	Residual	108.	3218.26443	29.79874	
Standard Error	5.45887					

----- Variables in the Equation -----				
Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	0.83063	0.97996	0.02219	1400.677
Income	0.00015	0.01384	0.00028	0.279
(Constant)	7.84735			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----				
Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F


Maximum step reached

#### SUMMARY TABLE


Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta	750
Black %	0.97271	0.94616	0.94616	0.97271	0.83063	0.97996	
Income	0.97278	0.94630	0.00014	-0.49988	0.00015	0.01384	
(Constant)					7.84735		
Scattergram	Armour % (0,100) Jenkins % (0,100) with Black % (0,100)						
Options	7						
Statistics	All						

Given space allows for 1373 cases for 'Scattergram'

FILE ANAL55 (CREATION DATE =  
 SCATTERGRAM OF (DOWN) ARMOUR % (ACROSS) BLACK %



751




752

FILE ANAL55 (CREATION DATE =

SCATTERGRAM OF


(DOWN) JENKINS %

(ACROSS) BLACK %



753





754

# STATISTICS . . .

Correlation (R) —	0.95311	R Squared —	0.90841	Significance —	0.00001
Std Err of Est —	6.55454	Intercept (A) —	22.49915	Slope (B) —	0.74764
Plotted Values —	112	Excluded Values —	0	Missing Values —	0

\*\*\*\*\* is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

This SPSS job has terminated normally. The job processed 31 control cards. During the job 0 errors were detected.

## PAGE 14

**STATISTICAL PACKAGE FOR THE SOCIAL  
SERVICES (SPSSGC31, 03/25/75)**

Run Name	Board of County Commissioners, 2nd Primary, District 5, 1968
Full Name	ANAL55
Variable List	INCOME, WDEM, WREP, WOTHERS, NDEM, NREP, NOTHERS, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7
Input Format	Fixed (T8, F5.0/T6, 2F4.0, F3.C, F4.0, 2F3.0/12X, 7 (F4.C, 3X))

Ignoring indefinite repetition, the input format provides for 14 variables. 14 will be read. It provides for 3 records ('Cards') per case. A maximum of 61 'columns' are used on a record.

No. of Cases	112
Input Medium	Card
Missing Values	Income (C)
Compute	White = WDEM + WREP + WOTHERS
Compute	Repubs = WREP + NREP
Compute	Black = NDEM + NREP + NOTHERS
Compute	Total = White + Black
Compute	Black % = Black/Total * 100
Compute	Repubs % = Repubs/Total * 100
Compute	Vote = C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5 + C6 + C7
Compute	Turnout = Vote/Total * 100
Compute	Armour % = C1/Vote * 100
Compute	Jenkins % = C2/Vote * 100
Transform	

Item	Actual	Maximum
Vars Recoded	0	100
Values Recoded	0	1044
Transformations	10	261
Math Operators	22	2088
Literal Values	2	194

## Read Input Data

## Regression

Variables = Black %,  
 Armour %, Jenkins %, Income, Turnout/  
 Regression = Armour % with Black %, In-  
 come (1)/  
 Regression = Jenkins % with Black %, In-  
 come (1)/

## Statistics

1, 2

\*\*\*\*Regression problem requires 640 Bytes workspace, not including  
 residuals\*\*\*\*

Variable	Mean	Standard Dev	Cases
Black %	17.5091	27.5384	111
Armour %	64.3918	21.6196	111
Jenkins %	35.6082	21.6196	111
Income	8927.8108	2156.4789	111
Turnout	49.5959	7.8004	111

	Black %	Armour %	Jenkins %	Income	Turnout
Black %	1.00000	-0.95298	0.95298	-0.52422	0.11770
Armour %	-0.95298	1.00000	-1.00000	0.46055	0.00538
Jenkins %	0.95298	-1.00000	1.00000	-0.46055	-0.00538
Income	-0.52422	0.46055	-0.46055	1.00000	0.03694
Turnout	0.11770	0.00538	-0.00538	0.03694	1.00000

**MULTIPLE REGRESSION**  
Variable List 1 — Regression List 1

DEPENDENT VARIABLE . . . Armour%

Variables entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %

Multiple R	0.95298	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
Square	0.90817	Regression	1.	46693.21274	46693.21274	1077.95741
Adjusted R Square	0.90817	Residual	109.	4721.48542	43.31638	
Standard Error	6.58152					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Bet	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.74816	-0.95298	0.02279	1077.957
(Constant)	77.49131			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Income	-0.05381	-0.15121	0.72519	2.527

Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 . . . INCOME

Multiple R	0.95408	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.91027	Regression	2.	46801.16618	23400.58309	547.79353
Adjusted R Square	0.90945	Residual	108.	4613.53198	42.71789	
Standard Error	6.53589					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.77030	-0.98119	0.02657	840.301
Income	-0.00054	-0.05381	0.00034	2.527
(Constant)	82.69513			

Maximum step reached

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
----------	---------	---------	-----------	---

SUMMARY TABLE

Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta
Black %	.095298	0.90817	0.90817	-0.95298	-0.77030	-0.98119
Income	0.95408	0.91027	0.00210	0.46055	-0.00054	-0.05381
(Constant)					82.69513	

7.58

MULTIPLE REGRESSION  
Variable List 1 - Regression List 2

DEPENDENT VARIABLE . . . Jenkins %



Variables entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %

Multiple R	0.95298	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.90817	Regression	1.	46693.21461	46693.21461	1077.95763
Adjusted R Square	0.90817	Residual	109.	4721.48464	43.31637	
Standard Error	6.58152					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	0.74816	0.95298	0.02279	1077.958
(Constant)	22.50867			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Income	0.05381	0.15121	0.72519	2.527

Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 . . . INCOME

Multiple R	0.95408	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.91027	Regression	2.	46801.16823	23400.58411	547.79367
Adjusted R Square	0.90945	Residual	108.	4613.53103	42.71788	
Standard Error	6.53589					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	0.77030	0.98119	0.02657	840.301
Income	0.00054	0.05381	0.00034	2.527
(Constant)	17.30485			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
----------	---------	---------	-----------	---


Maximum step reached

# SUMMARY TABLE

Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta
Black %	0.95298	0.90817	0.90817	0.95298	0.77030	0.98119
Income	0.95408	0.91027	0.00210	-0.46055	0.00054	0.05381
(Constant)					17.30485	

Scattergram      Armour % (C, 100) Jenkins % (0.100)  
with Black % (C, 100)  
Options            7  
Statistics        All

Given space allows for 1373 Cases for 'Scattergram'



#### STATISTICS . . .

Correlation (R) -	- 0.88260	R Squared -	0.77899	Significance -	0.00001
Std Err of Est -	7.23039	Intercept (A) -	53.59639	Slope (B) -	0.49164
Plotted Values -	112	Excluded Values -	0	Missing Values -	0

\*\*\*\*\* is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

---


This SPSS job has terminated normally. The job processed 11 control cards. During the job 0 errors were detected.

---

FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =  
SCATTERGRAM OF

(DOWN) JENKINS %

(ACROSS) BLACK %



762

## PAGE 17

**STATISTICAL PACKAGE FOR THE SOCIAL  
SCIENCES (SPSSG031, 03/25/75)**

Run Name	County Commissioner, First Primary, District 4, 1970
File Name	ANAL62
Variable List	INCOME, REPUBS, DEMUS, OPS, MALE, FEMALE, WHITE, BLACK, OTHER, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7
Input Format	Fixed (5X, F5.0/ 5X, 3F4.0/ 5X, 4F4.0, F.30/ 9X, 7(3X, F4.0))

Ignoring indefinite repetition, the input format provides for 16 variables. 16 will be read. It provides for 4 records ('Cards') per case. A maximum of 58 'columns' are used on a record.

No. of Cases	112
Input Medium	Card
Compute	Total = Male + Female
Compute	Vote = C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5 + C6 + C7
Compute	Turnout + Vote/Total * 100
Missing Values	Income (0)
Compute	Black % = Black/Total * 100
Compute	Repubs % = Repubs/Total * 100
Compute	Female % = Female/Total * 100
Compute	Kenney % = C3/Vote * 100
If	(Female % EQ 0) Black = 999
Assign	
Missing	Female % (0) Black % (999)
Transform	

Item	Actual	Maximum
Vars Recoded	0	100
Values Recoded	0	1044
Transformations	9	261
Math Operators	19	2088
Literal Values	3	194



## Read Input Data

## Regression

Variables = Black %,  
 Female %, Income, Turnout, Kenney %/  
 Regression = Kenney % with Black %,  
 Female, Income, (1)/

## Statistics

1, 2

\*\*\*\*Regression problem requires 680 Bytes workspace, not including residuals\*\*\*\*

Variable	Mean	Standard Dev	Cases
Blacks	17.5941	27.6069	103
Female	52.6445	3.6474	103
Income	8970.6311	2060.4979	103
Turnout	43.5105	6.7047	103
Kenney %	53.6981	15.6685	103

## CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS

A value of 99.00000 is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

	Black %	Female %	Income	Turnout	Kenney %
Black %	1.00000	0.72127	-0.50667	-0.39260	-0.92370
Female %	0.72127	1.00000	-0.32762	-0.04831	-0.63779
Income	-0.50667	-0.32762	1.00000	0.44168	0.47157
Turnout	-0.39260	-0.04831	0.44168	1.00000	0.36958
Kenney %	-0.92370	-0.63779	0.47157	0.36958	1.00000

**MULTIPLE REGRESSION**  
Variable List 1 - Regression List 1

**DEPENDENT VARIABLE . . . KENNEY %**

**Variable(s) entered on Step Number 1 . . . Black %**

Multiple R	0.92370	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.85321	Regression	1.	21365.52751	21365.52751	587.08037
Adjusted R Square	0.85321	Residual	101.	3675.67778	36.39285	
Standard Error	6.03265					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.52425	-0.92370	0.02164	587.080
(Constant)	62.92186			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Female %	0.05929	0.10720	0.47977	1.163
Income	0.00480	0.01080	0.74329	0.012

765

**Variable(s) entered on Step Number 2 . . . FEMALE %**

Multiple R	0.92461	Analysis of Variance	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F
R Square	0.85490	Regression	2.	21407.76643	10703.88321	294.59373
Adjusted R Square	0.85346	Residual	100.	3633.43886	36.33439	
Standard Error	6.02780					

----- Variables in the Equation -----

Variable	B	Beta	Std Error B	F
Black %	-0.54852	-0.96646	0.03121	308.846
Female %	0.25472	0.05929	0.23624	1.163
(Constant)	49.93950			

----- Variables not in the Equation -----

Variable	Beta In	Partial	Tolerance	F
Income	0.00179	0.00404	0.74031	0.002

F-level or tolerance-level insufficient for further computation.

SUMMARY TABLE


Variable	Multiple R	R Square	RSQ Change	Simple R	B	Beta
Black %	0.92370	0.85321	0.85321	-0.92370	-0.54852	-0.96646
Female %	0.92461	0.85490	0.00169	-0.63779	0.25472	0.05929
(Constant)						


766

Scattergram      Kenney % with Black %  
Options            7  
Statistics        All

Given space allows for 1831 Cases for 'Scattergram'

FILE ANAL62 (CREATION DATE =  
 SCATTERGRAM OF (DOWN) KENNEY (ACROSS) BLACK %





#### STATISTICS . . .

Correlation (R) —	−0.52554	R Squared —	0.27620	Significance —	0.00001
Std Err of Est —	17.93910	Intercept (A) —	56.01934	Slope (B) —	−0.41014
Plotted Values —	112	Excluded Values —	0	Missing Values —	0

\*\*\*\*\* is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

This SPSS job has terminated normally. The job processed 32 control cards. During the job 0 errors were detected.





FILE ANAL80 (CREATION DATE =

SCATTERGRAM OF

(DOWN) ARMOUR %

(ACROSS) BLACK %





#### STATISTICS . . .

Correlation (R) —	— 0.97175	R Squared —	0.94429	Significance —	0.00001
Std Err of Est —	5.51126	Intercept (A) —	9.24346	Slope (B) —	0.82181
Plotted Values —	112	Excluded Values —	0	Missing Values —	0

\*\*\*\*\* is printed if a coefficient cannot be computed.

This SPSS job has terminated normally. The job processed 11 control cards. During the job 0 errors were detected.

**EXHIBIT 16**  
**Statistical Analysis of Racial Elements in**  
**Escambia County, Pensacola City Elections**

May 10, 1955		CITY COUNCIL		
Ward 1		$r^2$ Turnout (r)		
		Gilmore Hartley Johnson Mundy*		
1.	Ward 2	Mason* Taite (B)	Black (-) .98 Black (+) .98	+ .25
2.	Ward 3	Beadal Bonifay McCullough*	Black ( - ) .30	-.62
3.	Ward 4	Banfell Suarez Wiggins* Wilburn	Black (+) .40	-.49
4.	Ward 5	Hall Remich*	Black (-) .02	-.77
	At-Large 1	Bryars Drake Jernigan McLaughlin Ruben Sansbury		
	At-Large 2	Erickson* Helie		
	At-Large 3	Bowman Marks Philpot*		

At-Large 4	Gregg Jolly* Merritt Stanley
May 10, 1955	
At-Large 5	Greenhut* Maher
May, 1959	Primary Elections
Ward 1	Irwin Mundy* Steiner Thornton
Ward 2	O'Gara*
Ward 3	McCullough
Ward 4	Banfell
Ward 5	Humphrey's* Remich
At-Large 1	Goldman McLaughlin* Eddy Hagler
At-Large 2	Macon Savell Woerner*
At-Large 3	Cotita Edmonds Philpot*
At-Large 4	Dracos Hatcher Jones

Kelson  
 Saurez  
 Wiggins  
 Wilburn

## CITY COUNCIL

May, 1950

At-Large 5 Fredrickson  
 Hensley  
 Johnson  
 Miller

May, 1959 General Election

Ward 1 Mundy  
 Thornton\*

Ward 2 O'Gara

Ward 3 McCullough

Ward 4 Banfell

Ward 5 Humphrey's

At-Large 1 McLaughlin

At-Large 2 Macon  
 Woerner

At-Large 3 Philpot

At-Large 4 Dracos  
 Kelson

At-Large 5 Miller

May, 1961 Primary Election

5. Ward 1  
 Group 1 Edmond  
 Malone  
 Middlebrooks



	Overman*		
	Philpot		
	Smith		
	Woodcock		
Group 2	Boleward		
	Bryant		
	Farrar		
	Gothard		
	Jackson		
	McLaughlin		
	White		
Ward 2			
Group 1	Capaduca		
	Drexler		
	Hagler		
	Jernigan		
	Woerner		
Group 2	Gonzalez		
	Gregg		
	Hall		
	Macon		
	O'Gara		
	Taylor		
	Wilkinson		
Ward 3			
Group 1	Alfred		
	Barnes		
	Greenhut		
	McCullough		
Group 2	Bowman	Black (-) .15	-.62
	Humphreys		
	Morgan		

Ward 4				
Group 1	Banfell O'Donovan Steele Wiggins			
Group 2	Kelson Penton Wilburn	Black ( + )	.20	-.60
Ward 5				
Group 1	Bryars Holm Thornton	Black ( - )	.28	-.59
Group 2	Densmore Frenkel Merritt Neal White			

## CITY COUNCIL

May, 1961	General Election	$r^2$	Turnout (r)
5. Ward 1			
Group 1	Malone Overman		
Group 2	Gothard McLaughlin		
Ward 2			
Group 1	Drexler Woerner		
Group 2	O'Gara Wilkinson		
Ward 3			
Group 1	Greenhut		

	Group 2	Bowman	(-) .15	-.62
	Ward 4			
	Group 1	Banfell O'Donovan		
	Group 2	Kelson	(+) .20	-.60
	Ward 5			
	Group 1	Holm	(-) .27	-.58
	Group 2	Frenkel		
May, 1963		Primary Election	$r^2$	Turnout (r)
6.	Ward 1			
	Group 1	Bryan Clark Overman* Sizemore Terrell		
	Group 2	Blanchard Merritt Whibbs*	Black (-) .02	-.68
	Ward 2			
	Group 1	Bryars Commyns Holm* Thornton White		
	Group 2	Barberi Bowman Drexler* Godwin Neal		

Ward 3  
 Group 1 MacDonald\*  
 McCullough  
 Morgan  
 Taylor

Group 2 Carlin  
 Hart  
 Merrill\*

## CITY COUNCIL

May, 1963

Ward 4  
 Group 1 Greenhut\*      Black ( + ) .18      -.67  
 Hall  
 Liggett  
 Penton

Group 2 Booker  
 Gonzalez  
 Soule\*      Black ( - ) .52      + .03  
 Spence(B)      Black ( + ) .95      + .03  
 Tenant

Ward 5  
 Group 1 Banfell  
 Brown\*  
 Humphreys  
 Suarez  
 Wilburn

Group 2 Duell  
 Kelson\*      Black ( + ) .20      -.72  
 O'Donovan

May, 1965		Primary Election	$r^2$	Turnout (r)
7.	Ward 1			
	Group 1	Nelson Overman* Stephens Terrell Woodcock		
	Group 2	Blanchard* Grantham Hart Whibbs	Income (-) .34	-.48
	Ward 2			
	Group 1	Bergin Elebash* Herrington Thornton	Income (+) .48	-.70
	Group 2	Bowman* Godwin Jones(B) Jones, Jr.	Black (-) .63 Black (+) .98	-.23
	Ward 3			
	Group 1	Hendrieth(B) Johnston MacDonald	Black (+) .97	-.50
	Group 2	McCullough Merrill	Black (-) .58 Income (+) .56	-.76
	Ward 4			
	Group 1	Greenhut		
	Group 2	Soule Tennant		



Ward 5  
 Group 1 Beal  
 Bruno\*  
 Group 2 Kelson

## CITY COUNCIL

May, 1967

8. Ward 1  
 Group 1 Camp  
 Stephens  
 Group 2 Overman  
 Pearlman  
 Ward 2  
 Group 1 Elebash  
 Group 2 Schill  
 Ward 3  
 Group 1 Paulk  
 Group 2 Frenkel  
 Ward 4  
 Group 1 Yarbrough  
 Group 2 Liggett  
 Ward 5  
 Group 1 Bruno  
 Group 2 Kelson

May 13, 1969 Primary Election  $r^2$  Turnout (r)

9. Ward 1  
 Group 1 Brown Black (-) .07 -.26  
 McAdam  
 Group 2 Overman  
 Stephens  
 Morgan

Ward 2				
Group 1	Elebash Marshall (B)	Black (+)	.77	-.02
Group 2	Schill Burelson Johnson			
Ward 3				
Group 1	Paulk			
Group 2	Frenkel	Black (-)	.69	-.12
	Hendrieth(B)	Black (+)	.84	
	King			
Ward 4				
Group 1	Yarbrough Hall			
Group 2	Hagler			
Ward 5				
Group 1	Bruno			
Group 2	Kelson			
May 27 1969	General Election			
9. Ward 1				
Group 1	Brown* Crumpton			
Group 2	Overman			
Ward 2				
Group 1	Elebash			
Group 2	Schill			
Ward 3				
Group 1	Paulk			

Ward 5	
Group 1	Bruno Crain Godwin Ward
Group 2	Kelson

## CITY COUNCIL

May 15, 1965

7.	Ward 1	
	Group 1	Overman Stephens*
	Group 2	Blanchard* Whibbs
	Ward 2	
	Group 1	Elebash
	Group 2	Bowman* Jones, Jr.
	Ward 3	
	Group 1	Johnston* MacDonald
	Group 2	Merrill
	Ward 4	
	Group 1	Greenhut
	Group 2	Soule
	Ward 5	
	Group 1	Bruno*
	Group 2	Kelson

May, 1967

 $r^2$  Turnout (r)

8.	Ward 1			
	Group 1	Camp*	Income (+) .57	-.70
		Gregory		
		Nelson		
		Stephens		
	Group 2	Blanchard		
		Marcus		
		McAdam		
		Overman*		
		Pearlman	Income (-) .43	-.70
	Ward 2			
	Group 1	Cox		
		Elebash*		
	Group 2	Heinberg		
		Hutcheson		
		Neal		
		Schill*		
		Tringas		
	Ward 3			
	Group 1	Johnston		
		McCullough		
		Paulk*		
	Group 2	Frenkel*	Black (-) .84	-.15
		Hendrieth(B)	Black (+) .84	
	Ward 4			
	Group 1	Yarbrough		
	Group 2	Hall		
		Liggett*		

Group 2      Frenkel\*  
 Ward 4  
 Group 1      Yarbrough  
 Group 2      Hagler  
 Ward 5  
 Group 1      Bruno  
 Group 2      Kelson

## CITY COUNCIL

May 11, 1971		$r^2$ Turnout (r)	
10.    Ward 1			
Group 1	Brown Nelson Morrow Koll	Income (+) .14	+ .02
Group 2	Balink Rose Golden Fairchild McAdam Lancaster	Income (+) .64	-.62
Ward 2			
Group 1	Brockett Craighead Marshall(B)	Black (-) .90	+ .06
Group 2	Hendrieth(B) Alfred Northup Jones	Black (+) .92	+ .06
Ward 3			
Group 1	King Gentry Cottle	Black (+) .96	+ .02
		Income (+) .71	+ .02
		Black (+) .20	-.47

Group 2	Frenkel Henders- on(B)	Black (-) .89	-.12
		Black ( + ) .89	-.12
Ward 4			
Group 1	Kingman Klein Shell Merritt Bryan Hall	Income ( + ) .52	-.43
Group 2	Regan Hagler Johnson	Income ( + ) .67	-.48
Ward 5			
Group 1	Humphreys Bruno	Income (-) .34	-.48
Group 2	Williams(B) Adams Wilson	Black ( + ) .62	.15
May 25, 1971		r <sup>2</sup>	Turnout (r)
10. Ward 1			
Group 2	Lancaster Rose*		
Ward 2			
Group 2	Alfred Northup*		



May 8, 1973		$r^2$ Turnout (r)	
11.	Ward 1		
	Group 1	Brown Hall Roberts	Income ( + ) .49 -.28
	Group 2	Rose	-.32
	Ward 2		
	Group 1	Brockett	-.32
	Group 2	Northup	-.33
	Ward 3		
	Group 1	Benjamin(B) Paulk	Black ( - ) .71 +.18
	Group 2	Frenkel	-.20
	Ward 4		
	Group 1	Klein	-.29
	Group 2	Hagler	-.39
	Ward 5		
	Group 1	Bruno	-.28
	Group 2	Williams(B)	+ .16
May 13, 1975		General Election	$r^2$ Turnout (r)
12.	Ward 1		
	Group 1	Brown* Bookout Sanders Goolsby	Income ( + ) .26 -.33
	Group 2	Nimmo Rose*	Income ( + ) .06 -.35

Ward 2				
Group 1	Brockett* Morrison Neuman Roberts	Income ( + ) .60	-.34	
Group 2	Northup* Belleau Dane	Income ( + ) .02	-.31	
Ward 3				
Group 1	Paulk* Kelly	Female ( - ) .10	-.19	
Group 2	Frenkel		-.34	
Ward 4				
Group 1	Klein Causey	Income ( - ) .20	-.39	
Group 2	Spence(B) Gilmore	Black ( + ) .82	+.15	
Ward 5				
Group 1	Bruno Brewer(B)	Black ( - ) .86	-.10	
Group 2	Ferraro Porter William(B)	Black ( + ) .67	+.18	
May 10, 1977	General Election	r <sup>2</sup>	Turnout (r)	
13. Ward 1				
Group 1	Bookout Brown* White	Race ( - ) .07	-.12	
Group 2	Piscitelli Rose*	Income ( + ) .12	-.12	

Ward 2			
Group 1	Belleau*	Race (-) .46	-.01
	Fountain		
	Hendrieth(B)	Race ( + ) .79	-.01
	Pryor*		
Group 2	Connell		
	Frenkel*	Race (-) .04	-.14
Ward 3			
Group 1	Paulk		-.23
Group 2	Hubacker*	Race (-) .55	-.11
	Ortell		
	Reeves*		
	Sheldt		
Ward 4			
Group 1	Klein		-.23
Group 2	Jones		-.22
Ward 5			
Group 1	Bass*		+ .13
	Gainer(B)	Black ( + ) .822	
Group 2	William(B)		+ .08
May 24, 1977	Run-Off-Election	r <sup>2</sup>	Turnout (r)
Ward 1	Brown*		
	White		
Ward 2	Belleau		
	Pryor*		
Ward 3	Hubacker		
	Reeves*		

## Presidential Election

		$r^2$	Turnout (r)
14.	1972		
	Nixon	Black (-) .82	-.14
	McGovern	Black (+) .96	
15.	1976		
	Ford	Black (-) .59	-.06
	Carter		

## COUNTY COMMISSION

September 9, 1958  $r^2$  Turnout (r)

1.	District 4	Abbott Jones*	Black (+) .25	-.38
2.	District 2	Bosso Cobb*	Black (+) .03	

September, 1960 Democratic Primary

3.	District 1	Caro* Mason	Black (-) .00	-.60
----	------------	----------------	---------------	------

September 5, 1964 First Primary

4.	District 3	Albritton* Anderson Edwards* Fredrickson	Black (+) .43 Black (-) .55	-.55
5.	District 1	Caro* Macon	Income (+) .10 Income (-) .10	-.71

September 26, 1964 Second Primary

6.	District 3	Grady Albritton "Tex" Edwards*	Black (-) .63	-.68
----	------------	---	---------------	------

## November 3, 1964 General Election

7. District 3 Edwards\*(D) Income (-) .39 -.82  
Lee (R)

## May 3, 1966 First Primary

8. District 2 Cobb\* Black (-) .64 +.04  
Fredrickson\*  
Reed (B) Black (+) .98  
Ward

## COUNTY COMMISSION

May 3, 1966  $r^2$  Turnout (r)

9. District 4 Abbott\*  
Davis\* Income (+) .35 -.72  
Hurstons

## May 24, 1966 Second Primary

10. District 2 Cobb\* Black (+) .11 -.56  
Fredrickson

11. District 4 Abbott  
Davis\* Income (-) .35 -.56

## May 7, 1968 First Primary

12. District 3 Adam  
Edwards\* Income (-) .01 -.71  
Fredrickson  
Kirksey  
Untreiner  
Lane\* Income (+) .53  
Varazo

13. District 5 Armour\* Black (-) .78 -.14  
Gindl  
Jenkins\*(B) Black (+) .95  
Whately

May 28, 1968		Second Primary		
14.	District 5	Armour*	Black (-) .91	+ 12
		Jenkins (B)	Black (+) .91	
15.	District 3	Edwards	Income (-) .16	-.53
		Lane*	Income (+) .16	
November, 1968		General Election	r <sup>2</sup>	Turnout (r)
16.	District 1	Chisolm (R)		
		Albritton (D)*		
			Repub. (-) .47	-.45
September 8, 1970		First Primary		
17.	District 4	Davis		
		Dedmond (B)		
		Kenney*	Black (-) .85	-.39
18.	District 2	Barnes*	Black (-) .60	-.32
		Cobb*		
		Kelson		
		Reed(B)		
September 29, 1970		Second Primary		
19.	District 2	Barnes*	Black (-) .09	-.51
		Cobb		
November 3, 1970		General Election		
20.	District 4	Marcus (R)		
		Kenney*(D)	Black (+) .20	-.73
21.	District 2	Fredrickson (R)		
		Barnes*(D)	Black (+) .25	-.78



## COUNTY COMMISSION

September 12, 1972 First Primary

22.	District 1	Albritton*	Income (-)	.59	-.58
		Deese*			
		Brand			
		Lee			
23.	District 3	Davis			
		Edwards			
		Lancaster*	Black (-)	.10	-.47
		Lane*	Black (+)	.12	

October 3, 1972 Second Primary  $r^2$  Turnout (r)

24.	District 3	Lancaster*	Income (-)	.12	-.28
		Lane			
25.	District 1	Albritton*	Income (-)	.44	-.45
		Deese			

November 7, 1972 General Election

26.	District 3	Lancaster* (D)	Repub. (-)	.40	-.58
		Meyers (R)			

September 10, 1974 First Primary

27.	District 4	Bailey			
		Hamm			
		Kenney*	Black (+)	.46	-.14
28.	District 2	Barnes*	Income (+)	.11	-.37
		Hutson			
		Kelson*	Income (-)	.15	
		Meyer			

October 1, 1974 Second Primary

29.	District 2	Barnes		
		Kelson*	Income (-) .09	-.22

November 5, 1974 General Election

30.	District 4	Kenney*(D)	Black (+) .71	-.30
		Hentz (R)	Black (-) .71	

31.	District 2	Kelson*(D)	Repub. (-) .46	-.52
		Hall (R)	Repub. (+) .46	

September 7, 1976 First Primary  $r^2$  Turnout (r)

32.	District 3	Barnes*	Income (+) .21	
		Kelly		
		Lancaster*	Black (+) .30	-.44
		Osburn		

33.	District 5	Armour*	Income (+) .11	-.52
		Beck*	Black (-) .11	
		Brown		
		Gulsby		

34.	District 1	Albritton*	Income (-) .30	-.39
		Deese*	Income (+) .27	
		Hamm		
		Lee		
		McLellan		
		Van Dyke		

September 28, 1976 Second Primary

35.	District 1	Albritton		
		Deese*	Income (+) .32	-.18

36.	District 3	Barnes		
		Lancaster*	Income (-) .27	-.15

37.	District 5	Armour		
		Beck*	Black (-) .08	-.40

## SCHOOL BOARD

September 9, 1958      First Primary       $r^2$       Turnout ( $r$ )

- |    |         |                             |               |      |
|----|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|------|
| 1. | Place 5 | Gindl<br>Mondeau<br>Vaughn  | Black (-) .07 | -.38 |
| 2. | Place 4 | Bryant<br>Harrison<br>Welch | Black (+) .22 | -.24 |

September 30, 1958      Second Primary

- |    |         |                     |               |      |
|----|---------|---------------------|---------------|------|
| 3. | Place 5 | Gindl*<br>Vaughn    | Black (-) .07 | -.16 |
| 4. | Place 4 | Bryant<br>Harrison* | Black (+) .12 | -.33 |

May, 1960      First Primary

- |    |         |                           |                |      |
|----|---------|---------------------------|----------------|------|
| 5. | Place 3 | Anderson<br>McArthur*     | Black (+) .13  | -.69 |
| 6. | Place 2 | Bell*<br>Blount*<br>Deese | Black (+) .003 | -.65 |

May, 1960      Second Primary

- |    |         |                 |                |      |
|----|---------|-----------------|----------------|------|
| 7. | Place 2 | Bell*<br>Blount | Black (-) .009 | -.71 |
|----|---------|-----------------|----------------|------|

May, 1962      First Primary

- |    |         |                                 |               |      |
|----|---------|---------------------------------|---------------|------|
| 8. | Place 1 | Belser*<br>Fillingim*<br>Holmes | Black (-) .31 | -.38 |
| 9. | Place 5 | Gindl*<br>Vaughn                | Black (-) .02 | -.43 |

May, 1962	First Primary	$r^2$	Turnout (r)
10. Place 4	Carr Hanks* Harrison*	Black (-) .02	-.32
May 29, 1962	Second Primary		
11. Place 1	Belser* Fillingim	Black (-) .18	-.28
12. Place 4	Hanks* Harrison	Black (-) .42	-.44
May 5, 1964	First Primary		
13. Place 3	Brown McArthur*	Black (+) .21	-.78
14. Place 2	Bell* Faircloth	Black (+) .08	-.80
May 3, 1966	First Primary		
15. Place 4	Anderson Kirkland*	Black (+) .29	-.62
16. Place 1	Bell McLeod Weiley* White*	Income (+) .24	-.75
May 24, 1966	(Second Primary Democratic)		
17. Place 1	Wesley* White	Black (-) .47	-.63
November 8, 1966	General Election	$r^2$	Turnout (r)
18. Place 4	Kirkland*(D) Leeper (R)	Black (+) .63	-.44

## SCHOOL BOARD

November, 1968 General Election

19. Place 2 Bickel (R)  
West\*(D) Black (+) .58 -.82
20. Place 3 Valmus (R)  
McArthur\*(D) Black (+) .64 -.83

November 3, 1970 General Election

21. Place 4 Leeper\*(R) Black (-) .76 -.22  
Leverette (B)(D) Black (+) .76
22. Place 1 Wesley (R)  
Bell, Sr.\*(D) Repub. (-) .53 -.77

September 12, 1972 First Primary

23. Place 2 Brady Black (-) .07 -.70  
West\*
24. Place 4 Carol Ann  
Marshal Income (+) .12 -.44  
L.D.  
McArthur\* Income (-) .12

November 7, 1972 Superintendent of  
Education

25. Hall\* Black (+) .19 -.47  
Stoker

September 10, 1974

26. Place 5 Gindl\* Income (+) .11 -.51  
Robertson

September 10, 1974			$r^2$	Turnout (r)
27.	Place 3	Jenkins*(B) Sanders	Black (+) .77 Black (-) .77	+.16
28.	Place 1	Bell* Tanpary Wood*	Income (-) .11	-.54
October 1, 1974 Democratic Primary				
29.	Place 1	Bell* Wood	Black (+) .16	-.34
November 5, 1974 General Election				
		Jenkins (B)(D) Leeper*(R)	Black (+) .87 Black (-) .88	-.07
31.	Place 1	Bell*(D) Stallworth (B)(R)	Black(-) .69 Black (+) .69	-.47
September 7, 1976 First Primary				
32.	Place 4	C. Marshall* Spence (B) Smith	Black (-) .82 Black (+) .91	+13
33.	Place 2	Edwards Suarez* Swain* West	Black (-) .40	-.62
34.	At-Large Place 7	Bailey* Forester Jenkins*(B) King	Black (-) .53 Black (+) .94	+15



Lee  
MacGill  
Southard

### SCHOOL BOARD

September 28, 1976 Second Primary  $r^2$  Turnout (r)

35.	At-Large Place 6	Biasco* McArthur	Income (+) .18	-.40
36.	Place 2	Suarez* Swain	Black (-) .61	-.45
37.	At-Large Place 7	Bailey* Jenkins (B)	Black (-) .87 Black (+) .98	+ .36
38.	Place 4	C. Marshall* Spence(B)	Black (-) .91 Black (+) .91	+ .42

Superintendent Chandler

39.	Stokes*	Black (-) .69	+ .02
-----	---------	---------------	-------

November 2, 1976 General Election

40.	Superintendant Stokes*(D)	Black (+) .69	+ 03
	Pfeiffer(R)	Black (-) .69	
41.	Place 7	Bailey*(D)	Repub. (-) .71
	Smith (R)	Repub. (+) .71	-.74
42.	Place 2	Suarez*(D)	Repub. (-) .26
	Hall (R)		-.76
43.	Place 4	Carol Marshall*(D)	Repub. (-) .43
	Beal (R)		-.70



**EXHIBIT 17**

**NEIGHBORHOOD ANALYSIS  
PENSACOLA SMSA**

**ESCAMBIA-SANTA ROSA  
REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL**

M. Richard Smith  
Chairman

J.B. Boyden, Sr.  
Vice-Chairman

Daniel F. Krumel  
Executive Director

ESCAMBIA COUNTY: J. B. Boyden, Sr.  
Henry Cary  
Robert Hinson  
J. Stirling Turner  
Dr. S.W. Boyd  
Grady Albritton  
Harry Blanchard  
Zearl Lancaster  
Sybil Dedmond

SANTA ROSA COUNTY: Leo M. Glenn, Jr.  
Philip Heasth  
William Rosasco, III

CITY OF PENSACOLA: Edward E. Harper  
Lou Brown  
Lee Mundy  
Harold Rose

CITY OF SOUTH  
FLOMATION: Edgar Bowman  
William Willett, Jr.

CITY OF MILTON: Hiram Cook  
Byrd Mapoles

CITY OF GULF BREEZE: M. Richard Smith  
Richard R. Wetzel

CITY OF JAY: Rev. C.R. Beal  
Franklin Lewis

**NEIGHBORHOOD  
ANALYSIS  
PENSACOLA  
SMSA**

The preparation of this document was financially aided through a Federal Grant from the Department of Housing and Urban Development under the urban planning assistance program authorized by Section 701 of the *Housing Act of 1954* as amended.

<b>Project Number</b>	ESRRPC 73-02
<b>Title</b>	PENSACOLA SMSA NEIGHBORHOOD ANALYSIS
<b>Report Date</b>	JUNE 1973
<b>Author</b>	STAFF, ESCAMBIA-SANTA ROSA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL
<b>Performing Organization</b>	ESCAMBIA-SANTA ROSA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL
<b>Address</b>	P.O. BOX 486 PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32593
<b>Project Number</b>	FLA. P-1005
<b>Contract Number</b>	FLA. P-1005
<b>Sponsoring Agency</b>	DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
<b>Address</b>	PENINSULAR PLAZA 661 RIVERSIDE AVENUE JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32204
<b>Type of Report</b>	FINAL
<b>Period Covered</b>	JULY 1, 1972 TO JUNE 30, 1973
<b>Abstract</b>	This report is the result of findings relating to the ever-increasing squalor referred to as blight. The report provides an up to date inventory of existing structural condition of housing in the Pensacola SMSA. Blight is further treated in relation to the existing social-pathology of which exist in the designated neighborhood areas. A strategy for the treatment of blight, by local government, is also presented.



<b>Identifiers</b>	DEMOGRAPHY, BLIGHTED AREAS, NEIGHBORHOODS, POPULATIONS, URBAN AREAS, URBAN PLANNING, REGIONAL PLANNING
<b>Availability</b>	"RELEASE UNLIMITED"  ESCAMBIA-SANTA ROSA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL P.O. BOX 486 PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32593  NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE 2285 PORT ROYAL ROAD SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22151
<b>Security Class</b>	UNCLASSIFIED
<b>Number of Pages</b>	118
<b>Price</b>	\$3.00

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT .....	800
LIST OF TABLES .....	805
LIST OF FIGURES .....	807
I. INTRODUCTION .....	809
References .....	817
II. METHODOLOGY .....	818
References .....	826
III. NEIGHBORHOOD DELINEATION AND ANALYSIS ...	827
Neighborhood One .....	876
Neighborhood Eight .....	881
Neighborhood Nine .....	882
Neighborhood Eleven .....	882
Neighborhood Thirteen .....	883
Neighborhood Sixteen .....	884
Neighborhood Twenty One .....	886
References .....	888
IV. BLIGHT-SOCIAL DISORGANIZATION RELATIONSHIPS .....	889
V. RECOMMENDATIONS .....	895
References .....	918

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 Social Pathology Totals .....	815
2 Neighborhood Versus Neighborhood Correlation Coefficients .....	824
3 Neighborhood No. 1 Inventory .....	828
4 Neighborhood No. 2 Inventory .....	830
5 Neighborhood No. 3 Inventory .....	832
6 Neighborhood No. 4 Inventory .....	834
7 Neighborhood No. 5 Inventory .....	836
8 Neighborhood No. 6 Inventory .....	838
9 Neighborhood No. 7 Inventory .....	840
10 Neighborhood No. 8 Inventory .....	842
11 Neighborhood No. 9 Inventory .....	844
12 Neighborhood No. 10 Inventory .....	846
13 Neighborhood No. 11 Inventory .....	848
14 Neighborhood No. 12 Inventory .....	850
15 Neighborhood No. 13 Inventory .....	852
16 Neighborhood No. 14 Inventory .....	854
17 Neighborhood No. 15 Inventory .....	856
18 Neighborhood No. 16 Inventory .....	858
19 Neighborhood No. 17 Inventory .....	860

20	Neighborhood No. 18 Inventory .....	862
21	Neighborhood No. 19 Inventory .....	864
22	Neighborhood No. 20 Inventory .....	866
23	Neighborhood No. 21 Inventory .....	868
24	Neighborhood No. 22 Inventory .....	870
25	Indicators of Social Pathology .....	873
26	Rates Per 1000 Families .....	879
27	Social Pathology Correlation Coefficients .....	891
28	Ranks of Selected Social Pathologies .....	893

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 Pensacola SMSA Neighborhoods .....	811
2 Urban Area Neighborhoods .....	812
3 Historical Blight Areas .....	814
4 Field Survey Card .....	820
5 Neighborhood No. 1 .....	829
6 Neighborhood No. 2 .....	831
7 Neighborhood No. 3 .....	833
8 Neighborhood No. 4 .....	835
9 Neighborhood No. 5 .....	837
10 Neighborhood No. 6 .....	839
11 Neighborhood No. 7 .....	841
12 Neighborhood No. 8 .....	843
13 Neighborhood No. 9 .....	845
14 Neighborhood No. 10 .....	847
15 Neighborhood No. 11 .....	849
16 Neighborhood No. 12 .....	851
17 Neighborhood No. 13 .....	853
18 Neighborhood No. 14 .....	855
19 Neighborhood No. 15 .....	857
20 Neighborhood No. 16 .....	859

21	Neighborhood No. 17 .....	00
22	Neighborhood No. 18 .....	863
23	Neighborhood No. 19 .....	865
24	Neighborhood No. 20 .....	867
25	Neighborhood No. 21 .....	869
26	Neighborhood No. 22 .....	871
27	Areas of Critical Social Disorganization .....	877
28	Junk Accumulation .....	902
29	Converted Residential Use .....	902
30	Indiscriminate Trash Dumping .....	903
31	Commercial Abandonment .....	903
32	Commercial Abandonment .....	904
33	Waterfront Abandonment .....	904
34	Shoreline Litter .....	905
35	Industrial-Residential Conflict .....	905
36	Commercial-Residential Conflict .....	906
37	Residential Class Conflict .....	906
38	Agricultural-Residential-Industrial Incompatibility .....	907



## INTRODUCTION

BLIGHT! What is it? Where is it? Why is it? Where is it going? How can it be stopped or eliminated? Should it be stopped or eliminated? If so, to what degree? These brief, general questions appropriately describe the purpose of this neighborhood analysis.

Blight, commonly identified by substandard housing conditions, affects thousands of residents in the Pensacola SMSA, according to the 1970 data published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The U.S. Bureau of the Census identified the actual number of residents directly affected by substandard housing conditions; but, blight does effect an additional unidentified portion of the population which is presumably equal to or greater than the number affected.

A solution to the general questions posed will achieve the objective of this study. The objective being to *explicitly* identify a strategy or combination of strategies which are realistic, readily applicable, relatively easily applied, and *will* serve to curtail the squalor delicately referred to as blight.

To investigate the ramifications of blight it was necessary to divide the study area into neighborhoods. This division required the identification of neighborhood isolation techniques; a literature review was conducted to become familiar with neighborhood isolation techniques. Seemingly, each "neighborhood" investigator employed his own unique methods of identifying neighborhood boundaries, enabling him to derive desired data. For instance, some of the descriptors Clarence Perry (1, p. 568) employed were: a geographical area considered an ideal sized elementary school district bounded by major


thoroughfares, in addition to possessing neighborhood focal points and neighborhood amenities.

Others have dealt with neighborhoods in the context of common interest, mobility, primary group development, conditional behavior, homogeneity, population density, economics, nationality (race), and geography (2, pp. 569-583).

With these diverse variables in mind, we next identified data sources which were available to us, in conjunction with our budget constraints, and settled upon using a median income — census tract(s) combination as neighborhood descriptors. Contiguous census tracts in which the median family income range did not vary more than \$1,000 were grouped together as a "neighborhood". Only two areas, out of 22, did not rigidly conform to the median family income range criteria. The neighborhood and analysis areas are depicted in figures one and two (pp. 3 and 4).

In 1968, the City of Pensacola published a neighborhood analysis of the City of Pensacola and adjacent portions of Escambia County. In 1970, the Escambia-Santa Rosa Regional

FIG. 1 PENSACOLA SMSA NEIGHBORHOODS




NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES .....

CENSUS TRACT BOUNDARIES ———

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBERS (17)

FIG. 2 URBAN AREA NEIGHBORHOODS




NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES .....  
 CENSUS TRACT BOUNDARIES —  
 NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBERS (17)

Planning Council published a study which identified eight general "blight" areas; the purpose of the study was primarily a housing element and not a blight treatise. The blight locations identified in these studies are shown as part of figure 3 (p. 6).

For the overall study area, data was collected within the realm of five general categories. These categories were: structural and environmental conditions, general social and economic characteristics, population, community facilities, and social conditions. A summary of the neighborhood data is provided by Table 1 (pp. 7 and 8).

FIG. 3 HISTORICAL BLIGHT AREAS



## BLIGHT AREA BOUNDARIES



1970 CENSUS



PENSACOLA NEIGHBORHOOD ANALYSIS - 1968

REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL HOUSING  
STUDY - 1970



**TABLE I. SOCIAL PATHOLOGY TOTALS**

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
V. D.	16	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	1	0
T. B.	11	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	2
FIRES	471	23	51	15	32	104	13	73	76	32	67
DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES	24	1	0	0	1	2	0	9	10	3	6
MENTAL HEALTH	21	0	6	1	4	5	7	5	7	3	6
WELFARE	101	7	10	0	2	5	7	25	61	30	14
CRIME	277	12	33	11	21	30	4	14	22	5	31
PARKS (ACRES)	37	2	27	39	25	9	0	5	11	6	25
HOUSING	121	1	4	0	0	8	5	18	100	3	5
UNEMPLOYED	53	4	5	4	4	5	8	12	27	10	21
DRUG ABUSE	10	3	5	2	12	3	3	3	3	1	5

815

TABLE I. (continued)

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBER	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
V. D.	3	0	1	5	4	2	0	3	0	32	0
T. B.	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	6	0
FIRES	51	40	34	12	54	4	12	34	13	65	5
DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES	5	6	4	2	5	6	0	3	0	0	0
MENTAL HEALTH	6	7	8	3	14	1	1	2	2	5	1
WELFARE	1	12	4	15	20	3	5	3	0	43	17
CRIME	13	2	1	2	16	1	17	4	10	9	0
PARKS (ACRES)	24	12	14	0	55	11	13	9	192	19	2
HOUSING	1	4	3	8	8	1	0	0	0	20	9
UNEMPLOYED	6	16	11	8	14	6	7	18	5	7	0
DRUG ABUSE	7	7	2	4	7	3	1	6	6	1	0

## REFERENCES

1. Winholtz, Wilfred G. "Planning and the Public"  
*Principles and Practice of Urban Planning*, ed.  
William I. Goodman and Eric C. Freund, Wash-  
ington, D.C.: International City Manager's Associa-  
tion, 1968.
2. Mann, Peter H. "The Neighborhood", *Neighborhood  
City, and Metropolis*, ed. Marvin Bressler, New  
York: Random House, 1970.

## II. METHODOLOGY

The data to be collected for the neighborhood analysis, segregated data collection into two separate activities.

One activity involved a structural inventory of a systematic sample (a five percent sample) of the tax parcels listed on the 1971-72 tax rolls of each county.

The second activity involved obtaining a systematic sample (a five percent sample) of selected social-pathology indicators.

A third activity involved statistical manipulation of all collected data in such a manner as to prove or disprove common assumptions of neighborhoods.

The structural survey involved parcel selection, identification, and evaluation. The parcel selection phase was accomplished with the cooperation of the tax assessor's office in both counties of the Pensacola SMSA. A systematic sample (one was the randomly selected initial value) was then obtained from the tax rolls. The sample selected in Santa Rosa County was manually selected due to the absence of a computer capability in that tax office.

In Escambia County the Data Processing Center developed a computer program which would select a five percent sample of the listed tax parcels and print the following data upon a previously prepared form (Figure 4, p. 13) parcel account number, property reference number, owners name, and the *owners* address.

The field survey was conducted by summer employees who lacked experience in structural evaluation. This lack of experience necessitated the development of a training program for the employees. This training program was administered by Mr. Don Roberts, the head of the Minimum

Housing Department of the City of Pensacola. Continuous field checks indicated the training program comprehension was successful in that the field evaluations were extremely accurate. The field evaluations extended from June 18, 1972 to September 15, 1972, during which time 12,183 parcels of property were evaluated.

The field survey data collected consisted of data pertaining to roads, building condition, building occupancy, land use, utilities, and a noise rating (Figure 4, p. 13).

During the last week in August, the social-pathology data collection was initiated. This data was collected by the Regional Planning Council Staff assigned to the neighborhood analysis project.

As was the field survey sample, the social-pathology sample was a systematic sample of available data.

Social pathology indicators for which a five percent sample was collected, were: venereal disease, tuberculosis, fires, juvenile delinquency, mental health, welfare, crime, housing, unemployment, high school dropouts, and drug abuse. The data was recorded by general address; i.e. 800 block of a street instead of 829 such and such a street. The general addresses enabled plotting the data in the proper neighborhood block, on a grid map the scale of which was 1" = 1666'.

Due to the delicate nature of venereal disease data and fear of possible disclosures, the Escambia County Health Department provided a five percent sample for a time period between August 1 and October 30, 1972. The VD data, 180 samples occurring during the sampled time frame, identified type of VD, sex, rate, and age of patient in addition to a general address. All of the cases in the sample were cases of gonorrhea. One must bear in mind

[illegible]



that the VD sample consists of people who could not afford to be treated by a private physician or patients wishing to keep such information from their family physician. In that respect the VD sample is not all inclusive; but is as complete as feasible due to the fact that private physicians do not reveal this type of information. Venereal disease treated by the Santa Rosa County Health Department occurs either in the City of Milton or its immediate vicinity only, according to the head of the Santa Rosa County Health Department.

Tuberculosis data, only active cases, was also provided by the Escambia and Santa Rosa County Health Department. The time frame for TB, as holds true for the remainder of the social-pathology indicators to be described, was July 1, 1971, through June 30, 1972. Thirty-three TB samples were identified; we feel the sample accurately reflects the active cases due to the willingness to report this type of health problem and the lack of a social stigma such as is associated with VD.

Data on fires, by address and type, were collected by the Regional Planning Council Staff. This data was collected with the utmost cooperation of all volunteer and non-volunteer fire departments in the study area. Fire data collected was comprised of: house fires, commercial fires, accidental false alarms, malicious false alarms, fire bombs, and building fires caused by arson.

The data sample collected from the Division of Youth Services consisted of runaways, theft, incorrigibles, shoplifters, truants, discipline cases, and drug abusers.

An active case load sample was obtained from the local mental health agency. The local mental health organization provides outpatient services (psychological evalua-

tion), emergency services (crisis oriented), day treatment (alternative to hospitalization), vocational rehabilitation, inpatient psychiatric services, precare services (adjudication of incompetency), alcohol counseling, drug abuse treatment, learning disabilities, and aftercare services.

The above listing of the mental health agency activities is included to clarify the agency activities and dispell the mentally disturbed stigma historically attached to mental health agency activities. To obtain this sample data it was necessary to make one concession; the drug abuse cases could not be included in our sample. Again this sample does not reflect the entire mental health case load due to affluent persons patronizing private treatment sources.

Crime statistics were obtained from all law enforcement agencies within the study area. Samples selected from daily reports included assault, breaking and entering, theft (grand and petty larceny), murder (100% sample), robbery, vandalism, shootings, suicide and suicide attempts, and disturbances. This data was plotted by address of offender and not by address of the location of the reported offense.

Housing data was a consolidation of the Regional Planning Council field survey and available census data.

Unemployment data consists of those individuals listed with the State Employment Office as unemployed. Although each individual is listed by job classification, age, sex, address, and race, the data shown in chapter three only reflects their place of residence. No determination of underemployment was attempted.

High school dropouts, catagorized by reason for withdrawal and high school, data was provided by the school boards. The majority withdrew due to either a lack

of interest, economic reasons, marriage, military service, school of correction, or unknown reasons.

Narcotics data were obtained from law enforcement agencies and the Division of Youth Services. A probable inaccuracy of narcotics data is due to reporting practices. For example, if a user commits a robbery or a breaking and entering offense for the purpose of obtaining merchandisable items to support his habit, the report lists only the offense committed. Local narcotics agents indicate that at *least* 70 percent of the robberies and breaking and entering offenses are committed by persons with a drug history.

The blight-sociological implications of this data will be developed in full detail in chapter four. The statistical method used to obtain some insights to the blight-social-pathology relationships was Spearman's Rank Correlation (1, p. 97)

$$p = 1 - \frac{6S(D^2)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$$

$p$  = the measure of correlation

$D$  = the difference between two ranks  
given for each statistic

$N$  = number of statistics

All ties were assigned the average value of their rank order sum.

Table 2, (pp. 18 and 19) is comprised of the correlation values obtained for each social-pathology indicator, neighborhood versus neighborhood. Chapter three will provide the narrative generated by Table 2 (pp. 18 and 19).

TABLE 2 NEIGHBORHOOD VERSUS NEIGHBORHOOD CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS

Neighborhood	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1		.5262	.7360	.6591	.4948	.8881	.4624	.9056	.8269	.6224	.7465
2	.5262		.6713	.6678	.8024	.5787	.4519	.5420	.4755	.6661	.6993
3	.7360	.6713		.6049	.8899	.9318	.5516	.7273	.6014	.6801	.8322
4	.6591	.6678	.6049		.5262	.6836	.1809	.5804	.6888	.7185	.7570
5	.4948	.8024	.8899	.5262		.7430	.4449	.4808	.3427	.5927	.7290
6	.8881	.5787	.9318	.6836	.7430		.4904	.8042	.7010	.6224	.8514
7	.4624	.4519	.5516	.1809	.4449	.4904		.6547	.5621	.6862	.5166
8	.4056	.5420	.7273	.5804	.9808	.8042	.6547		.9021	.7587	.7570
9	.8269	.4755	.6014	.6888	.3427	.7010	.5621	.9021		.7605	.5927
10	.6224	.6661	.6801	.7185	.5927	.6224	.6862	.7587	.7606		.8129
11	.7465	.6993	.8322	.7570	.7290	.8514	.5166	.7570	.5927	.8129	
12	.3899	.5586	.6206	.5979	.6888	.6696	.1337	.2780	.1206	.3234	.7150
13	.3759	.4790	.5437	.4371	.6171	.5437	.6180	.5559	.4248	.7290	.6801
14	.2185	.2255	.3934	.4668	.3566	.3794	.5358	.3952	.4117	.7500	.5612
15	.4091	.4441	.2657	.1486	.2640	.2448	.6652	.5594	.6066	.4371	.1381
16	.6206	.6696	.9073	.7115	.8252	.8129	.5463	.6906	.6084	.8724	.8759
17	.0857	.3531	.0787	.5944	.2378	.1591	.0341	.2238	.2430	.5367	.4510
18	.6276	.7115	.6101	.8986	.5507	.6381	.2159	.5420	.6101	.7570	.7587
19	.3374	.8094	.4895	.7465	.6678	.5507	.2841	.3531	.3147	.5892	.6976
20	.4423	.7360	.5839	.7990	.6923	.6346	.0638	.3636	.3566	.4563	.6591
21	.7465	.3147	.4965	.5035	.3287	.5612	.2334	.5979	.7483	.4248	.2850
22	.5175	.4038	.1757	.2177	.1792	.2334	.4353	.6023	.6355	.3593	.1337

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE @ 0.05 = 0.5636

TABLE 2 (continued)

Neighborhood	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	.3899	.3759	.2185	.4091	.6206	.0857	.6276	.3374	.4423	.7465	.5175
2	.5586	.4790	.2255	.4441	.6696	.3531	.7115	.8094	.7360	.3147	.4038
3	.6206	.5437	.3934	.2657	.9073	.0787	.6101	.4895	.5839	.4965	.1757
4	.5979	.4371	.4668	.1486	.7115	.5944	.8986	.7465	.7990	.5035	.2177
5	.6888	.6171	.3566	.2640	.8252	.2378	.5507	.6678	.6923	.3287	.1792
6	.6696	.5437	.3794	.2448	.8129	.1591	.6381	.5507	.6346	.5612	.2334
7	.6180	.6180	.5358	.6652	.5463	.0341	.2159	.2841	.0638	.2334	.4353
8	.2780	.5559	.3952	.5594	.6906	.2238	.5420	.3531	.3636	.5979	.6023
9	.1206	.4248	.4117	.6066	.6084	.2430	.6101	.3147	.3566	.7483	.6355
10	.3234	.7290	.7500	.4371	.8724	.5367	.7570	.5892	.4563	.4248	.3593
11	.7150	.6801	.5612	.1381	.8759	.4510	.7587	.6976	.6591	.2850	.1337
12		.5175	.3462	.0699	.5699	.4231	.4283	.7972	.7797	.0262	.1372
13	.5175		.8339	.3986	.6940	.6888	.3252	.6626	.4633	.1311	.2439
14	.3462	.8339		.1888	.6329	.6731	.3636	.4895	.3147	.1031	.0184
15	.0699	.3986	.1888		.2360	.0892	.0367	.2010	.0087	.5157	.7841
16	.5699	.6940	.6329	.2360		.4047	.7150	.5979	.5734	.4056	.0883
17	.4231	.6888	.6731	.0982	.4047		.4021	.7177	.5472	.0647	.1198
18	.4283	.3252	.3636	.0367	.7150	.4021		.6084	.7133	.4563	.2072
19	.7972	.6626	.4895	.2010	.5979	.7177	.6084		.8462	.0594	.1809
20	.7797	.4633	.3147	.0087	.5734	.5472	.7133	.8462		.2413	.2124
21	.0262	.1311	.1031	.5157	.4056	.0647	.4563	.0594	.2413		.5061
22	.1372	.2439	.0184	.7841	.0383	.1198	.2072	.1809	.2124	.5061	

## REFERENCE

1. Arkin, Harold and Cotton, Raymond R. *Statistical Methods*. New York: Barnes & Noble, Inc., 1970



### III. NEIGHBORHOOD DELINEATION AND ANALYSIS

As explained earlier the study area was subdivided into 22 neighborhoods based entirely on data available in the 1970 census. These data and related maps form an inventory for each neighborhood and are presented in this section.

In addition to the inventory of pathologies, a list of selected general socio-economic data completes the statistical typology for each neighborhood.


Median family income was used in the inventory because it better represents the central value especially when there are extremes in income which would influence the mean unduly. This was listed under the heading of "Family and Unrelated Individuals" income in the census report.

The original list of pathologies included an entry entitled "High School Dropouts". This entry was eventually dropped from the inventory typology in favor of the heading "Median School Years Completed". The latter provided more concise information relative to educational levels than the former.

The entry "Families With Income Below Poverty Level", as listed on the inventory page, was defined by a Federal Interagency Committee in 1969 to be a non-farm family of four, earning \$3,743 or less.

**TABLE 3 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 1  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	471
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	24
WELFARE	101
HEALTH	48
TUBERCULOSIS	11
MENTAL HEALTH	21
VENEREAL DISEASE	16
CRIME	277
PARKS (Acres)	37.79
UNEMPLOYED	53
DRUG ABUSE	10
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	121
<b>GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA</b>	
POPULATION	18,360
% BLACK	77.27
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$4,338
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	57
LAND AREA (Acres)	1,961
PERSONS/ACRE	9.36
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	2.06
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	6,524
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.17
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	9.7
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	747
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	1,601




**TABLE 4 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 2  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	23
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	1
WELFARE	7
HEALTH	3
TUBERCULOSIS	1
MENTAL HEALTH	0
VENEREAL DISEASE	2
CRIME	12
PARKS (Acre)	2.55
UNEMPLOYED	4
DRUG ABUSE	3
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	1

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	2,203
% BLACK	35.31
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$10,349
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	59
LAND AREA (Acres)	305.7
PERSONS/ACRE	7.21
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	1.16
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	941
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	2.78
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.5
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	149
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	50




**TABLE 5 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 3  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	51
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	10
HEALTH	7
TUBERCULOSIS	1
MENTAL HEALTH	6
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	33
PARKS (Acres)	27.78
UNEMPLOYED	5
DRUG ABUSE	5
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	4






**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	5,601
% BLACK	23.12
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$7,389
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	33
LAND AREA (Acres)	839
PERSONS/ACRE	6.68
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	4.96
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,411
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	2.54
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.0
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	204
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	238





## LEGEND

-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE




FIG. 7

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 3

**TABLE 6 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 4  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	15
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	0
HEALTH	1
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	1
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	11
PARKS	39.0
UNEMPLOYED	4
DRUG ABUSE	2
UNSOOUND HOUSING UNITS	0

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	3,372
% BLACK	3.91
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$11,256
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	19
LAND AREA (Acres)	590.7
PERSONS/ACRE	5.71
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	11.57
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	1,377
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	2.59
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.7
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	76
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	19



**TABLE 7 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 5  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	32
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	1
WELFARE	2
HEALTH	4
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	4
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	21
PARKS (Acre)	25.16
UNEMPLOYED	4
DRUG ABUSE	12
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	0

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	7,029
% BLACK	0.01
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$12,671
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	26
LAND AREA (Acres)	2,246.5
PERSONS/ACRE	3.13
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	3.58
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,335
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.20
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.9
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	135
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	83




**TABLE 8 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 6  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	104
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	2
WELFARE	5
HEALTH	6
TUBERCULOSIS	1
MENTAL HEALTH	5
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	30
PARKS (Acre)	9.7
UNEMPLOYED	5
DRUG ABUSE	3
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	8

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	4,659
% BLACK	12.51
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$7,221
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	33
LAND AREA (Acres)	1,083.6
PERSONS/ACRE	4.30
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	2.08
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	1,759
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	2.92
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	10.5
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	165
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	204





**TABLE 9 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 7  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	13
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	7
HEALTH	8
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	7
VENEREAL DISEASE	1
CRIME	4
PARKS (Acres)	0
UNEMPLOYED	8
DRUG ABUSE <sup>3</sup> UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	5
<b>GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA</b>	
POPULATION	6,720
0 . 7% BLACK	
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$7,364
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	37
LAND AREA (Acres)	1,244.7
PERSONS/ACRES	5.40
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	0--
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,544
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	2.91
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	11.8
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	232
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	246

**Page Missing from  
Filming Copy**

**Page Missing from  
Filming Copy**




**TABLE 11 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 9  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	76
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	10
WELFARE	61
HEALTH	18
TUBERCULOSIS	2
MENTAL HEALTH	7
VENEREAL DISEASE	9
CRIME	22
PARKS (Acre)	11.12
UNEMPLOYED	27
DRUG ABUSE	3
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	100

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	11,719
% BLACK	68.64
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$5,263
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	27
LAND AREA (Acres)	2,141.4
PERSONS/ACRE	5.47
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	0.95
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	3,429
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.73
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	9.0
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	284
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	871





## LEGEND







-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 13

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 9

**TABLE 12 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 10  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	32
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	3
WELFARE	20
HEALTH	5
TUBERCULOSIS	1
MENTAL HEALTH	3
VENEREAL DISEASE	1
CRIME	5
PARKS (Acre)	6.89
UNEMPLOYED	10
DRUG ABUSE	1
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	3
<b>GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA</b>	
POPULATION	6,809
% BLACK	21.83
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$7,929
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	26
LAND AREA (Acres)	3,875.0
PERSONS/ACRE	1.76
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	1.01
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,080
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.54
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.0
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	154
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	314



## LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 14


NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 10

**TABLE 13 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 11  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	67
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	6
WELFARE	14
HEALTH	8
TUBERCULOSIS	2
MENTAL HEALTH	6
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	31
PARKS (Acres)	25.37
UNEMPLOYED	21
DRUG ABUSE	5
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	5






**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	8,661
% BLACK	6.98
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$9,640
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	25
LAND AREA (Acres)	3,578.5
PERSONS/ACRE	2.42
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	2.93
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	3,893
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	2.32
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.5
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	55
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	100



849

# LEGEND

-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE




FIG. 15


NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 11

**TABLE 14 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 12  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	51
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	5
WELFARE	1
HEALTH	9
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	6
VENEREAL DISEASE	3
CRIME	13
PARKS (Acres)	24.86
UNEMPLOYED	6
DRUG ABUSE	7
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	1

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	8,302
% BLACK	0.02
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME	\$11,709
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	14
LAND AREA (Acres)	5,259.6
PERSONS/ACRE	1.58
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	2.99
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,414
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.56
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.8
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	81
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	66



## LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 16

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 12



**TABLE 15 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 13  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	40
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	8
WELFARE	12
HEALTH	9
TUBERCULOSIS	2
MENTAL HEALTH	7
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	2
PARKS (Acres)	12.05
UNEMPLOYED	16
DRUG ABUSE	7
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	4

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	13,988
% BLACK	0.49
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$9,145
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	21
LAND AREA (Acres)	4,808.7
PERSONS/ACRE	2.91
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	0.86
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	3,801
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.84
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.25
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	158
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	228



## LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 5,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 17

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 13

**TABLE 16 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 14  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	34
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	4
WELFARE	4
HEALTH	11
TUBERCULOSIS	2
MENTAL HEALTH	8
VENEREAL DISEASE	1
CRIME	1
PARKS (Acres)	14.65
UNEMPLOYED	11
DRUG ABUSE	2
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	11,639
% BLACK	1.31
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$9,403
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	17
LAND AREA (Acres)	2,473.4
PERSONS/ACRES	4.71
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	1.26
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	3,353
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.63
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.35
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	150
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	226



# LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 18

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 14

**TABLE 17 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 15  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	12
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	2
WELFARE	15
HEALTH	8
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	3
VENEREAL DISEASE	5
CRIME	2
PARKS (Acres)	0
UNEMPLOYED	8
DRUG ABUSE	4
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	8

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	7,340
% BLACK	46.40
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$7,448
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	15
LAND AREA (Acres)	4,954.2
PERSONS/ACRES	1.48
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	---
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	1,916
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.96
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	11.9
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	63
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	283



## LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 19

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 15

**TABLE 18 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 16  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	54
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	5
WELFARE	20
HEALTH	19
TUBERCULOSIS	1
MENTAL HEALTH	14
VENEREAL DISEASE	4
CRIME	16
PARKS (Acres)	55.67
UNEMPLOYED	14
DRUG ABUSE	7
UNSOOUND HOUSING UNITS	8

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	19,053
% BLACK	7.88
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$7,842
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	40
LAND AREA (Acres)	9,562.0
PERSONS/ACRES	2.00
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	2.92
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	6,441
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.25
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.35
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	562
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	683






FIG. 20

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 18

**TABLE 19 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 17  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	54
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	6
WELFARE	3
HEALTH	4
TUBERCULOSIS	1
MENTAL HEALTH	1
VENEREAL DISEASE	2
CRIME	1
PARKS (Acres)	11.32
UNEMPLOYED	6
DRUG ABUSE	3
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	1

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	7,841
% BLACK	0.38
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$8,549
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	
LAND AREA (Acres)	12,524.5
PERSONS/ACRES	0.63
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	1.44
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,374
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.47
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	11.7
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	112
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	213



**TABLE 20 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 18  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	12
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	5
HEALTH	1
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	1
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	17
PARKS (Acres)	13.41
UNEMPLOYED	7
DRUG ABUSE	1
UNSOUND HOUSING UNITS	0

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	4,489
% BLACK	12.34
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$8,163
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	29
LAND AREA (Acres)	2,578.6
PERSONS/ACRES	1.74
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	2.99
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	1,393
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.38
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	11.6
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	66
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	136



863

# LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 22


NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 18

**TABLE 21 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 19  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	34
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	3
WELFARE	3
HEALTH	5
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	2
VENEREAL DISEASE	3
CRIME	4
PARKS (Acres)	9.96
UNEMPLOYED	18
DRUG ABUSE	6
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	0

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	10,121
% BLACK	11.17
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$7,924
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	19
LAND AREA (Acres)	6,892.2
PERSONS/ACRES	1.47
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	0.98
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,578
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	4.08
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.1
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	98
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	256



# LEGEND






-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARY
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 23

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 19



**TABLE 22 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 20  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	13
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	0
HEALTH	2
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	2
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	10
PARKS (Acres)	192.69
UNEMPLOYED	5
DRUG ABUSE	6
UNSOOUND HOUSING UNITS	0

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	4,190
% BLACK	0.04
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$12,945
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	23
LAND AREA (Acres)	3,161.5
PERSONS/ACRES	1.33
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	45.99
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	1,336
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.52
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	13.1
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	144
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	61

# LEGEND





-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 24



GULF BREEZE

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 20

**TABLE 23 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 21  
INVENTORY**

<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	65
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	43
HEALTH	43
TUBERCULOSIS	6
MENTAL HEALTH	5
VENEREAL DISEASE	32
CRIME	9
PARKS (Acres)	19.8
UNEMPLOYED	7
DRUG ABUSE	1
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	194

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	6,588
% BLACK	13.58
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$7,524
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	30
LAND AREA (Acres)	3,520.4
PERSONS/ACRE	1.87
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	3.01
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	2,276
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.25
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	12.3
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	249
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	318



MILTON, FLORIDA

LEGEND



PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH



ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)



FIRE DEPT.



PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

FIG. 25

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 21

**TABLE 24 NEIGHBORHOOD No. 22  
INVENTORY**





<u>PATHOLOGY</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FIRES	5
DIV. YOUTH SERVICES	0
WELFARE	17
HEALTH	1
TUBERCULOSIS	0
MENTAL HEALTH	1
VENEREAL DISEASE	0
CRIME	0
PARKS (Acres)	2.50
UNEMPLOYED	0
DRUG ABUSE	0
UN SOUND HOUSING UNITS	9

**GENERAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA**

POPULATION	672
% BLACK	0.85
MEDIAN INCOME/CENSUS TRACT	\$6,813
RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING (%)	19
LAND AREA (Acres)	1,026.6
PERSONS/ACRES	0.65
PARK ACRES/1000 PERSONS	3.72
YEAR ROUND HOUSING UNITS	~1,183
PERSON/OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT	3.94
MEDIAN SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED	10.6
YEAR ROUND VACANT HOUSING	119
FAMILIES WITH INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL	194



## LEGEND

-  PUBLIC SCHOOL - ELEM., MIDDLE, HIGH
-  ROADS HAVING 9,000+ AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)
-  FIRE DEPT.
-  PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

JAY, FLORIDA

FIG. 26

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 22

Delineation of neighborhoods and related data are listed on pages 22 through 65.

Table 3 pages 67 and 68 represents a summary of all neighborhood statistical relationships in the form of a Social Pathology Index (SPI). The application of this index reduces large amounts of data on social problems, economic dependence, and various other socio-economic factors into *one* numerical value of social-disorganization. This index allows a comprehensive and composite description of the socio-economic characteristics of blighted and non-blighted neighborhoods.

The SPI is an analitical tool and was derived from concepts developed by the City of Providence, Rhode Island, in their Neighborhood Analysis. The City of San Jose, California, also employed the SPI Technique together with computer technology to formulate their neighborhood analysis.

In describing the Social Pathology Index the San Jose Neighborhood Analysis states:

"The Index is very general in nature. It shows that one area of the city has more problems than another, further, the Index only ranks neighborhoods; it does not show that the higher score is unacceptable by community standards and it does not show how much variation there is between neighborhoods-the standard used here is relative rather than absolute. Regardless of how a neighborhood compares with other cities, it gets a high score when it has more problems than other neighborhoods . . ."

Judgements concerning the relative importance of the variables or their weights were not made. All of the variables listed constitute problematic situations which are



TABLE 25 INDICATORS OF SOCIAL PATHOLOGY

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBER	DRUGS	VENEREAL DISEASE	TUBERCULOSIS	FIRE	DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES
1	9	9	10	10	10
2	6	5	2	6	3
3	7	1	3	7	1
4	3	1	4	4	1
5	10	1	1	6	2
6	5	1	4	9	4
7	5	2	1	4	1
8	4	2	5	9	9
9	4	9	8	9	9
10	2	2	5	6	5
11	7	1	8	8	8
12	9	5	1	7	6
13	9	1	7	7	8
14	3	3	7	5	5
15	6	8	1	3	3
16	8	7	6	8	7
17	3	4	6	2	7
18	2	1	1	3	1
19	8	6	1	5	4
20	8	1	1	4	1
21	2	10	9	8	1
22	1	1	1	3	1

TABLE 25 - (continued)

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBER	MENTAL HEALTH	WELFARE	CRIME	HOUSING	UNEMPLOYMENT	SOCIAL PATHOLOGY INDEX
1	10	10	10	9	10	9.70
2	1	5	6	3	2	3.90
3	7	6	9	5	4	5.00
4	3	1	6	1	3	2.70
5	5	3	8	1	4	4.10
6	7	4	9	6	6	5.50
7	8	5	4	6	7	4.30
8	7	8	6	8	9	6.70
9	8	9	8	9	7	8.00
10	5	9	4	4	9	5.10
11	8	7	9	6	4	6.60
12	8	2	6	3	8	5.50
13	9	6	3	5	8	6.30
14	9	4	3	4	6	4.90
15	4	7	3	7	8	5.00
16	9	8	7	7	5	7.20
17	3	3	7	2	5	4.20
18	3	4	8	1	9	3.30
19	4	3	4	1	9	4.50
20	4	1	5	1	3	2.90
21	6	9	5	8	5	6.30
22	2	8	1	10	1	2.90

of interest to local government. Obviously, all of the variables are interrelated to some degree, which will be described in chapter four.

Construction of the Social Pathology Index Involved:

1. Divide the Study Area into sub-units for comparison. Census tract boundaries were used to form twenty-two neighborhoods, each of which are composed of one or more census tracts.
2. Select variables and collect data. Data used depended upon the data availability. The most important ingredients of the Index included relevant variables and accurate data.
3. Convert the raw data into rates. In order to make comparisons between neighborhoods of different sizes, data was reduced to rates per 1000 families.
4. Rank the neighborhoods. Taking one variable at a time, the ranked neighborhoods were scored on a scale from one to ten, with ten being the highest or worst score. A score of one is reserved for a value of zero. Neighborhoods that had values above zero were divided as equally as possible and assigned scores from two to ten. This process is analogous to calculating a median rather than mean to describe the data.
5. Having converted the raw data into pure members, we average the individual scores of all variables to form the index for each neighborhood. The result is a single value for each neighborhood, which is the Social Pathology Index Value.

As previously stated the SPI shows one area has relatively more problems than other areas. Close examination will show index scores ranging from a low of 2.90, for

the town of Jay, to a high 9.70 in the downtown Pensacola core area.

The range of scores reveals that the majority of the neighborhoods have an index value less than 6.00, but seven neighborhoods with a mean of 7.28 scored above 6.00 value. It was decided that 6.00 represented a logical break-point, in terms of which neighborhoods should or should not be considered as treatment areas.


Those neighborhoods above the 6.00 SPI value were 1, 8, 9, 11, 13, 16, and 21. These are shown in figure 27 page 71, except neighborhoods 16 and 21. Neighborhood number 16, which covers the Warrington area, is shown as figure 20 page 53. Neighborhood number 21, the City of Milton, is shown on figure 25 page 63. These seven neighborhoods constitute areas of critical social disorganization, by exceeding the 6.00 Social Pathology Index value and are the neighborhoods which will receive detailed treatment in this report.

Those neighborhoods below the 6.00 SPI number are relatively free of significant social disorganization, although some high values existed in isolated cases. One of the most salient examples involved the "Drug Abuse" category, in that neighborhood number five had the highest drug abuse SPI value in the entire study area, while the other categories within the same neighborhood scored relatively lower values.

## NEIGHBORHOOD ONE

Neighborhood One, the inner-city core area, scored either nine or ten in all pathology categories. This neighborhood is shown as figure 27 page 71, and covers the oldest sections of Pensacola. Over 73 percent of the existing housing units in this neighborhood were constructed by 1939.

FIG. 27 AREAS OF CRITICAL SOCIAL DISORGANIZATION



NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES .....

CENSUS TRACT BOUNDARIES —

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBERS

(17)

NEIGHBORHOOD NO. 11

The Regional Planning Council survey (10 percent sample) identified 121 unsound housing units in this neighborhood. This survey was conducted, using a computer print-out, by property parcels as listed on the 1971 tax rolls. Assuming all property surveyed in this area had a residential structure upon it, we can infer an approximation of 1,210 units qualifying as blighted housing. This represents over 18 percent of the 6,524 existing residential units. These homes have wiring and plumbing problems by virtue of age alone and maintenance would be a difficult job at best. Inadequate wiring was cited by the Pensacola Fire Department as the major structural problem causing fires within this neighborhood. A total of 471 fires occurred during the July 1971-June 1972 period, which was the highest number in the entire study area. Table 26 (pp. 73-4) identifies neighborhood number one having the highest rates in five other categories as well. These include unemployment, crime, Division of Youth Services (D.Y.S.), housing, and health. In addition the median family income is the lowest of all neighborhoods, \$4,338 per year. Over fifty-seven percent of the residences are renter-occupied, which can be partially explained by the fact that the low income population generally is unable to own homes.

The high crime rate is characteristic of a downtown core area even though it is here that police protection is concentrated. Unemployment is generally a measure of economic dependence upon ones primary group (their relatives), or upon the State in the form of welfare. This neighborhood's raw scores were highest in both the unemployment and welfare categories, also the median education level for the neighborhood is 9.7 years. Based upon the SPI value, drug abuse is a major factor of social disorganization in neighborhood one. This is increasingly

TABLE 26 RATES PER 1000 FAMILIES

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
POPULATION	18,360	2,203	5,601	3,372	7,029	4,659	6,720	11,328	11,719	6,809	8,661
PERSONS/H.U.	3.17	2.78	2.54	2.59	3.20	2.92	2.91	2.97	3.73	3.54	2.32
NO. FAMILIES	5,792	793	2,206	1,302	2,197	1,596	2,310	3,815	3,142	1,924	3,734
FIRES	81.32	29.00	23.12	11.52	14.57	65.16	5.63	19.13	24.19	16.63	17.94
D. Y. S.	4.14	1.26	—	—	0.46	1.25	—	2.36	3.18	1.56	1.61
HEALTH	8.29	3.78	3.17	1.54	1.82	3.76	3.46	1.83	5.73	2.60	2.14
CRIME	47.82	15.13	14.96	8.45	9.56	18.80	1.73	3.67	7.00	2.60	8.30
PARKS (ACRES)	6.52	3.22	12.59	29.95	11.45	6.08	—	1.33	3.54	3.58	6.79
HOUSING	20.89	1.26	1.81	—	—	5.01	2.16	4.72	31.83	1.56	1.34
UNEMPLOYED	9.15	5.04	2.27	3.07	1.82	3.13	3.46	3.15	8.59	5.20	5.62
DRUG ABUSE	1.73	3.78	2.27	1.54	5.46	1.88	1.30	0.79	0.95	0.52	1.34
WELFARE	17.44	8.83	4.53	—	0.91	3.13	3.03	6.55	19.41	10.40	3.75



TABLE 26 (continued)

NEIGHBORHOOD NUMBER	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
POPULATION	8,302	13,988	11,639	7,340	19,083	7,841	4,489	10,121	4,190	6,588	672
PERSONS/H.U.	3.56	3.84	3.63	3.96	3.25	3.47	3.38	4.08	3.52	3.25	3.94
NO. FAMILIES	2,332	3,643	3,207	1,854	5,872	2,260	1,329	2,481	1,191	2,027	171
FIRES	21.87	10.98	10.60	6.47	9.20	1.77	9.03	13.70	10.92	32.07	29.29
D. Y. S.	2.14	1.65	1.25	1.08	0.85	2.65	—	1.21	—	—	—
HEALTH	3.86	2.47	3.21	4.31	3.24	1.77	0.75	2.02	1.68	21.21	5.85
CRIME	5.57	0.55	0.31	1.08	2.72	0.44	12.79	1.61	4.03	4.44	—
PARKS (ACRES)	10.66	3.31	4.57	—	9.48	5.01	10.09	4.01	161.79	9.77	14.62
HOUSING	0.43	1.10	0.94	4.32	1.36	0.44	—	—	—	9.57	52.63
UNEMPLOYED	2.57	4.39	3.43	4.32	2.38	2.65	5.27	7.26	4.20	3.45	—
DRUG ABUSE	3.00	1.92	0.62	2.16	1.19	1.33	0.75	2.42	5.04	0.49	—
WELFARE	0.43	3.29	1.25	8.09	3.41	1.33	3.76	1.21	—	21.21	99.42

the trend in areas where high rates of unemployment, crime, and delinquency reach the levels as evident in neighborhood one. A total of 37.79 acres of public parkland are present here, which represents a rate of 6.52 acres per 1000 families. This is probably inadequate as this area has the highest population density per acre as shown in table 1 (pp.7-8).

## NEIGHBORHOOD EIGHT

Neighborhood Eight consists of a major portion of the Brownsville area and has a SPI value of 6.70. Unlike neighborhood one, this area is located almost entirely in Escambia County. Our field survey identified eighteen blighted structures, which represents only 4.35 percent of the housing inventory. This can be partially explained by the fact that 80 percent of all existing homes were constructed since 1939, construction materials used, and the fact that the survey was an external condition survey only. This neighborhood is served by a volunteer fire department. This department recorded a total of 73 structural fires, the majority of which were kitchen oriented. The median education level is less than that of a high school graduate at 10.0 years. Neighborhood eight had high unemployment SPI values. The DYS value is indicative of problems generally associated with neighborhoods undergoing general social and family disintegration. Table 26 (pp.73-4) shows this neighborhood has the lowest rate in the health category. The data for this category comes from public health agencies only, and is not all inclusive. Those who can afford treatment from a private physician generally do. With the exception of TB these cases are not made a part of public records.

## NEIGHBORHOOD NINE

Neighborhood Nine is centrally located in the Pensacola metropolitan area and is more oriented toward commercial and industrial land uses. This neighborhood had the second highest overall SPI value of 8.00. A low median education level of 9.0 years accompanies the high welfare, unemployment and crime rates for this neighborhood. Approximately one home of every three was identified as blighted, the highest rate for *all* neighborhoods. This is very significant in view of the fact that 84% of all homes existing were constructed since 1939. Incompatibility of land uses are always present in indiscriminant industrial/residential development. On Fairfield Drive an elementary and high school were constructed across the highway from an existing sulfuric acid plant. Some years later a residential subdivision was constructed in this area and shortly thereafter signs of serious unsound structural conditions became obvious. This condition continues to deteriorate year by year.

The eastern portion of neighborhood nine has become increasing less desirable to live in because it was bisected by the Interstate 110 spur. The I-110 spur formed a twenty foot high "Chinese Wall" that isolated neighboring and neighbors who once lived across the street from each other. Land use transition, i.e. from residential to commercial or industrial, produces a heterogenous land use relationship which usually leads to physical and social neighborhood deterioration.

## NEIGHBORHOOD ELEVEN

Neighborhood Eleven is located north of the downtown area, approximately 50% of the land area is in Escambia

County. The land use is predominantly single family residential, however, much new construction of high density apartments has taken place since the 1970 census. Pensacola Junior College, Sacred Heart Hospital, and the Cordova Mall Shopping Center are located in this neighborhood. All three generate a major traffic impact on the internal and surrounding road network. A second mall is under construction, in the north end of this neighborhood. This will further stratify existing land use relationships. This neighborhood contains over 25 acres of parks which is considerably higher than the mean of 14.48 acres for all the neighborhoods. This neighborhood had a SPI value of 6.60, which is above the overall SPI value mean of 5.21. In contrast, this value is below the mean of 7.28 for the seven neighborhoods identified as areas of critical social disorganization. The high median education (12.5) and income levels (\$9,640) explain the low magnitudes in the welfare, health, and unemployment categories. Table 26 (pp.73-4) shows the crime rate to be very high, and was explained by the Pensacola Police Department that the majority of offenses and disturbances actually occurred at a public housing project located in the extreme south end of this neighborhood. In neighborhood number 11 the southern portion is the general area where the majority of the poverty level and welfare recipients live.

### NEIGHBORHOOD THIRTEEN

Neighborhood Thirteen is comprised of an unincorporated area locally referred to as Myrtle Grove, located west of the City of Pensacola. It is characterized by predominant single family residential and undeveloped open space land uses. Much open space is being developed

for residential uses, which will reinforce the existing neighborhood character. Land located along the southern and western edges of the neighborhood boundary line, in all probability will remain relatively undeveloped due to the extremely high water table and related drainage problems. A SPI of 6.30 is the lowest value for these seven critical neighborhoods. Again the median educational level of 12.25 partially explains the relatively low welfare and unemployment rates. The blighted housing rate (1.10) is the lowest among the seven critical neighborhoods as shown on table 26 (pp. 73-4). The 1970 census reported that 83.5% of existing housing inventory was constructed since 1950, this fact explains the low housing rate in this neighborhood.

Drug abuse, DYS, and mental health are major problems in this neighborhood as substantiated by the Social Pathology Index values. This can be correlated with age, in this neighborhood over 40 percent of the population is between 15 and 34 years old. Considering the population of this age group the number of park acres (12.05) is definitely inadequate. Organized activity was not offered by the county parks during the study period. However, Escambia County has since created and funded a parks and recreation department. This neighborhood should be considered a "grey area" because social trends are developing characteristic of neighborhoods with higher SPI scores.

**NEIGHBORHOOD SIXTEEN** Neighborhood Sixteen, figure 20 (p. 53) is generally comprised of the Warrington-Gulf Beach Highway area in the southwest extremity of the study area. Land uses are single family residential, undeveloped open space, and small areas of commercial

uses. The Pensacola Country Club is located in the eastern section of this neighborhood, which is surrounded by examples of the best and worst housing in the SMSA. Large expanses of swampland with poor percolation make drainage and development in the western portion very costly. The area around Jackson and Bayou Marcus Creeks is low swampland and should remain in undeveloped open space land uses. This neighborhood has a total of 55.67 acres of dedicated park land, or 9.48 acres per 1000 families. This was both the highest rate and highest total park acreage for the six critical neighborhoods in Pensacola and Escambia County. A value of 7.20 was recorded on the SPI table as shown on pages 67 and 68. This table reveals that relative values in neighborhood 16 are moderately high in all categories except unemployment and TB. Mental health, drugs, and welfare measurements are representing the moderately high rank values contributing to the SPI. Again these scores are indicative of a trend toward an increasing rate of physical neighborhood deterioration.

The high welfare value is reinforced by the high number of families with an income below the poverty level. The 683 families, below this level, have a mean annual income of \$2,057. This population is situated in the Patton Drive Moreno Court-Aero Vista area which contains the welfare, drug abuse, and mental health concentrations. The Escambia County Sheriff's Narcotics Division identified the Warrington area as the most drug-saturated area of Escambia County, especially in the heroin user class. The degree of drug abuse concentration, by neighborhood, was indeterminant in the Regional Planning Council survey. These area concentrations were provided by local narcotics agents. The sample failure is due

to data reporting techniques, i.e. a person is arrested for breaking and entering, the primary reason for committing this crime was to support his drug habit.

Neighborhood sixteen's year round housing unit inventory consist of 6,441 units. The external evaluation survey identified 88 (1.36 percent) units we could classify as blighted. The major concentration of these dwelling units is bounded by Winthrop Avenue, Patton Drive, and Navy Boulevard.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD TWENTY ONE

Santa Rosa County seat, Milton, is neighborhood number twenty one. As explained earlier, the requirements for basic economic and social data necessitated the use of a whole census tract or combination, thereof. This worked well in Escambia County, where the tract sizes were relatively small in the urban area. Santa Rosa County tracts, however, were made much larger by the Bureau of the Census criteria with the exception of tract number 106. The City of Milton lies in both census tracts 105-106. The Bureau of the Census did not differentiate between city and county boundaries for Santa Rosa County as they did for Escambia County. That portion of the City of Milton in census tract 105 would be justly represented by the use of statistics available in tract 106, thus, that portion was assigned the statistics of census tract 106. Milton is shown as figure 20 (p.63) and related inventory is shown on page 62. This neighborhood had a value of 6.30 as identified by the Social Pathology Index methodology. This is due to high ranking in the housing, health, and welfare categories. The land uses are typical of those found in the majority of small county seats. A total of 19.8 acres of public parks, or 9.79 acres per 1000 families, were identified by the Regional Planning Council Survey.



The area north of Quinn Bayou and south of Caroline Street (US 90) represented the concentrated areas of blighted housing. Both areas are characterized by older, wooden structures, the southern section also contains Milton's public housing project and the sewage treatment facilities. New construction is taking place north and west of town, leaving these two areas more isolated to encroaching non-residential land uses. Although the 1970 census did not evaluate structures according to physical condition this can be inferred by the category "lacking some or all plumbing facilities", as is listed in their publication (1, p. 5). Over 12 percent or its 2,276 housing units in the City of Milton lacked some or all plumbing facilities. In contrast to this internal statistic, the external housing evaluation conducted by the Regional Planning Council identified approximately 9.0 percent of the housing inventory as blighted. The real number, in all probability, lies somewhere between these two statistics.

The Santa Rosa County Health Department reported the highest rate for VD in the SMSA study area. A total of 32 cases of gonorrhea were treated at the clinic during the 71-72 study period. All of the patients were under 30 years of age. It was pointed out that this figure was actually low, because many people traveled to Pensacola for private treatment, thus avoiding local disclosure. Moreover, private physicians do not generally report cases of this nature to a County Health Department. Neighborhood number 21, as reported by the Florida Division of Family Services has the highest welfare rate of any neighborhood within the study area. The statistics used here revealed that the majority of welfare payments were for old age assistance. This neighborhood scored the lowest drug abuse rate of the seven critical neighborhoods.

## REFERENCES

1. Bureau of the Census. *1970 Census of Population and Housing Pensacola SMSA.*
2. Lowe, James, *Escambia County Sheriff's Department Narcotics Division. Interview, June 26, 1973.*

#### IV. BLIGHT-SOCIAL DISORGANIZATION RELATIONSHIPS

The causes of blight are numerous. The most commonly recognized are the physical and environmental defects of a neighborhood such as deteriorated housing, heavy commercial traffic, incompatible mixture of land uses, inadequate public facilities, etc. Most neighborhoods affected by blight have these causes present in varying combinations. Beyond the physical and environmental causes of blight we must list social and economic causes as well. Neighborhood treatment has historically ignored the human element which is in dire need of attention. These human factors are affecting and being effected by blighted conditions.

Psychologists in the early 1950's were advocating an "environmental determinism theory". This theory attempted to explain behavior patterns and life style based upon his environmental background, i.e. the juvenile delinquent lived in a dilapidated housing area "across the tracks" which is the reason he was classified as such. There has been a shift of attention in recent years away from this theory and today it has been largely abandoned. The focus now is on more fundamental problems such as deterioration of individual opportunity, alienation, and social disorganization.

Neighborhoods where both blighted housing and a high amount of social disorganization are prevalent did serve as a frame of reference against which trends of other neighborhoods were compared. This is especially useful where a neighborhood rank in the housing category is relatively low while at the same time ranking high in social disorganization categories such as crime, delinquency, unemployment, and welfare. High rankings in these SPI indicators are an advance warning that social disorganiza-

tion is reaching a magnitude that will eventually be reflected in the neighborhood residents attitude toward and treatment of housing.

Measurements of statistical correlation were made between housing, which is the measure of blight, and each of the other nine indicators that make up the Social Pathology Index. Table 27 (page 87) shows the statistical probability of any combination of pathologies occurring simultaneously within any neighborhood at the same time is shown. The Spearman Rank Order Correlation, as explained in chapter two, was used to construct table 27. A confidence level of 0.05 was selected, which meaning 95 times out of 100 the sample was representative of the universe. This level is the commonly recognized statistical standard. This sets the level of significance for correlation coefficients at 0.5636 for this neighborhood analysis. Social indicators at or above this level are significantly related. Even though some social indicators are associated with coefficients below the 0.5636 level, it is the accumulative combination of all indicators acting upon a neighborhood and it's inhabitants which brings about deterioration in both the physical and social realms.

Certain social indicators appeared at higher magnitudes in all seven neighborhoods identifying areas of critical social disorganization. These social indicators were crime, DYS, welfare, unemployment, mental health, and drug abuse. In some instances a negative correlation between these indicators occurs, as is shown between blighted housing and drug abuse in table 27 (p.87). This means that drug abuse cannot, according to available statistics, be identified with any particular neighborhood housing characteristic. Those associated with drug abuse are considered, by the general public not to be from areas of high social and physical amenities. Table 26 (pp. 73-4) identifies neighborhoods five

TABLE 27 SOCIAL PATHOLOGY CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS

	HOUSING	VD	TB	FIRES	DYS	MH	WELFARE	CRIME	PARKS	DRUG UNEMP.	ABUSE
HOUSING		.5491	.3261	.5030	.4970	.4744	.8083	.2767	.8212	.4112	-.0148
VD	.5491		.3881	.3307	.2233	.1375	.3083	.4667	.4212	.0867	.1985
TB	.3261	.3881		.5508	.3794	.3704	.8083	.2767	-.0728	.4077	.0206
FIRES	.5030	.3307	.5508		.3794	.4709	.8083	.2767	-.1088	.4082	-.0251
DYS	.4970	.2233	.3794	.3794		.4709	.8083	.2767	-.0728	.4077	-.0251
MH	.4744	.1375	.3704	.4709	.4709		.4246	.3450	.2631	.6471	.4514
WELFARE	.8083	.3083	.8083	.8083	.8083	.4246		.2067	-.1942	.5336	.1290
CRIME	.2767	.4667	.2767	.2767	.2767	.3450	.2067		.4864	.1488	.3636
PARKS	.8212	.4212	-.0728	-.1088	-.0728	.2631	-.1942	.4864		.0390	.4353
UNEMP.	.4112	.0867	.4077	.4082	.4077	.6471	.5336	.1488	.0390		.2565
DRUG ABUSE	-.0148	.1985	.0206	-.0251	-.0251	.4514	.1290	.3636	.4353	.2565	

168

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE @ 0.05 = 0.5636

and twenty as possessing the highest drug abuse rates for the SMSA, as opposed to data provided by local narcotics agents. Neighborhood five contains the Cordova Park area and neighborhood twenty is the City of Gulf Breeze. Further examination of this table will reveal a lack of blighted structures for either neighborhood.

Welfare has the highest correlation (0.8083) with housing of all the social indicators listed. DYS had an identical correlation level with welfare, but had a 0.4970 correlation when compared to housing. Mental health, crime and unemployment coefficients are below the statistical level of significant relationships, but a relationship is evident and they should not be disregarded. These social variable coefficients were compared to blighted housing coefficients (housing units needing extensive repairs or unsound), and may have been at much higher levels if a third housing category had been incorporated. The third category would have identified housing units in a marginal state of repair, and the extent of a neighborhood's potential future physical blight. Those units identified as structurally marginal would represent transitional areas where the process of social and physical decay should be halted. It is the position of this analysis that areas of heavy blight have passed the state of economically feasible rehabilitation.

The following table identifies and ranks the highest rates of social pathology within each of the seven critical neighborhoods.

TABLE 28

## RANKS OF SELECTED SOCIAL PATHOLOGIES

NEIGHBORHOOD	1	8	9	11	13	16	21
HOUSING	2	2	1	6	7	5	3
WELFARE	3	1	2	4	2	1	1
D. Y. S.	6	5	6	5	5	7	-
MENTAL HEALTH	5	6	5	3	3	2	2
UNEMPLOYMENT	4	4	3	2	1	4	5
CRIME	1	3	4	1	6	3	4
DRUG ABUSE	7	7	7	7	4	6	6

This table is taken from table 26 (pp.73-4) entitled "Rates per 1000 Families". The indicators in table 28 were selected because they are the most disorienting factors examined. Within each neighborhood the rates were ranked to determine the importance of each indicator. Blighted housing is included in table 28 to allow the reader to make comparisons between housing and the ranks of social dysfunction. Evaluating a single dysfunction gives rise to falacious deductions in that it is the accumulative affect of all dysfunctions which is responsible for neighborhood deterioration. The table identifies blighted housing to be the major problem in neighborhood nine and the second major problem in neighborhoods one and eight. Welfare was the number one problem in neighborhoods eight, sixteen, and twenty one. Drug abuse was consistantly ranked as one of the lesser or the least critical dysfunction of table 28. Had the local law enforcement agencies kept records as to why crimes were committed this dysfunction, without a doubt, would have achieved a higher ranking. Social disorganization generally ranks above housing in neighborhoods eleven, thirteen and sixteen, but as previously pointed out this is transitory in nature. The



social disorganization will eventually reach levels which will be reflection in the physical element.

Social disorganization is of a more crucial magnitude in the seven neighborhoods identified herein than with the remaining fifteen neighborhoods of the study area. This disorganization affects the individual, his family, close neighbors, and eventually the entire neighborhood. Deterioration of the human element affects individual opportunity, hope, self-esteem and general human welfare. The individual can generally be characterized with a condition of apathy despair, hopelessness (anomie), and alienation, which will be reflected in their physical environment. Those so affected are demoralized by their general situation and do little to improve their immediate environment. In fact, many take out their frustration and alienation on their surroundings, thereby accelerating blight.

## V. RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall program design, which identified the guidelines of this work element, was initially written in 1970.

This work element, neighborhood analysis, was at that time scheduled to have the research conducted and be written between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973. Due to governmental policy changes, between July 1, 1972 and January 1, 1973 the Department of Housing and Urban Development was in the waning stages of administering numerous programs designed to promote a suitable living environment for those persons lacking such basics of life.

The overall program design allocated a portion of the work program to the development of strategies or methodologies employable within the Pensacola SMSA, which when applied, would curtail or eradicate the cancerous infliction of blight.

Initially, it was the concensus of the authors that this portion of the work element would involve detailed research of existing Federal programs applicable to the various blight associated problems identified by our neighborhood analysis research. The research is to generate recommendations for a plan of action to be adopted and administered by local government. Revenue sharing and the Better Communities Act subsequently surfaced. April 19, 1973, H. R.7277 (The Better Communities Act) was introduced to the first session of the 93rd Congress. The findings and purpose stated in H.R.7277 are:

“ . . . (that) States and units of general local government are the *most* appropriate levels of government to develop and to carry out community development programs and activities.

Federal assistance for community development is presently so *excessively fragmented and controlled at the Federal level*, channeled through so many separate overlapping and independent grant programs and to so many different special purpose bodies and agencies that it has become an *ineffective* use of the Federal funds devoted to assistance for community development.

The effectiveness of Federal assistance for community development would be improved by making Federal resources allocated for such purposes available to States and *units of general local governments* to use with broad discretion in light of their evaluation of their *own* community development needs and the resources available to them to meet those needs . . .” (italics are the Authors) (1, pp. 1-2).

The mandate to “units of general local government” is extremely obvious, local government must provide financial assistance for community development if community development is to occur. The “Better Communities Act”, when passed, will authorize funding for each of the fiscal years from 1974 to 1979. This act actually destroys the following HUD categorical programs: urban renewal, neighborhood development programs, code enforcement, demolition grants, model cities, neighborhood facilities, water and sewer grants, open space and historical preservations, rehabilitation loans and public facilities loans. The Better Communities Act does *not*, in its present form, affect housing or community planning. This act, the Better Communities Act, negated the justification for a detailed study of Federal assistance applicable to blight problems.

Thus, as a result of the current action undertaken by the Federal government, all the strategies suggested in this chapter hinge upon a 100 percent fiscal and physical effort

on the behalf of local government, without the aid of "excessively fragmented and controlled" categorical Federal programs, plus their desire to provide such amenities. Seemingly the strategy to follow between now and 1979, in relation to blight related problems, would be the continuation of the deleted Federal programs, by local government, with funds received from revenue sharing and the Better Communities Act appropriations. Apparently this is one goal the Federal government is hoping to achieve. Or is it? Could it be that the Federal government has realized that those programs which were destroyed, were failures in that they did not achieve their specified goals or objectives? Or does the Federal government feel that some strategy is necessary to shift emphasis from the burdensome socially oriented programs to measurable environmental programs; the result of which will actually be achieved by H.R. 7277 and revenue sharing?

The statement above, which poses the reality of more monies to be spent in the environmental field and less in the social field, is based upon the intended use of revenue sharing monies in the Pensacola SMSA. May 24 and 25, 1973, each governmental body in the Pensacola SMSA was contacted by a staff member of the Escambia-Santa Rosa Regional Planning Council. The purpose of this contact was to list the projects for which revenue sharing money would be spent.

The composite list of projects for the Pensacola SMSA includes: construction of a library, the purchase of road maintenance equipment, road improvements, purchase of parks and recreation equipment, purchase of a parkway right-of-way, the construction of a central garage, the drilling of a waterwell, purchase of chlorination equipment, upgrade water and sewer mains, a drainage study, a

community center, a non-Federal neighborhood development project, a summer recreation programs, an addition to an existing building to house new fire fighting equipment, and possibly some type of social program.

As one can see, social related proposals appear to be a one time program. The non-Federal neighborhood development is the only proposed project which will have any direct long range affect upon the social impact of the Pensacola SMSA.

Ignoring speculation the elimination of blight is once again the sole responsibility of local government, as was the case prior to the initiation of "excessively fragmented and controlled" Federal programs. This harsh reality stems from the genesis and proliferation of blight, blight related apathy, and lack of direct local government action in the blight eradication spectrum.

Blight, itself, is conceived through the utter lack of personal pride, total disregard for others, and the lack of community identity, the results of which are permitted to develop into strongly entrenched habits initially tolerated and eventually becoming common or accepted practices, with a total disregard of the resultant impact. Therefore, when local government permits such practices to continue, they automatically accept the burden, to the degree necessary, in order to reverse such practices to protect the vast majority from the squalor perpetrated upon them by the ignorant, prideless, and inconsiderate minority (the term minority refers to number only).

Throughout this chapter we discuss the blight problem in generalities. We take this opportunity to qualify this generality by stating that the cities of Pensacola and Gulf Breeze, for all practical purposes, are exceptions to the

lack of structural blight control methodologies. For all practical purposes, the City of Gulf Breeze has not experienced the blight enigma.

Local government can curtail the cancerous growth of blight by three physical strategies. First, a comprehensive code enforcement program is a mandatory tool for those units of local government lacking such implements.

A comprehensive code enforcement program consists of a building, plumbing, gas, electrical, and minimum housing code which are adopted, annually updated, applied, and rigorously *enforced* throughout the entire jurisdiction of the appropriate units of local government. Ultimately these codes should be compatible between governmental jurisdictions.

A comprehensive code enforcement program directed at the existing vacant housing inventory and any future vacant housing units would ensure that the total housing inventory would eventually become decent safe, and sanitary. For example, if a vacant housing unit did not contain the appropriate plumbing facilities necessary to meet the minimum code requirements, action must be taken to prohibit occupancy until such plumbing deficiencies are appropriately taken care of. Another example involves the transportation of condemned or unacceptable structures from one governmental jurisdiction to with a minimum housing code to a jurisdiction which does not have a minimum housing code. This example will become, if it has not already, a reality when the I-110 spur right-of-way into the City of Pensacola is purchased. Without an enforced minimum housing code in Escambia County some of the structure within the right-of-way will inevitably be moved from the City of Pensacola to some site in Escambia County, subsequently adding to the county's

inventory and associated burden of sub-standard housing units.

Actually, existing sub-standard housing units, if vacant and marginally or uneconomically feasible to renovate, should be removed from the existing housing inventory thru demolition.

A comprehensive code enforcement program must apply to the rental units within the Pensacola SMSA in particular. It is the authors feeling that absentee landlordism is highly conducive to the genesis and proliferation of the decadence associated with and of blight proper. Further, it is our hypothesis, based upon a limited amount of personal experience, that a large number of absentee landlords collect rent monies but fail to maintain their property in a fashion required to stay deterioration. On the other hand, many renters are also at fault due to their failure to treat rental property with the proper respect necessary to perserve a structure in a livable, non-deteriorating condition.

A second strategy involves the development of an extensive "aesthetic" ordinance. This ordinance must apply directly to the health hazard and aesthetic decadence generated by the accumulation of refuse (junk, garbage, odds and ends, etc.) upon property parcels.

The aesthetic ordinance must be structured to eliminate the existance of an accumulation of junk washing machines and refrigerators, etc. upon open property parcels as evidenced by figure 28 (p. 100), the appearance of degenerative land uses, i.e. such as a second hand store using a vacant single family residence as a storage facility (fig. 29 p. 100) the accumulation of garbage upon idle property owned by local government (fig. 30 p. 100) as well as upon private property.



It is our recommendation that an aesthetic ordinance be broad enough to cover property which contains abandon commercial uses (figures 31 and 32 pp. 101-2) and our valuable shoreline (figures 33 and 34 pp. 102-3).

Third, a form of land use regulation must be enacted to provide viable guidance for the development of an area, and they are also necessary to provide a basis for the logical criteria necessary to guide development and planning activities. Land use regulations are a primary tool for the orderly growth of any area. This strategy is mentioned because the majority of the land area in the Pensacola SMSA is in the rudimentary guidance stages, typically lacking the basic benefits provided by proper land use regulations. The rudimentary stage is a degenerative stage, that is the quality of the natural endowment is continually diminishing due to the lack of proper guidance.

Figures 35, 36, 37, & 38 pp. 103-5 illustrates the lack of land use regulations better than a lengthy narrative. The figures identify the presence of tank farms in a residential neighborhood, commercial-residential conflict, conflicting residential styles, and a combination of agricultural-residential-industrial uses, all examples are representative of areas which lack land use regulations.

Land use regulations (zoning) proposals for the unincorporated areas of the Pensacola SMSA have met with unsurmountable opposition on at least three separate occasions. During each occasion land use regulations were presented as a zoning ordinance, which public opinion felt dictated land use and, thus, lead to the rejection of each proposed ordinance by county residents. It is quite possible we are fortunate that such land use regulations (zoning) have been entirely unsuccessful. It is evident that the typical land use regulations, when applied to large land



FIGURE 28 JUNK ACCUMULATION



FIGURE 29 CONVERTED RESIDENTIAL USE



FIGURE 30 INDESCRIMINATE TRASH DUMPING



FIGURE 31 COMMERCIAL ABANDONMENT




FIGURE 32 COMMERCIAL ABANDONMENT



FIGURE 33 WATERFRONT ABANDONMENT



FIGURE 34 SHORELINE LITTER



FIGURE 35 INDUSTRIAL-RESIDENTIAL CONFLICT



FIGURE 36 COMMERCIAL-RESIDENTIAL CONFLICT




FIGURE 37 RESIDENTIAL CLASS CONFLICT



**FIGURE 38 AGRICULTURAL-RESIDENTIAL-INDUSTRIAL INCOMPATIBILITY**

areas, tend to stifle the creativity of developers and promote a creative sterility and dull, monotonous land uses. Fortunately, another alternative is available.

Sterility and monotony must be avoided for the sake of every one concerned. Therefore, we recommend the consideration of the type of land use regulations approach developed by the Regional Planning Council of Baltimore, Maryland. This approach is labeled Environmental Characteristics Planning (ECP). Environmental Characteristics Planning

"... has no preconceived notion about uses. The decision as to whether a particular activity will be appropriate at a given location is made on the basis of its compatibility with the environmental character of an area. If the intensity level and landscaped area are in keeping with the



environmental characteristics, use becomes a secondary consideration.

The ECP approach encourages diversified development patterns. Individual proposals prepared by designers and planners are evaluated on the basis of their conformity to the environmental characteristic type. By guiding overall development, the proposed systems would achieve the purpose of planning and zoning while, at the same time allowing initiative, originality and self-expression in individual developments. The end product would be a higher quality in urban character." (2, p. 5)

Such a strategy would permit more latitude for developers which would in turn result in a more aesthetic and environmentally protective type of land development.

The three strategies already mentioned; a comprehensive code enforcement program, an "aesthetic" ordinance, and a viable form of a land use package, are typical of historical blight treatment strategies. The type of blight treated by the suggested strategies is that of visual blight *only*. An out of sight, out of mind, strategy does little to accomplish the objective of this work element, which is the eradication of blight in the Pensacola SMSA.

This strategy tends to accomplish what the Federal government has achieved with their urban renewal action. Urban renewal activities mobilized blight generators and dispersed those generators throughout areas which had, up until that time, resisted the cancerous decay and decadence of blight. Therefore, we feel these strategies must be accompanied by actions directed toward solving the social ills also inherent in blight areas. It is a combination of treatment strategies, physical and social, which we feel will achieve the sought after objective. One treatment

without the other will *not* serve to curtail, let alone eradicate, blight in the Pensacola SMSA.

The approach to restore social viability must be multifacial in character. Programs must be realistic in concept, far reaching in application, and generate a maximum return for monies expended. Moreover, programs must not be confined within the political boundary lines of a particular governmental entity, because blight and its inherent social problems are indiscriminately affecting most of the Pensacola SMSA. This neighborhood analysis has identified blighted housing areas and associated social disorganization as depicted in figure 20 (p. 53), 25 (p. 63) and 27 (p. 71).

According to the Intergovernmental Program Office a total of 35 social-service organizations are operating in Pensacola and Escambia County. Larger social service organizations are Federally funded in part, and as such are faced with the requirement of procuring additional sources of operating revenue. The matching federal grant programs are no longer in existence due to the passage of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. President Nixon signed this act on October 20, 1972. This act requires annual appropriations from general revenue sharing funds, for a five-year period, retroactive to January 1, 1972. In effect, many local social service organizations are extremely reliant revenue sharing funds for the continued operation of their programs.

On the neighborhood level, many social programs, that have been affected by funding problems, are active in the critical area identified by this neighborhood analysis. Namely:

1. Welfare
2. Unemployment
3. Crime
4. Division of Youth Services (Juvenile delinquency)
5. Mental Health
6. Drug Abuse

These six pathologies were chosen not only because of their omnipresence, but because their cumulative effect is crucial upon the human element of neighborhoods.

Local government can restore social viability by implementation of two basic strategies: the organization of neighborhood associations, and the creation of a Regional Human Resource Agency. Neighborhood association is a grass roots organization of deprived citizens striving to claim their fair share of local governmental services.

Neighborhood associations must be established within those areas of critical social disorganization. The number of associations would be dependent upon geographic size, population densities, and organization manageability. The Madison, Wisconsin, City Planning Department established 22 neighborhood associations. According to their plan:

"all neighborhoods have advantages and problems. But even if there were no problems, nothing is so good that it can't be improved. A neighborhood organization can help do that if it is capably led, well organized, and representative of all residents. It can find problems you didn't know were there, and prevent other problems from occurring in the future. It's likely your area does have some problems. Those could be in the areas of housing, schools, transportation, jobs, or any of a number of others. A neighborhood organization can help residents start thinking about their problems and it can give direction to

a community's efforts to solve its problems. To do this, community understanding is essential.

Some problems can be handled by one person. He can cut his grass, or paint his house. But he can't do the same for all his neighbors. They must do it for themselves. If they don't, it won't really matter if there's one nice house on a block of deteriorating homes. All your neighbors must be aware of the fact that they should keep up their homes. Total cooperation is necessary."

The resident knows his problems better than others and will provide his ideas and knowledge to solve neighborhood problems. The neighborhood association concept is based on the premise of citizen participation and involvement in the decision making process. The genesis for such a program is generally provided by the lack of governmental attention. Citizen participation provides public officials and social service organizations with the needs, desires, and attitudes of the neighborhood residents. It is mandatory that the people who make final decisions, in addition to the people who are affected, participate in the neighborhood association. A neighborhood association organizational chart may take many forms. But, the idea of a capably led group representative of all residents, is central.

Dynamic neighborhood association inputs, into the decision making process, will bring about desired goals and objectives. Better neighborhoods will occur when residents local government cooperation is achieved. When neighborhood residents see positive actions being taken by local government and governmental programs, a mental attitude accomplishment will be felt. Effective neighborhood associations thus eliminating the residents feeling of alienation, despair, helplessness, discrimination, and apathy.

The second social strategy is the creation of a Regional Human Resource Agency and the expansion of specified social service functions.

The concept of a county level Department of Human Resources is one of several alternatives currently being considered by the Escambia County Commissioners. This department would assume the functions of the Community Action Program, in addition to planning and coordinating the activities of all county supported social service agencies. The R.H.R.A., as advocated by the authors should be an autonomous agency responsible for coordinating and planning a broad spectrum of all social services for *all* residents of the Pensacola SMSA. It would be jointly funded by the seven local governmental units with a portion of their general revenue sharing funds. The Regional Human Resource Agency would serve as the coordinating agency for those 35 local organizations as well as related programs on the State and Federal level. The membership should include one representative from each organization providing social services in the area as well as one representative from the United Way.

A single agency in the coordinative role would eliminate duplication of effort, thus maximizing appropriated and matching funds. The Federal action involving social service programs requires that local governmental units decide which programs will receive through general revenue sharing funding. The Regional Human Resource Agency will identify the viability and funding needs of each agency through cost-effectiveness methodologies. This is current practice by the Community Planning Division of the United Way (formerly United Fund). Thus a Regional Human Resource Agency would provide more effective approaches to the solution of social disorganization through planning and coordination of fragmented programs and efforts.

This neighborhood analysis has identified seven neighborhoods surpassing critical levels of social disorganization. These neighborhoods are located in the cities of Milton and Pensacola and in Escambia County. The social disorganization syndrome transcends all political boundary lines, thereby, becoming a problem to more than one governmental entity. To be effective, social programs and services dealing with the many facets of social disorganization must be able to transcend such boundary lines also.

In addition to the creation of the Regional H.R.A. the restoration of social viability requires additional funding and expansion of specified social service organizations. These social service organizations include, but are not limited to:

1. The Community Action Program
2. A comprehensive Child Day Care Center Agency
3. A Youth Service Center combined with the Boy's Club of Escambia and the Florida Division of Youth Services; and
4. The Community Mental Health Association

The Regional Human Resource Agency would absorb the Community Action Program Committee, Inc., and would assume their overall administrative, planning, and coordinative role in addition to their current work program. The Community Action Program is a private non-profit corporation, whose function is to mobilize and channel the resources of private and public organizations and institutions into anti-poverty action. The Green Amendment to the 1964 Economic Opportunity Act allows local government to assume, by resolution, CAP funding and operations. This would allow public rather than private

agency status. The following agencies are identified because their existing work programs treat six of the social pathology indicators examined in this analysis.

The Escambia County Community Central Services provide day care services to those children of low income families in Pensacola and Escambia County. The agency is 75 percent Federally funded and 25 percent of its funds are provided locally. The concept of day care centers is twofold: it allows the mother to seek employment or training opportunities to employment; and it provides the child with a creative curriculum plan and comprehensive services such as dental and medical attention, and necessary social and psychological counseling. Eighty-seven percent of the present caseload within the existing program is single parent families. An expansion of these facilities within neighborhoods one, eight, nine, and twenty-one would provide the unemployed mother with the opportunity to escape her alienated environment and become a more viable member of society. Once employment is secured the working parent would lessen her burden upon society by either reducing or eliminating her welfare requirements.

The authors advocate that crime and juvenile delinquency problems within the seven critical neighborhoods will be handled by the combined efforts of three agencies. These agencies are: A Youth Service Bureau, (to be created within the Regional Human Resource Agency and administer to pre-delinquent needs), the Escambia Boys Club (to provide recreational needs) and the Florida Division of Youth Services, (which serves a post-delinquent function).

In their report *The Challenge of Crime in a Free Society* the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration identified Youth Service Bureaus as a suc-



cessful approach to juvenile delinquency prevention. Youth Service Bureau divert from the justice system children who have not committed criminal acts, but whose problems in school, at home or in the neighborhood may lead them to do so if they do not receive guidance. They also deal with minor offenders whose behavior is rooted in similar problems. According to the Commissions report:

"A Youth Service Bureau is *not* a part of the justice system. Its ultimate goal is delinquency prevention. It accepts referrals from the police, the intake department of the court, the schools, parents, and youth themselves; provides short-term counseling; coordinates community resources on behalf of those who need them; strengthens existing agencies by purchasing services if necessary; develops new resources when appropriate services cannot otherwise be obtained; and works to modify community attitudes and practices which create delinquency-breeding situations. Its role is that of a catalytic change agent. However, it is not a panacea for delinquency, nor does it attempt to cure all social ills."

The bureau would also obtain data on deficiencies in youth services, prepare plans, and suggest alternatives for the Regional Human Resource Agency. The Agency would select priorities and allocate funding.

The Escambia Boys Club, Inc. provides recreational and physical fitness programs for boys 7 to 18 years of age. Little narrative is required to relate the success of such clubs in deprived socio-economic areas of cities across the nation. Either more Boys Club facilities need to be constructed or direct outreach programs initiated to better serve community needs. Particular emphasis should be placed upon public housing projects where, juvenile delin-

quent problems are concentrated, in addition to neighborhood one, eight, nine, sixteen and twenty-one.

The juvenile courts process over 4000 referrals per year to the Florida Division of Youth Services. Approximately one-half of this figure is children in need of supervision (C.I.N.S.) and the other half are actual law violaters. This division deals with juvenile problems on a post-facto basis and is part of the justice system. This division works closely with the Boys Club on a referral basis and serves to bridge the gap between the juvenile court and the juvenile offender. An indicator of the effectiveness of the Boys Club and the Youth Service Bureau could be measured by reduced case-loads within the court and Division of Youth Services. The authors are of the opinion that when juvenile crimes are eliminated today, the adult crime rate of tomorrow will also be reduced.

The Community Mental Health Association, a two-county agency, is administering drug abuse and mental health programs. During the past year the mental health center provided various treatments to over 4,000 patients, many of which are residents in the seven neighborhoods displaying critical levels of social disorganization. The case load would have no doubt been larger if the service was provided on the neighborhood level. Direct outreach programs are needed in those neighborhoods where high rates of drug abuse and mental health were identified.

The foregoing discussion dealt with how five existing and one new social service organization could work, under a Regional Human Resource Agency, to restore social viability on the neighborhood level. As stated earlier some thirty-five social-service organizations, both public and private, are operating in the city of Pensacola and Escambia County at the present time. The Regional Human

Resource Agency is a logical planning and coordinating body for social services. In summary, our recommendations are divided into two distinct areas:

1. The physical restoration
2. The restoration of social viability.

The physical restoration methodologies urged a conservation attitude through a comprehensive code enforcement program, and aesthetic ordinance, and some form of land use control.

Neighborhood viability occurs when the level of social disorganization is reduced or eliminated. This will be done in two steps. Organizing neighborhood associations to serve as the voice of the neighborhood to local government and eliminating social pathologies through the coordinated efforts of the Regional Human Resource Agency. The success or *failure* to curtail and eradicate blight rests entirely with elected officials and neighborhood residents.

## REFERENCES

1. U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Banking and Currency. *Better Communities Act of 1973* (tentative). 93rd Cong., 1st Sess., 1973.
2. Kaminsky, Jacob. Environmental Characteristics Planning: An Alternative Approach to Physical Planning. Baltimore, Maryland: Regional Planning Council, August, 1972.
3. Mooney, Joe. Intergovernmental Program Office. Pensacola, Florida. Interview, June 6, 1973.
4. *The Youth Service Bureau*. National Council on Crime and Delinquency, Paramus, N.J. June 1971.
5. Barrett, Earl. Florida Division of Youth Services. Pensacola, Florida. Interview, April 1973.
6. Neland, Jerry. Boys Club of Escambia, Inc. Pensacola, Florida. Interview, July 10, 1973.
7. Madison City Plan Commission. *Your Neighborhood is What you Make It*. Madison, Wisconsin. 1970.

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)